Publication information

Title	Mitigating the Air Pollution Effect? The Remarkable Decline in the Pollution-Mortality Relationship in Hong Kong
Author(s)	Cheung, Chun Wai; He, Guojun; Pan, Yuhang
Source	Nil
Version	Working paper
DOI	Nil
Publisher	Nil

Copyright information

© The Author(s)

Notice

This version is available at HKUST Institutional Repository via

<http://hdl.handle.net/1783.1/101376>

If it is the author's pre-published version, changes introduced as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing and formatting may not be reflected in this document. For a definitive version of this work, please refer to the published version.

<http://repository.ust.hk/ir/>

Mitigating the Air Pollution Effect?

The Remarkable Decline in the Pollution-Mortality Relationship in Hong Kong

CHUN WAI CHEUNG

Hong Kong University of Science and Technology.

GUOJUN HE

Hong Kong University of Science and Technology.

YUHANG PAN[†]

Hong Kong University of Science and Technology.

Acknowledgement:

We thank Seema Jayachandra, Yatang Lin, Alexis Lau, Jay Shimshack, Roger H. von Haefen, Jian Zhen Yu and anonymous referees for invaluable comments. We also thank Jimmy Fung and Alexis Lau for providing air pollution data. Financial supports from University Grants Committee of HK (General Research Fund, Project No. 16500617) are gratefully acknowledged. All the remaining errors are ours. Correspondence please address to: Guojun He, Division of Social Science, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong. Tel: (+852) 3469-2423, Email: gjhe@me.com.

Mitigating the Air Pollution Effect?

The Remarkable Decline in the Pollution-Mortality Relationship in Hong Kong

Abstract

Using transboundary pollution from mainland China as an instrument, we show that air pollution leads to higher cardio-respiratory mortality in Hong Kong. However, the air pollution effect has dramatically decreased over the past two decades: before 2003, a 10-unit increase in the Air Pollution Index (API) could lead to a 3.1% increase in monthly cardio-respiratory mortality, but this effect has reduced to 0.5% using recent data and become statistically insignificant. Exploratory analyses suggest that a well-functioning medical system and immediate access to emergency services can help mitigate the contemporaneous effects of pollution on health.

Keywords: air pollution, health, transboundary pollution, healthcare, emergency service

JEL: Q53, Q52, I18

I. Introduction

Hong Kong (HK) is an autonomous territory, and former British colony, in southeastern China. The city has about 7.5 million people and among the highest per capita incomes in the world.¹ Notably, HK people have the highest life expectancy in the world, with male life expectancy being 81.32 years and female life expectancy being 87.34 years in 2017. Unlike other rich economies in the rest of the world, however, air quality in HK is poor and transboundary air pollution is a serious concern. For example, the annual average concentration of particulate matter (PM₁₀) in HK was 45 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ during 2000 to 2015, which was more than twice WHO's recommended level of 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The NO₂ concentration was 63 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ during this period, roughly 1.5 times higher than the WHO's corresponding threshold.

This study estimates the causal impact of air pollution on mortality using 16 years' micro-level death data from HK. Our identification strategy builds on the fact that transboundary pollution (i.e., pollution transmitted from mainland China) plays an important role in determining HK's air quality. HK is located near mainland China's Pearl River Delta Economic Zone (PRDEZ), a major manufacturing center. Emissions from heavy industrial activities in the PRDEZ are brought to HK by wind, creating exogenous variations in local air quality. Using instruments based on combinations of wind direction, air pollution in the PRDEZ, and distance between the PRDEZ and different districts of HK, we show that air pollution causes more people to die from cardio-respiratory diseases. Specifically, we estimate that a 10-unit increase in the Air Pollution Index (API) can cause a 1.77% increase in monthly cardio-respiratory mortality.² The elderly are particularly vulnerable to air pollution, with a 10-unit change in the API leading to an 8.41% change in mortality. To understand how the air pollution effects change over the years, we split the sample

¹ The GDP per capita of the HK is 46,000 USD as of 2017.

Source: <https://www.ceicdata.com/en/indicator/hong-kong/gdp-per-capita>.

² The API is a comprehensive measure of air pollution and is adopted by HK and mainland China. The index is constructed by PM₁₀, SO₂, CO, O₃, and NO₂ concentrations and readers can find the details of constructing API in the Data section.

by different sub-periods and find that the effect has been diminishing over time. Further analyses reveal that the diminishing effect is likely to be driven medical improvement initiatives and the advancement of community-based healthcare services after the SARS (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome) epidemic, not by changes in avoidance behaviors or public awareness of air pollution.

This study makes two primary contributions to the existing literature. First, this study is among the first efforts to estimate the causal health effects of air pollution in a high-income and high-pollution context. Existing literature mostly focuses on developed countries with a low level of pollution, such as the U.S. and the E.U., or developing countries with a high level of pollution, such as China and India. The high-income high-pollution setting is important because the air pollution effect can be non-linear and the pollution-mortality relationship may be altered by better institutional, and socio-economic background (Arceo et al., 2016; Burnett et al., 2014). Within the small set of studies that focus on HK, most of them are associational studies that may suffer from endogeneity concerns (e.g., Ko et al., 2007; Qiu et al., 2012; Wong et al., 2001; Wong et al., 2002). The only exception is Colmer et al. (2019), a concurrent study that also emphasizes the importance of investigating Hong Kong. Colmer et al. (2019) focus on how air pollution affects birth weight and infant mortality and find that while air pollution can reduce the birth weight of babies, it does not kill them. We differ from Colmer et al. (2019) in that we focus on different health outcomes (mortality for different age groups vs. infant health), adopt a different identification strategy (transboundary pollution vs. thermal inversion), and try to pin down the exact channels through which the pollution-mortality relationship can be moderated.

The second contribution is that we document the remarkable decline in the air pollution-mortality relationship and explore the role of medical institutions in mitigating the health effects of air pollution. Our analyses suggest that the significant improvements in healthcare systems after the SARS epidemic may help explain why the effect of air pollution on mortality becomes attenuated in recent years. We also find that the air pollution effect is larger for districts that do not have immediate access to emergency services, suggesting the availability of quality healthcare

plays a critical role. Several alternative explanations, including non-linear dose-response between pollution and mortality, smoking, and avoidance behavior, can be ruled out after further analyzing relevant data. These findings show that a well-established medical system and good institution can help mitigate the contemporaneous health effect of air pollution. This also partly explains why HK people can live the longest around the world despite breathing relatively dirty air.

The rest of this paper is constructed as follows. Section II discusses air pollution in HK, existing evidence, and our empirical strategy. Section III describes our data and presents some stylized facts about HK and the PRDEZ's air quality. Section IV reports the main findings and Section V discusses the diminishing air pollution effect. Section VI concludes.

II. Existing Evidence and Empirical Setup

Air Pollution in Hong Kong

Hong Kong (HK), officially the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region of the People's Republic of China, is an autonomous territory on the eastern side of the Pearl River Delta Economic Zone (PRDEZ) in South China (Figure 1). The PRDEZ is China's major manufacturing center, consisting of several highly industrialized cities, including Guangzhou, Dongguan, Foshan, Jiangmen, Shenzhen, Zhuhai, Zhongshan, and parts of Huizhou and Zhaoqing.

Air quality is a major concern in HK and transboundary pollution is an important source of HK's air pollution. In science literature, studies have examined how air pollutants from the PRDEZ affect HK's air quality. For example, because of the heavy manufacturing and economic activities in the PRDEZ, particulate matter from the PRDEZ can account for approximately 50% to 60% of the pollution level in HK on average and this number can be as high as 70% during certain periods (e.g., Wu et al., 2013; Yuan et al., 2006).

Several factors influence the severity of transboundary pollution in HK, including the baseline air pollution levels in the PRDEZ, wind patterns, and distances between the PRDEZ and different districts of HK. Depending on the wind's direction, HK's air pollution can be escalated or alleviated: when HK is downwind of the PRDEZ, the wind will carry the PRDEZ's pollutants to HK and the air quality in HK will be negatively affected. In contrast, when HK is upwind of the PRDEZ, HK's air quality will be improved as regional air pollutants are repelled by the wind.

The PRDEZ's air pollution affects different districts in HK differentially and the effect depends on whether a district is close to or far away from the PRDEZ. In general, western HK, which is closer to the PRDEZ, is more strongly affected by transboundary air pollution. On some extreme occasions, the air pollution level along the western edge of HK could be several times higher than the rest of HK, although there is much less economic and production activity taking place in these areas (Lau et al., 2007).

Because of the “one-country two-systems,” although mainland China's air pollution can significantly affect HK's air quality, HK has no direct administrative power to regulate the polluting activities in mainland China.³ Although in recent years, there are multiple rounds of discussions between HK and the Guangdong governments on air pollution control coordination, the agreements between the two parties often focus on the long-term targets and lack concrete enforcement plans. As a result, transboundary pollution remains a controversial issue between the mainland and HK.

Figure 1 presents the annual average of PM_{2.5} concentrations derived from satellite data for the region.⁴ We observe that air quality in the PRDEZ is significantly worse than in HK. This is

³ “One Country, Two Systems” is a constitutional principle formulated by Deng Xiaoping to accomplish the peaceful reunification of China by resolving the sovereignty questions of Hong Kong, Macao and perhaps Taiwan arising from a complicated historical background. Under the principle, the mainland China adopts the socialism with Chinese characteristics system, while Hong Kong and Macao have their own governmental systems, legal, economic and financial affairs.

⁴ The PM_{2.5} concentrations are derived from Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) satellite data using the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model (van Donkelaar et al., 2014). The AOD data are extracted from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) of the United States. For illustrative purposes, we use data from 2006 in Figure 1.

reasonable because the PRDEZ has massive manufacturing, while HK has almost no industrial pollution.⁵ Besides, the northwestern part of HK has higher levels of air pollution than the southeastern part, because it is closer to the PRDEZ. These variations provide the basis for the instrumental variable approach used in this study.

The Air Pollution Effect and Existing Evidence in HK

Understanding the impact of air pollution on health is an important research topic in science, public health, and economics. Thus, the literature consists of a large number of studies using different empirical methodologies, reflecting the norms and evolution of different fields. This section is not intended to provide a comprehensive review of the literature; instead, it aims at providing a basic framework summarizing previous findings in HK.

Existing evidence often adopts one of the following four methodologies to estimate the effect of air pollution on health: 1) time-series studies, 2) cross-sectional and cohort-based studies, 3) panel or fixed effects studies, and 4) quasi-experimental studies.

Time-series studies analyze the relationship between the temporal fluctuations in air pollution and health outcomes and try to estimate the contemporaneous effect of air pollution on health. The identification typically relies on the assumption that, after controlling for a set of observed weather confounders and different trends, day-to-day variation in air pollution can be treated as exogenous. A large number of public health studies rely on time-series models and they generally find that poorer air quality is associated with worse health outcomes. Early time-series studies mostly focused on the developed countries (e.g., Bell et al., 2004; Dockery et al., 1993; Levy et al., 2012; Pope III et al., 2002; Stieb et al., 2002), while in recent years, there was also an emerging literature focusing on developing countries (e.g., Aunan & Pan, 2004; Lai et al., 2013; Lu et al., 2015; Shang et al., 2013).

⁵ According to the HK government, local emission sources mainly include motor vehicles, marine vessels, and power plants. Details can be found at <https://www.gov.hk/en/residents/environment/air/airquality.htm>

However, time-series studies often suffer from omitted variable bias because not all confounders can be properly controlled. To address this issue, researchers often control for a large set of fixed effects and include flexible functions of time trends and weather conditions in the model. This introduces several potential problems. First, the estimates from time-series analyses tend to be sensitive to different controls and inclusion of trends, making it difficult to assess which estimates are the most reliable. Second, if air pollution is measured with error, overfitting the time-series model can attenuate the estimates and understate the air pollution effect. As a result, it is difficult to determine whether the poorer health outcomes were actually caused by elevated air pollution levels or by other confounding factors (Chay & Greenstone, 2003a, b; Pope III & Burnett, 2007; He et al., 2016). For HK, we find that most previous studies rely on time-series models (Ko et al., 2007; Qiu et al., 2012; Wong et al., 2001; Wong et al., 2002), and similar critiques also apply to those studies.

Cross-sectional studies analyze the associations between air pollution levels and health outcomes across different locations at a specific point in time. Many of the cross-sectional studies were conducted in the early years and recent literature has shifted attention to other research designs. The drawbacks of cross-sectional studies are obvious: people's health and exposure to air pollution across different locations are simultaneously affected by many socioeconomic factors and it is often impractical to account for all these confounders. For HK, Gao et al. (2014) show that children living in high-pollution districts are at higher risk for respiratory morbidities than those living in low-pollution districts.

Cohort-based studies analyze the long-term health effect of air pollution by following people over many years. Existing evidence shows that long-term exposure to air pollution is associated with worse health outcomes in both developed countries (Abbey et al., 1999; Dockery et al., 1993; Pope III et al., 1995) and developing countries (Cao et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2014). For HK, Qiu et al. (2017) and Qiu et al. (2018) use similar approaches and find that long-term exposure to air pollution is associated with the development of Type II diabetes and incident

ischemic stroke among the elderly. Yet, aside from potential omitted variable bias mentioned above, cohort-based studies are further complicated by potential sorting (Chay & Greenstone, 2003b; Evans et al., 1984). In the end, people choose where to live and these choices may depend on pollution and other factors. For example, the wealthier population tend to migrate to areas with lower pollution, while the poorer population may remain in the polluted areas. The observed association between air quality and mortality could be driven by factors related to sorting, instead of pollution itself.

As large-scale administrative data become more available to researchers, fixed effects models become increasingly popular. These models are powerful in addressing the endogeneity issue when potential confounders are time-invariant (Currie & Neidell, 2005; Currie, Neidell, and Schmieder, 2009). However, the identifying assumption of the fixed effects models can still be too strong because many time-varying variables, such as weather and traffic, can affect both air quality and health outcomes. In addition, estimates from fixed-effects models may suffer from exaggerated attenuation bias if the regressor is measured with error (Wansbeek & Meijer, 2008).

As the economics profession becomes more demanding about identification, quasi-experimental approaches are favored in estimating the air pollution effect because they provide more compelling identification strategies. Quasi-experimental studies often explore exogenous variation in air pollution caused by policy or nature that can mimic random assignment of treatment and control groups. Graff Zivin and Neidell (2013) provide a thorough survey of this line of research. Notable examples in this line of literature include, but are not limited to, Chay and Greenstone (2003), Currie and Neidell (2005), Jayachandran (2009), Lleras-Muney (2010), Currie and Walker (2011), Greenstone and Hanna (2014), Luechinger (2014), Anderson (2015), Cesur et al. (2017), and Schlenker and Walker (2015). For the Chinese context, Chen et al. (2013) and Ebenstein et al. (2017) exploit China's Huai River policy and estimate the impact of air pollution on life expectancy. He et al. (2016) estimate the short-term effects of air pollution on mortality using the Beijing 2008 Olympic Games as a quasi-experiment.

Despite the growing number of quasi-experimental studies, existing evidence either focuses on high-pollution/low-income (such as India and mainland China) or low-pollution and high-income settings (mostly the U.S.). Since the relationship between exposure to air pollution and health response can be non-linear and depend on local socio-economic background (Arceo et al., 2016; Burnett et al., 2014), the results from previous studies may have limited validity for a high-pollution and high-income setting. As mentioned in the introduction, the only exception is Colmer et al. (2019) who also investigate HK. Using thermal inversion as the instrument, Colmer et al. (2019) show that air pollution can reduce the birth weights of babies but does not affect infant mortality. They argue that better “institution” in HK can help explain why infants no longer die from air pollution.

This study tries to add to this literature by providing a more comprehensive analysis of the air pollution effect in HK. As pollution from mainland China creates quasi-random shocks to HK’s local air quality, we utilize this transboundary pollution to identify the air pollution effect. The identification strategy is similar in spirit to Anderson (2015), Jia and Ku (2018), and Deryugina et al (2019). Anderson (2015) and Deryugina et al (2019) compare the population groups living downwind and upwind and estimate the effects of air pollution exposure on the population mortality and health cost of the elderly in the United States. Jia and Ku (2018) assess the impact of cross-border air pollution from China to South Korea and find that “yellow dust” (sand) blowing in from China leads to extra deaths in South Korea.

Empirical Strategy

Our analysis exploits the variations in HK’s air quality caused by the PRDEZ’s air pollution. In the first stage, we estimate the following equation:

$$(1) \quad P_{it} = \lambda_1 S_{it} + \sum_{n=0^\circ}^{270^\circ} \varphi_n W_{int} + \lambda_2 R_t D_i + \sum_{n=0^\circ}^{270^\circ} \rho_n R_t W_{int} + \sum_{n=0^\circ}^{270^\circ} \sigma_n D_i W_{int} + \sum_{n=0^\circ}^{270^\circ} \omega_n R_t D_i W_{int} + X'_{it} \theta + \tau_i + \pi_t + \xi_{it}$$

where P_{it} is the monthly air pollution level in district i at time t , R_t is the average monthly air pollution level in the PRDEZ at time t , S_{it} is the wind speed in district i at time t , W_{int} is the percentage of days with wind direction n as the prevailing wind direction in district i at time t ,⁶ and D_i is the distance between the PRDEZ and district i . The interactions among R_t , S_{it} , W_{int} , and D_i are also included in the first-stage regression. X_{it} are control variables including temperature, temperature squared, and precipitation in district i at time t , τ_i are district fixed effects, π_t are year by month fixed effects, and ξ_{it} indicates the error term.

The first-stage regression helps us understand how air pollution in the PRDEZ affects HK's air quality under different meteorological conditions. φ_n captures the effect of different wind directions on HK's air quality. λ_1 captures the effect of wind speed on air pollution. For the interaction terms, λ_2 describes how the distance between different areas in HK and the PRDEZ changes the effect of regional air pollution on HK's air quality, ρ_n captures how the local wind direction changes the effect of regional air pollution on HK's air quality, σ_n estimates how the distance between HK and the PRDEZ changes the effect of the local wind direction on HK's air quality, and ω_n captures how the distance between Hong and the PRDEZ and the local wind direction simultaneously change the effect of regional air pollution on HK's air quality.

The interaction terms are included to improve predictive power in the first stage. We interact the PRDEZ's air pollution level, R_t , with different wind directions in HK, W_{int} , because the pollution will be more severe when wind blows air pollutants from PRDEZ to HK. Additionally, because the transboundary pollution also depends on the distance between PRDEZ and HK's different districts, we further include interactions between distances, regional air pollution level, and wind directions as instruments.

⁶ Wind data are categorized into eight wind directions: 0° (north wind), 45° (northeast wind), 90° (east wind), 135° (southeast wind), 180° (south wind), 225° (southwest wind), 270° (west wind), and 315° (northwest wind). In practice, wind direction of 315° is dropped to avoid collinearity. The classification of wind directions is shown in Appendix Figure S1.

In the second stage, we estimate the following equation:

$$(2) \quad Y_{it} = \beta \hat{P}_{it} + X'_{it}\theta + u_i + v_t + \varepsilon_{it}$$

where Y_{it} is the logarithm of the monthly mortality rate per 10,000 in district i at time t , and $\hat{P}_{it}$ is the predicted air pollution levels from the first stage. u_i and v_t are district and time fixed effects. ε_{it} are unobservable disturbances. β is the coefficient of interest, which captures the causal impact of air pollution on mortality rate.

We use monthly data to avoid estimating the very short-term health effect (i.e., the effect of daily air pollution on mortality rate) for two reasons. First, many epidemiological studies have investigated the dynamic impacts of air pollution on health outcomes and a general finding is that air pollution can lead to worse health outcomes at a more aggregated level (e.g., Zeger, Dominici and Samet, 1999; Schwartz, 2000; Zanobetti et al., 2000; Zanobetti et al., 2002; Costa et al., 2017; Zanobetti and Schwartz, 2008; Deryugina et al., 2019). The reason is that people will develop more complex cardio-respiratory diseases with prolonged exposure to air pollution and the affected population will increase over time. Monthly data therefore allows us to capture the air pollution effect in the medium run. Second, our instruments are based on transboundary air pollution from one region to another and it takes time for the transmission to take place. If we use daily data, current-day pollution in PRDEZ may not be able to strongly affect current-day air quality in HK, especially when weather conditions are relatively stable. It is thus conceptually unappealing for the instrument to work with high-frequency daily data.

For comparison, we also estimate the associations between air pollution and mortality rate using pooled Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) and fixed-effects models. The pooled OLS is estimated by the following equation:

$$(3) \quad Y_{it} = \delta P_{it} + X'_{it}\eta + u_{it}$$

where all the variables are defined as above. δ captures the effect of air pollution on mortality rate if air pollution is uncorrelated with unobserved health determinants (i.e., $E[P_{it}, u_{it}] = 0$).

However, because local air quality and people’s health are often simultaneously determined by many socio-economic and meteorological factors, the assumption that $E[P_{it}, u_{it}] = 0$ can be violated results in a biased estimate of δ .

To account for time-invariant confounders, one can further include district fixed effects in Equation (3). Year-month fixed effects can also be included in the regression to account for shocks that are common to all the districts in a particular year and month. In practice, we can estimate the following equation:

$$(4) \quad Y_{it} = \delta P_{it} + X'_{it}\eta + u_i + v_t + \varepsilon_{it}$$

The fixed-effects model can alleviate the endogeneity concern to some extent, as many confounding factors are controlled. However, the fixed effects model may also suffer from omitted variable bias if the unobserved confounders change across locations and over time (such as local weather and traffic conditions).

III. Data

Air Quality and Weather Data

HK’s air quality data are collected from the Air Quality Monitoring Network administrated by the Environmental Protection Department of the HK Government. The PRDEZ’s air quality data are reported by the local environmental bureaus and collected by the Environmental Central Facility of the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology.

Figure 1 shows the geographical locations of the air quality monitoring stations in HK and the PRDEZ. There are ten fixed monitoring stations measuring the concentration levels of air pollution in the urban districts of HK.⁷

⁷ There are six other air pollution monitoring stations in Hong Kong. One of them is located far away from the city and measures the background air quality, two were built after the study period, and the rest measure roadside air pollution.

We use the Air Pollution Index (API) as the primary explanatory outcome. The API is a comprehensive air pollution measure and is used by both the HK and mainland governments. The calculation of API is based on the concentrations of several air pollutants, including ambient respiratory particulate matter (PM₁₀), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), ozone (O₃), and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂). To construct the API, an API sub-index for each pollutant is first calculated and the highest API sub-index calculated will become the API. The API is set with reference to the HK Air Quality Objectives (AQO). Appendix Table S1 shows the sub-index levels for the API and their corresponding concentrations. To make the API values comparable across years and locations, the same calculation method is used to convert concentration levels of each air pollutant to API for both HK and the PRDEZ for the whole study period.⁸ To construct the instrumental variable, we average the air pollution readings from all the stations in PRDEZ and calculate the regional API.

Weather data, including wind direction, wind speed, temperature, and precipitation, are extracted from the HK Observatory (HKO). Each air quality monitoring station in HK is matched with the weather station nearest to it.

Mortality Data

Mortality data are obtained from the Census and Statistics Department (C&SD) of the HK Government. We use the “known death microdata” for the period 2000-2015 in this study. The dataset recorded detailed attributes for each death, including the date of death, age, sex, cause of death, occupation, place of residence, and length of stay in HK.

The classification of cause of death is based on the Ninth and Tenth Revisions of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-9 and ICD-10). Following previous literature, the mortality data were classified using ICD-9 and ICD-10 into

⁸ More details about API can be found in He et al. (2016).

deaths from cardiovascular and respiratory diseases (CVR) and deaths from non-cardiovascular and respiratory diseases (non-CVR).

The place of residence is categorized by 3-digit tertiary planning units (TPUs) code. The whole territory of HK is divided into 289 TPUs by the Planning Department of the HK Government for town planning purposes. These TPUs are then grouped into 151 Large Tertiary Planning Unit Groups (LTPUGs) by the C&SD, where each LTPUG would have a population of at least 10,000 by 2001. The areas of LTPUG ranges from 0.09 to 85.12 km² with a mean of 7.35 km². We thus focus on death and air pollution at the LTPUG level, which is referred to as a “district” in this paper.

Mortality data are then matched with air pollution data and LTPUG-level population data. The population data is extracted from HK’s Population By-census in 2006, 2011, and 2016. We conduct a linear interpolation approach to fill the population data in other years. Each LTPUG is assigned to the nearest air quality monitoring station. A map of the LTPUGs is provided in Appendix Figure S2.

Data for the year of the SARS outbreak, 2003, are dropped in the analysis because it can confound the air pollution effect estimates. Nearly three hundred people died from SARS, but these deaths were not related to air pollution. In addition, during the SARS epidemic, economic activities and daily life of people in HK were significantly changed (Hung, 2003; Siu & Wong, 2004). For example, most people used face masks and avoided going out during the outbreak, which could affect pollution exposure and reduce their chances of contracting other types of respiratory diseases.

Summary Statistics

We first present some stylized facts from our data and summarize the descriptive statistics in this section. First, as shown in Appendix Figure S3, there exists a strong correlation between HK and the PRDEZ’s air quality. The trend of air pollution in HK has closely followed the trend in

the PRDEZ. The air quality deteriorated from 2000 to 2004 and then started to improve in both the PRDEZ and HK.

Table 1 provides summary statistics of the key variables. The mean API in HK is about 45 during our sample period, 13 units lower than that in the PRDEZ. The distance between the LTPUGs and the PRDEZ's air quality stations ranges from 103.1 to 131.9 km. About 40% of the time, the wind blows from the PRDEZ to HK (the north and northwest wind). The average monthly standardized mortality rates for CVR and non-CVR diseases are 2.20 and 2.60 per 10,000, respectively.

IV. Main Results

The Effects of Air Pollution on Mortality

Table 2 summarizes the IV estimates on the impacts of air pollution on different mortality rates. Existing evidence suggests that exposure to short-term and long-term ambient air pollution primarily affects CVR diseases (Pope III et al., 1995; Chen et al., 2013; He et al. 2016; Ebenstein et al. 2017). We follow the literature and estimate the effect of air pollution separately for CVR (Columns 1-3) and non-CVR causes (Columns 4-6). The dependent variable is the logarithm of the monthly standardized mortality rate per 10,000; the interpretation of the estimated coefficient is therefore percentage change in monthly mortality rate. In all the regressions, district (LTPUG) fixed effects and year-month fixed effects are included. We gradually include precipitation and temperature (and the square of temperature), which are typical confounders in the regressions, to check the robustness of the estimates. We also report the standard errors clustered at different levels to examine the sensitivity of the significance: the LTPUG level, the LTPUG and year level, and the LTPUG and year-quarter level (Cameron, Gelbach, and Miller, 2011).

Results in Columns 1 to 3 show that air pollution has a statistically significant impact on CVR deaths. A 10-unit increase in API will result in a 1.77% to 1.82% increase in monthly CVR mortality

in HK. In contrast, the estimates for non-CVR deaths in Columns 4 to 6 are smaller in magnitude and statistically insignificant at the 5% level. In Column 7, we compare the difference between the estimates in Columns 3 and 6 and find that the difference is statistically significant at the 10% level.

The first-stage regression results, which have a long list of variables, are reported in Appendix Table S2. We see that many instruments are statistically significant, suggesting that the PRDEZ's air pollution, wind direction, and distances between the PRDEZ and different HK districts can strongly predict HK's air pollution.

Results by Gender and Age Group

Air pollution may affect males and females in different ways. Existing evidence on the gender difference in air pollution epidemiology is far from conclusive and the mechanisms are not yet clear (Clougherty, 2010). This is because males and females are different in fundamental ways that can affect the pollution-mortality relationship, including time use, smoking, inner dermal absorption and lung function, and the availability of target organs (McCracken et al., 2007; Becklake and Kauffmann, 1999). We explore the gender heterogeneity in HK and report the results separately for males and females in Table 3. We find that the impacts of air pollution on males are generally larger and more statistically significant than females. A 10-point change in the API increases male mortality rate by 2.22%, which is two times larger than its impact on females. In the literature, several other studies also find stronger air pollution effects on males (e.g., Pope III et al., 1995; Galizia and Kinney, 1999; Tanaka, 2015; Ebenstein et al., 2015; Cohen et al., 2017).

Next, we examine the air pollution effects by age group. Because the exact number of people in each age group is not available at the LTPUG level, we directly estimate the impacts of air pollution on the number of deaths with respect to different age groups. Figure 2 summarizes the results. In line with the previous studies, we find that the air pollution effect is statistically significant for the elderly group (age > 60) (Chen et al., 2013). Specifically, for people who are older than 60, a 10-

point increase in API leads to an 8.41% increase in the total number of CVR deaths. The corresponding regression results are reported in Table 4.

Infants, children, and young adults do not die from air pollution in HK. Note that our finding that air pollution does not lead to more deaths in the infant and young children group differs from most previous studies (e.g., Chay and Greenstone, 2003a; Currie and Neidell, 2005; Currie, Neidell, and Schmieder, 2009; Currie and Walker, 2011; Greenstone and Hanna, 2014), but is consistent with Colmer et al. (2019). Colmer et al. (2019) focuses on infant health in HK and find that although bad air decreases the birth weights, it does not cause more infants deaths. Their argument is similar to ours: better institutions effectively prevent infants from dying from air pollution.

Lagged Effect

Many time-series studies show that the effects of air pollution on health can be cumulative; the lagged effect can range from a few days to a few weeks. In Table 5, we examine this issue by including the lagged pollution measure in the regressions. Current and lagged IVs are used in the first stage to predict current and lagged API and we include two lags in the regressions.

Column 1 shows that the effect of air pollution on CVR deaths is only statistically significant for the current API. One- and two-month lagged API are both statistically insignificant with a smaller magnitude. Column 2 shows that there is no statistically significant relationship between air pollution and non-CVR deaths. These results suggest that there is no significant lag effect at the monthly level.

Test for Nonlinear Effect

Our baseline specification assumes that the causal relationship between air pollution and mortality is linear. In this section, we investigate the possible non-linear effect of air pollution on mortality following the empirical strategy in Graff Zivin and Neidell (2012). Basically, we create

dummy variables for every 5 points of API and omit $API < 30$ points as the reference group. For comparison, we conduct the analysis separately for CVR and non-CVR mortality.

Figure 3 presents the dose-response curves.⁹ Our observation is that the effect of API on the monthly CVR mortality rate (Figure 3a) is roughly linear. For non-CVR mortality rate (Figure 3b), the effect remains statistically insignificant. We therefore conclude that there is no obvious nonlinear effect of air pollution on mortality.

Robustness Checks

We check the robustness of our main findings in several different ways and report the results in the appendix. First, some LTPUGs are located relatively far away from air quality monitoring stations and the air pollution levels measured in these districts may be measured with error. To address this concern, we exclude LTPUGs that are far away from their nearest stations and check the sensitivity of the results. Appendix Table S4 reports the results. We use samples within 12km, 10km, and 8km radius from monitoring stations and repeat the IV estimations for each subsample. We find that all the estimates are quantitatively similar. In addition, in Appendix Table S5, we use two alternative ways to match LTPUGs with air quality monitors. In Column 1-2 of Appendix Table S5, each LTPUG is matched with the HK's air quality monitoring station nearest to its centroid. In Column 3-4, each LTPUG's air quality is calculated as a distance-based weighted average from all HK's air quality monitoring stations. Again, all the findings remain the same.

Second, our empirical strategy relies on the fact that HK's local air pollution can be exogenously affected by air pollution levels in PRDEZ under different meteorological conditions. We check the robustness of the results in the Appendix Table S6 by only using the local wind patterns as the instruments. Excluding the API in PRDEZ from the IV regressions can help address the potential

⁹ The corresponding regression results are reported in Appendix Table S3.

concern that air pollution in PRDEZ may be affected by policies in HK. As shown in the Appendix Table S6, doing so generates similar results to our baseline estimates.

Third, we check whether the results still hold using alternative air pollution measures. In our data, PM₁₀ is the primary pollutant in constructing the API 40.8% of the time during our sample period.¹⁰ Presumably, the health impact is driven mostly by the primary pollutant, so we can estimate the same set of regressions using PM₁₀ as the air pollution measure. The results are reported in Appendix Table S7. The PRDEZ's PM₁₀ concentration are used as instruments in the first stage. We find that a 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM₁₀ concentration leads to a 0.94% to 0.99% increase in CVR mortality rate and this effect is statistically significant at the 1% level. The relationship between PM₁₀ and the non-CVR mortality rate, however, is statistically insignificant in all regressions. These findings are again consistent with our baseline results.

Fourth, using the same identification strategy, we can further examine the health impacts of specific pollutants. The results are summarized in Appendix Table S8. Here, we estimate the pollutant-mortality relationship separately for SO₂, O₃, and NO₂, using the PRDEZ's respective pollutant concentrations as instruments. Among them, the effect of SO₂ is statistically significant, but we cannot find statistically significant effect of O₃ and NO₂. These results suggest that the mortality effect of air pollution in HK is driven mostly by PM₁₀ and SO₂.

Fifth, as there may exist systematic differences between districts with actual monitors and those without, there is a concern that the non-classical measurement error of air pollution could potentially bias our estimates (Lleras-Muney, 2010; Knittel, Miller, and Sanders, 2016). To address this issue, in Appendix B, we conduct a rich set of formal tests following the approaches in Knittel, Miller, and Sanders (2016). Our analyses suggest that the non-classical measurement error is not severe and will thus not affect our findings. This is plausible because HK is a small city with intensive monitor coverage.

¹⁰ PM₁₀ contributes most of the primary pollution in API construction during our sample period. The contributions of other pollutants are: PM_{2.5} (22.6%), CO (0.4%), NO₂ (36.0%), O₃ (0.1%), and SO₂ (0.0%).

Next, we report the results at daily, weekly, and quarterly level using the same IV strategy in Appendix Table S9. Daily level analysis in Column 1 indicates that a 10-unit increase in daily API will lead to a 0.04% increase in CVR mortality rate. The impact at the weekly level is 0.10%, twice larger than the daily estimate (Column 2). At the monthly and quarterly level, we obtain similar coefficients (0.177 and 0.164). From daily to quarterly level analysis, we can observe an increasing trend in the estimated coefficient and the effect size stabilizes when we use monthly data. These results are consistent with the previous literature that using daily data tends to generate smaller estimates than using more aggregated data.

In Appendix Table S10, we report the OLS estimates and fixed-effects model estimates. We can draw conclusions. First, the estimated effects vary greatly across different specifications. For example, if nothing is controlled, a 10-unit increase in API is associated with a 0.92% change in the CVR mortality rate and the estimate is statistically significant at the 1% level. Adding controls and fixed effects significantly changes the point estimates and the significance level. These findings suggest that associational estimates can suffer from severe omitted variable bias. Second, in the most restrictive model (i.e., Column 6), a 10-unit increase in API is associated with a 0.67% change in CVR mortality rate. This estimate is substantially smaller than the IV estimate (1.77%) in Table 2, suggesting the potential attenuation bias in the fixed-effects models. The findings are consistent with several quasi-experimental studies (e.g., Schlenker and Walker, 2015; He et al. 2016; Deryugina et al. 2019), which also find that estimates of the air pollution effects obtained using a quasi-experimental design were much larger than estimates obtained from associational approaches.

Finally, many environmental epidemiology papers use non-accidental deaths as the outcome measure. For comparison, we report the results for the non-accidental mortality rate in Appendix Table S11. We estimate that a 10-unit change in API is associated with a 1.44% to 1.54% change in the monthly non-accidental mortality rate, a 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM_{10} concentration can lead

to a 0.67% to 0.73% increase in the outcome, and a 1 ppb increase in SO_2 concentration can cause 0.06% in the monthly non-accidental mortality rate.

V. Diminishing Air Pollution Effect

Air Pollution Effects over Time

We examine the air pollution effect over time by splitting our sample into different periods: 2000 to 2002, 2004 to 2008, and 2009 to 2015.¹¹ Figure 4 displays the estimates with 95% confidence intervals, the baseline estimates are plotted at left for comparison. The corresponding regression results are reported in Table 6. In Panel A, we focus on CVR mortality. We see that the effect is the largest using 2000-2002 data: a 10-unit increase in API will lead to a 3.13% increase in the CVR mortality rate (Column 1). Using data from more recent years, we find the air pollution effect on CVR mortality rate becomes smaller and statistically insignificant (Columns 2 to 4). In other words, the air pollution effect on mortality in HK is diminishing over the years. For non-CVR mortality, all the coefficients are small in magnitude and statistically insignificant (Panel B).

We choose the Year 2003 as the point to split the sample because many factors that affect population health in HK, especially the healthcare infrastructure, were drastically changed during the 2003 SARS epidemic. The SARS epidemic started in the Guangdong province of China and spread to HK in February 2003. It differed from previous epidemic infectious diseases in its explosive spread, which caught the health and hospital authorities by surprise. Before it was contained in late June 2003, there were over 1,700 cases of SARS infections in HK, resulting in 299 deaths.¹²

The SARS epidemic in HK caused broad social, economic, and humanitarian repercussions (Hung, 2003; Siu & Wong, 2004). Particularly, it unveiled some basic failings of HK's healthcare

¹¹ Year 2003 data are dropped from this analysis because several hundred people died from SARS, but these deaths are unrelated to air pollution.

¹² Source: World Health Organization: http://www.who.int/csr/sars/country/2003_07_04/en/

system, including overcrowded wards, poor ventilation in some hospitals, lack of isolation facilities, inadequate intensive care facilities, and difficulty in isolating and cohorting patients with suspected or possible SARS (Hung, 2003). Following the SARS, numerous healthcare improvements were carried out. For example, after reviewing the medical practices at the time, the Hospital Authority (HA) of HK built additional isolation beds, revamped the Intensive Care Units (ICU), rationalized existing beds, adopted a series of infection control practices, and launched massive staff training programs. The HA also worked closely with the HK Government to construct longer-term isolation facilities and set over 200 improvement targets at the beginning of 2004. In addition, the Centre for Health Protection was established to deal with public health issues and to help prevent communicable and non-communicable diseases. Since pneumonia is one of the leading causes of death in HK, various improvement measures were also adopted to reduce the transmission of respiratory diseases.

In addition, the HA enhanced community-based services and paid particular attention to the vulnerable groups, including the elderly. Several community-based teams, including Community Nursing Service, Community Geriatric Assessment, and Community Psychiatric Teams, were established. The provision of community-based healthcare among the elderly population has increased rapidly since 2003 (see Appendix Figure S4). After 2003, HA also launched a Visiting Medical Officer Scheme, which provided outreach, medical consultation, and after-care services to residential care homes for the elderly, introduced Family Medicine practice in clinics, and enlarged the proportion of doctors undergoing training in community care.

These changes have significantly improved the availability of quality medical services in HK and are considered an important contributor to longevity in HK. While it is difficult to demonstrate empirically that the improvement in healthcare availability and quality (which are difficult to measure) causally mitigated the effect of air pollution on mortality, we believe this channel is highly likely. Below, we offer additional supporting evidence for this argument and try to rule out several alternative explanations.

Access to Emergency Services and the Air Pollution Effect

A large number of medical studies have shown that air pollution often triggers acute cardiovascular diseases, such as strokes and heart attacks (see Mustafić et al., 2012; Shah et al., 2013; Cohen et al., 2017 for recent reviews). Air pollution also damages the immune system and increases the risk of various respiratory diseases, such as acute upper respiratory tract infections. When one's life is threatened by such acute diseases, immediate access to emergency care is critical. For example, if a patient is struck by acute ischemic stroke, the treatment modality is highly time-dependent and better outcomes can only be achieved when treatment is administered soon enough. Treatment within 60 minutes of symptom onset, known as the Golden Hour, produces excellent outcomes with significantly lower rates of morbidity and mortality (Ebinger et al., 2015). As a result, we conjecture that access to immediate emergency care and the quality of healthcare service may have important implications for the air pollution effect.

Hong Kong has stunningly efficient emergency services, in which the ambulance service is almost free and pledged to arrive at the patient's address within 12 minutes upon request. In 2014, for example, the total number of ambulance transportations was more than 20,000, with 95% of them arriving in less than 12 minutes.¹³ Whether a patient can receive immediate healthcare thus largely depends on the distance between the patient's address and the nearby hospital. In light of this, we explore the heterogeneity of the air pollution effect based on whether an LTPUG has immediate access to a hospital with accident and emergency (A&E) services. In HK, 20 hospitals provide A&E services and the locations of these hospitals have not been changed during our sample period. We generate 2-km buffer zones surrounding all the hospitals with A&E services and compare the air pollution effects for LTPUGs that are located within and outside those buffer

¹³ Source: http://news.ifeng.com/a/20140523/40427482_0.shtml

zones. We use the 2-km buffer zone because it roughly divides all the LTPUGs into two groups with equal sample sizes (Appendix Figure S5).¹⁴

Table 7 summarizes our findings separately for these two groups. Columns 1 and 2 report the results for districts located within the 2km radius of A&E services and Columns 3 and 4 report the results for districts located outside the radius. The estimates are statistically insignificant for both CVR and non-CVR mortality rates in Columns 1 and 2, suggesting that air pollution is less likely to kill people in districts with A&E services. In contrast, air pollution has a statistically significant impact on CVR mortality for people living far away from hospitals with A&E services. These results suggest that easy access to emergency medical services can reduce pollution-related deaths, which are consistent with our argument that availability of high-quality medical service is important for mitigating the air pollution effect. In addition, we check the robustness by varying the buffer zones of A&E services to 1.5km and 3km and report the results in Appendix Table S12. The estimates are quantitatively similar and indicate the same pattern that closer to A&E services is associated with less mortality from air pollution.

In Appendix Table S13, we also separately examine the impacts of A&E services and air pollution over time. The results show that the impacts of air pollution on populations with easier access to A&E services remain statistically insignificant during the entire period of time. For regions with A&E services outside 2km, however, the impact has reduced from 7.00% to 1.47% and becomes statistically insignificant at the end of the period.

Other Explanations

In this section, we discuss several alternative explanations for the diminishing air pollution effect, including a potential non-linear dose-response relationship between air pollution and health, smoking, and people's avoidance behavior in response to pollution.

¹⁴ In Appendix Figure S5, we observe that both groups of LTPUGs are dispersed equally in different areas of HK and are equally close to subway (the Massive Transport Railway) stations.

First, it is unlikely that the non-linearity of the air pollution effect drives our main findings. As shown in Appendix Figure S6, the API in HK was between 40 and 50 during most of the time and there were no dramatic improvements or deteriorations in air quality in Hong Kong during the sample period. Particularly, the API between 2000 and 2002, during which we find the largest air pollution effect, was not very different from the later periods (e.g. 2004 to 2007). This finding suggests that the diminishing air pollution effect cannot be driven by changes in air pollution levels.

Second, existing literature also suggests that smokers can be more sensitive to air pollution, in that they have a higher relative risk of all-cause mortality, cardiopulmonary mortality, and lung cancer (Hoek et al., 2002; Pope III et al., 2011; Hamra et al., 2014). This argument is often used to explain the finding that males are more likely to die from air pollution than females. If this argument were true and if there were fewer and fewer smokers over the years, the air pollution effect could decrease. To examine this hypothesis, we collect data from the HK Government and compare the smoking population over time. We find that, somewhat to our surprise, the percentage of smokers and daily smokers has remained unchanged during the entire period (see data in Appendix Table S14 and Appendix Figure S7). In other words, it is also unlikely that smoking is contributing to the diminishing air pollution effect.

The last hypothesis is that people's awareness of air pollution might have increased over the years, so more people adopt avoidance behaviors against air pollution. In the literature, studies find that people take actions to avoid exposure to air pollution (e.g., Neidell, 2004; Neidell, 2009). To test this hypothesis, we collect data from Google Trends and examine how air pollution is correlated with online searches for keywords related to "air pollution."

The results are reported in Table 8. In Panel A, we see that API is not statistically significantly associated with the search volumes for "PM_{2.5}", "PM₁₀", or "API", but is positively associated with search volume for "air pollution." These results suggest people's knowledge about air pollution is relatively limited and do not dig into the technical terms describing specific air pollutant. In Panels B and C, we show that the associations between API and people's searches for "air pollution" are

stable in all the years during which the Google Trends data are available, suggesting that people's responses to air pollution do not change much over time.

In Table 9, we further examine the relationship between API and searches for air pollution-related avoidance measures. The regression results show that, while people are to some extent aware of air pollution, this awareness does not lead to meaningful avoidance behaviors. In particular, there is no correlation between API and searches for masks and air purifiers, the two most commonly used defensive measures against air pollution. We also use HK's search volume for "watching films" as a way to measure indoor activities and again see no correlation. Therefore, we conclude that people in HK adopt minimal avoidance behaviors against air pollution, so the diminishing air pollution effect cannot be driven by awareness or avoidance of air pollution.¹⁵ These patterns are different from what we observe in mainland Chinese cities, in which people search for and buy more masks and air filters when air quality is bad (Ito & Zhang, 2016; Zhang & Mu, 2017; Liu et al., 2018).

VI. Conclusion

In many metropolitan cities in the developing world, income levels are rising quickly, while air pollution levels remain high. HK offers an imminent scenario for these cities as it has a high level of income, yet faces severe air pollution. In this study, we estimate the causal effect of air pollution on mortality using 16 years of data from in HK. To address the endogeneity problem, we use an instrumental variable approach based on transboundary air pollution from mainland China. Our analyses show that a 10-unit increase in the API will cause a 1.77% increase in the monthly cardio-respiratory mortality rate and the elderly are particularly vulnerable to air pollution.

¹⁵ These findings are consistent with some earlier studies. For example, DeGolyer (2008) conducted two surveys in 2000 and 2008 to understand HK residents' awareness of air pollution. In the survey, people were explicitly asked whether they were concerned with air pollution; the share of people worrying about air pollution remained very similar in both surveys.

The key finding in this study is that the effect of air pollution on mortality has dramatically declined and becomes statistically significant after the SARS epidemic in 2003. We argue that medical improvement initiatives and the development of community-based services implemented after SARS are likely to drive the diminishing air pollution effect. Empirically, we show people with immediate access to emergency healthcare are less susceptible to air pollution in comparison to those without, and the diminishing impacts are not driven by several alternative explanations. As pre-mature deaths are considered as the largest social cost of air pollution (other costs include morbidity, reduced productivity, etc.), our findings show that better socio-economic conditions and a well-functioning medical system can help reduce the damage of air pollution, at least in the short run. Governments and policymakers should thus consider the role of healthcare when formulating air pollution policies.

There are three caveats in this study. First, while a diminishing effect of air pollution on mortality is observed, it does not mean that air pollution becomes less important in HK. To what extent air pollution affects morbidity and the long-term effect remains underexplored. Second, while the heterogeneity results between districts with and without immediate A&E services suggest quality medical service can be important, this finding does not have a causal interpretation. To what extent the A&E services causally affect the air pollution-mortality relationship requires exogenous changes in the A&E service availability, which is missing in this study. Finally, the API is a comprehensive air quality measure and is determined by the pollutant with the highest concentration level in the standard. Our analyses do not distinguish between which pollutants are more health-damaging and tell little about how the interactions of different air pollutants can affect human health. Further studies are needed to investigate these issues.

REFERENCE

- Abbey, David E., Naomi Nishino and William F. McDonnell et al. 1999. “Long-Term Inhalable Particles and Other Air Pollutants Related to Mortality in Nonsmokers.” *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, 159(2): 373-382.
- Anderson, Michael L. 2015. “As the Wind Blows: The Effects of Long-Term Exposure to Air Pollution on Mortality.” *NBER Working Paper*.
- Arceo, Eva, Rema Hanna, and Paulina Oliva. 2016. “Does the Effect of Pollution on Infant Mortality Differ between Developing and Developed Countries? Evidence from Mexico City.” *The Economic Journal*, 126(591): 257-280.
- Aunan, Kristin, and Xiao-Chuan Pan. 2004. “Exposure-Response Functions for Health Effects of Ambient Air Pollution Applicable for China: A Meta-Analysis.” *Science of The Total Environment*, 329(1): 3-16.
- Becklake, Margaret R., and Francine Kauffmann. 1999. “Gender Differences in Airway Behaviour over the Human Life Span.” *Thorax*, 54(12): 1119-1138.
- Bell, Michelle L., Aidan McDermott and Scott L. Zeger et al. 2004. “Ozone and Short-term Mortality in 95 US Urban Communities, 1987-2000.” *JAMA*, 292(19): 2372-2378.
- Burnett, Richard T., C. Arden Pope III, and Majid Ezzati et al. 2014. “An Integrated Risk Function for Estimating the Global Burden of Disease Attributable to Ambient Fine Particulate Matter Exposure.” *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 122(4): 397-403.
- Cameron, Colin A., Jonah B. Gelbach, and Douglas L. Miller. 2011. “Robust Inference with Multiway Clustering.” *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 29(2): 238-249.
- Cao, Jie, Chunxue Yang and Jianxin Li et al. 2011. “Association between Long-term Exposure to Outdoor Air Pollution and Mortality in China: A Cohort Study.” *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 186(2-3): 1594-1600.

- Cesur, Resul, Erdal Tekin, and Aydogan Ulker. 2017. “Air Pollution and Infant Mortality: Evidence from the Expansion of Natural Gas Infrastructure.” *The Economic Journal*, 127(600): 330-362.
- Chay, Kenneth Y., and Michael Greenstone. 2003a. “Air Quality, Infant Mortality, and the Clean Air Act of 1970.” *NBER Working Paper*.
- Chay, Kenneth Y., and Michael Greenstone. 2003b. “The Impact of Air Pollution on Infant Mortality: Evidence from Geographic Variation in Pollution Shocks Induced by a Recession.” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 118(3): 1121-1167.
- Chen, Yuyu, Avraham Ebenstein, Michael Greenstone, and Hongbin Li. 2013. “Evidence on the Impact of Sustained Exposure to Air Pollution on Life Expectancy from Chinas Huai River Policy.” *PNAS*, 110(32): 12936-12941.
- Clougherty, Jane E. 2009. “A Growing Role for Gender Analysis in Air Pollution Epidemiology.” *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 118(2): 167-176.
- Costa, Amine Farias et al. 2017. “Air Pollution and Deaths among Elderly Residence of São Paulo, Brazil: An Analysis of Mortality Displacement.” *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 125: 349-354.
- Cohen, A. J., et al. 2017. “Estimates and 25-Year Trends of the Global Burden of Disease Attributable to Ambient Air Pollution: an Analysis of Data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study 2015.” *The Lancet*, 389(10082): 1907-1918.
- Colmer, Jonathan, Dajun Lin, Siying Liu, and Jay P. Shimshack. 2019. “Can Growth Conquer All? The Pollution-Mortality Relationship in High-Income, High-Pollution Hong Kong.” *Working Paper*.

- Currie, Janet, and Matthew J. Neidell. 2005. "Air Pollution and Infant Health: What Can We Learn from California's Recent Experience?" *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 120(3): 1003-1030.
- Currie, Janet, and Reed Walker. 2011. "Traffic Congestion and Infant Health: Evidence from E-ZPass." *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 3(1): 65-90.
- Currie, Janet, Matthew J. Neidell, and Johannes F. Schmieder. 2009. "Air Pollution and Infant Health: Lessons from New Jersey." *Journal of Health Economics*, 28(3): 688-703.
- DeGolyer, Michael E. 2008. "Hong Kong's Silent Epidemic: Public Opinion Survey on Air Pollution, Environment & Public Health."
- Deryugina, Tatyana, Garth Heutel, Nolan H. Miller, David Molitor, and Julian Reif. 2019. "The Mortality and Medical Costs of Air Pollution: Evidence from Changes in Wind Direction." *American Economic Review*, forthcoming.
- Dockery, Douglas W., C. Arden Pope and Xiping Xu et al. 1993. "An Association between Air Pollution and Mortality in Six U.S. Cities." *New England Journal of Medicine*, 329: 1753-1759.
- Ebenstein, Avraham, Maoyong Fan, Michael Greenstone, Guojun He, and Maigeng Zhou. 2017. "New Evidence on the Impact of Sustained Exposure to Air Pollution on Life Expectancy from China's Huai River Policy." *PNAS*, 114(39): 10384-10389.
- Ebenstein, Avraham, Maoyong Fan, Michael Greenstone, Guojun He, Peng Yin, and Maigeng Zhou. 2015. "Growth, Pollution, and Life Expectancy: China from 1991-2012." *American Economic Review*, 105 (5): 226-231.
- Ebinger, Martin, Alexander Kunz, and Matthias Wendt et al. 2015. "Effects of Golden Hour Thrombolysis: A Prehospital Acute Neurological Treatment and Optimization of Medical Care in Stroke (PHANTOM-S) Substudy." *JAMA Neurology*, 72(1): 25-30.

- Evans, J.S., T. Tosteson, and P.L. Kinney. 1984. “Cross-sectional Mortality Studies and Air Pollution Risk Assessment.” *Environment International*, 10(1): 55-83.
- Galizia, Audrey and Patrick L. Kinney. 1999. “Long-Term Residence in Areas of High Ozone: Associations with Respiratory Health in a Nationwide Sample of Nonsmoking Young Adults.” *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 107(8): 675-679.
- Gao, Yang, Emily YY Chan and Liping Li et al. 2014. “Chronic Effects of Ambient Air Pollution on Respiratory Morbidities among Chinese Children: A Cross-sectional Study in Hong Kong.” *BMC Public Health*, 14: 105.
- Graff Zivin, Joshua, and Matthew Neidell. 2012. “The impact of pollution on worker productivity.” *American Economic Review*, 102(7): 3652-3673.
- Graff Zivin, Joshua, and Matthew Neidell. 2013. “Environment, Health, and Human Capital.” *Journal of Economic Literature*, 51(3): 689-730.
- Greenstone, Michael, and Rema Hanna. 2014. “Environmental Regulations, Air and Water Pollution, and Infant Mortality in India.” *American Economic Review*, 104(10): 3038-3072.
- Hamra, Ghassan B., Neela Guha, and Aaron Cohen et al. 2015. “Outdoor Particulate Matter Exposure and Lung Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.” *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 122(9): 906-911.
- He, Guojun, Maoyong Fan, and Maigeng Zhou. 2016. “The Effect of Air Pollution on Mortality in China: Evidence from the 2008 Beijing Olympic Games.” *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 79: 18-39.
- Hoek, Gerard, Bert Brunekreef and Sandra Goldbohm et al. 2002. “Association between Mortality and Indicators of Traffic-related Air Pollution in the Netherlands: A Cohort Study.” *The Lancet*, 360(9341): 1203-1209.

- Hung, Lee Shiu. 2003. “The SARS Epidemic in Hong Kong: What Lessons Have We Learned?” *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 96(8): 374-378.
- Ito, Koichiro, and Shuang Zhang. 2016. “Willingness to Pay for Clean Air: Evidence from Air Purifier Markets in China.” *NBER Working Paper*.
- Jayachandran, Seema. 2009. “Air Quality and Early-Life Mortality: Evidence from Indonesia’s Wildfires.” *Journal of Human Resources*, 44(4): 916-954.
- Jia, Ruixue, and Hyejin Ku. 2018. “Is Chinas Pollution the Culprit for the Choking of South Korea? Evidence from the Asian Dust.” *The Economic Journal*, forthcoming.
- Knittel, Christopher R., Douglas L. Miller, and Nicholas J. Sanders. 2016. “Caution, Drivers! Children Present: Traffic, Pollution, and Infant Health.” *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 98(2): 350-366.
- Ko, F. W. S., W. Tam, T. W. Wong and C. K. W. Lai et al. 2007. “Effects of Air Pollution on Asthma Hospitalization Rates in Different Age Groups in Hong Kong.” *Clinical & Experimental Allergy*, 37(9): 1312-1319.
- Lai, Hak-Kan, Hilda Tsang, and Chit-Ming Wong. 2013. “Meta-analysis of Adverse Health Effects Due to Air Pollution in Chinese Populations.” *BMC Public Health*, 13(1): 360.
- Lau, Alexis, Andrew Lo and Joe Gray et al. 2007. “Relative Significance of Local vs. Regional Sources: Hong Kongs Air Pollution.”
- Levy, Jonathan I., David Diez and Yiping Dou et al. 2012. “A Meta-Analysis and Multisite Time-Series Analysis of the Differential Toxicity of Major Fine Particulate Matter Constituents.” *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 175(11): 1091-1099.
- Liu, Tong, Guojun He, and Alexis Lau. 2018. “Avoidance Behavior Against Air Pollution: Evidence from Online Search Indices for Anti-PM_{2.5} Masks and Air Filters in Chinese Cities.” *Environmental Economics and Policy Studies*, 20: 325-363.

- Lleras-Muney, Adriana. 2010. "The Needs of the Army: Using Compulsory Relocation in the Military to Estimate the Effect of Air Pollutants on Children's Health." *Journal of Human Resources*, 45(3): 549-590.
- Luechinger, Simon. 2014. "Air Pollution and Infant Mortality: A Natural Experiment from Power Plant Desulfurization." *Journal of Health Economics*, 37: 219-231.
- Lu, Feng, Dongqun Xu and Yibin Cheng et al. 2015. "Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of the Adverse Health Effects of Ambient PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ Pollution in the Chinese Population." *Environmental Research*, 136: 196-204.
- McCracken, John P et al. 2007. "Chimney Stove Intervention to Reduce Long-Term Wood Smoke Exposure Lowers Blood Pressure Among Guatemalan Women." *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 115(7): 996-1001.
- Mustafić, Hazrije et al. 2012. "Main Air Pollutants and Myocardial Infarction: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." *JAMA*, 307(7): 713-721.
- Neidell, Matthew J. 2004. "Air Pollution, Health, and Socio-economic Status: the Effect of Outdoor Air Quality on Childhood Asthma." *Journal of Health Economics*, 23(6): 1209-1236.
- Neidell, Matthew J. 2009. "Information, Avoidance Behavior, and Health: The Effect of Ozone on Asthma Hospitalizations." *Journal of Human Resources*, 44(2): 450-478.
- Pope III, C. Arden, and Richard T. Burnett. 2007. "Confounding in Air Pollution Epidemiology: The Broader Context." *Epidemiology*, 18(4): 424-426.
- Pope III, C. Arden, Michael J. Thun and Mohan M. Namboodiri et al. 1995. "Particulate Air Pollution as a Predictor of Mortality in a Prospective Study of U.S. Adults." *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, 151(3): 669-674.

- Pope III, C. Arden, Richard T. Burnett, Michael J. Thun, Eugenia E. Calle, Daniel Krewski, Kazuhiko Ito, and George D. Thurston. 2002. “Lung Cancer, Cardiopulmonary Mortality, and Long-term Exposure to Fine Particulate Air Pollution.” *JAMA*, 287(9): 1132-1141.
- Qiu, Hong, C. Mary Schooling and Shengzhi Sun et al. 2018. “Long-term Exposure to Fine Particulate Matter Air Pollution and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Elderly: A Cohort Study in Hong Kong.” *Environment International*, 113: 350-356.
- Qiu, Hong, Ignatius Tak-sun Yu and Linwei Tian et al. 2012. “Effects of Coarse Particulate Matter on Emergency Hospital Admissions for Respiratory Diseases: A Time-Series Analysis in Hong Kong.” *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 120(4): 572-576.
- Qiu, Hong, Shengzhi Sun and Hilda Tsang et al. 2017. “Fine Particulate Matter Exposure and Incidence of Stroke: A Cohort Study in Hong Kong.” *Neurology*, 88(18): 1709-1717.
- Schlenker, Wolfram, and W. Reed Walker. 2015. “Airports, Air Pollution, and Contemporaneous Health.” *Review of Economic Studies*, 83(2): 768-809.
- Schwartz, J. 2000. “Harvesting and Long Term Exposure Effects in the Relation between Air Pollution and Mortality.” *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 151(5): 440-448.
- Shah, Anoop SV et al. 2013. “Global Association of Air Pollution and Heart Failure: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.” *The Lancet*, 382(9897): 1039-1048.
- Shang, Yu, Zhiwei Sun, Junji Cao, Xinming Wang, Liuju Zhong, Xinhui Bi, Hong Li, Wenxin Liu, Tong Zhu, and Wei Huang. 2013. “Systematic Review of Chinese Studies of Short-term Exposure to Air Pollution and Daily Mortality.” *Environment International*, 54: 100-111.
- Siu, Alan, and Y. C. Richard Wong. 2004. “Economic Impact of SARS: The Case of Hong Kong.” *Asian Economic Papers*, 3(1): 62-83.
- Stieb, David M., Stan Judek, and Richard T. Burnett. 2002. “Meta-Analysis of Time-Series Studies of Air Pollution and Mortality: Effects of Gases and Particles and the Influence of Cause of

- Death, Age, and Season.” *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 52(4): 470-484.
- Tanaka, Shinsuke. 2015. “Environmental Regulations on Air Pollution in China and Their Impact on Infant Mortality.” *Journal of Health Economics*, 42: 90-103.
- van Donkelaar, Aaron, Randall V. Martin, Michael Brauer, and Brian L. Boys. 2014. “Use of Satellite Observations for Long-Term Exposure Assessment of Global Concentrations of Fine Particulate Matter.” *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 123(2): 135-143.
- Wansbeek, Tom, and Erik Meijer. 2001. “Measurement Error and Latent Variables.” *A Companion to Theoretical Econometrics*, 162-179.
- Wong, Chit-Ming, Stefan Ma and Anthony Johnson Hedley et al. 2001. “Effect of Air Pollution on Daily Mortality in Hong Kong.” *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 109(4): 335-340.
- Wong, Chit-Ming, W S Tam, T S Yu, and A H S Wong. 2002. “Associations between Daily Mortalities from Respiratory and Cardiovascular Diseases and Air Pollution in Hong Kong, China.” *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 59: 30-35.
- Wu, Dongwei, Jimmy Chi Hung Fung, Teng Yao, and Alexis Kai Hon Lau. 2013. “A Study of Control Policy in the Pearl River Delta Region by Using the Particulate Matter Source Apportionment Method.” *Atmospheric Environment*, 76: 147-161.
- Yuan, Zibing, Alexis Kai Hon Lau, Hongyi Zhang, Jian Zhen Yu, Peter K.K. Louie, and Jimmy C.H. Fung. 2006. “Identification and Spatiotemporal Variations of Dominant PM₁₀ Sources over Hong Kong.” *Atmospheric Environment*, 40(10): 1803-1815.
- Zanobetti, Antonella et al. 2000. “Generalized Additive Distributed Lag Models: Quantifying Mortality Displacement.” *Biostatistics*, 1(3): 279-292.
- Zanobetti, Antonella et al. 2002. “The Temporal Pattern of Mortality Responses to Air Pollution: A Multicity Assessment of Mortality Displacement.” *Epidemiology*, 13(1): 87-93.

- Zanobetti, Antonella and Joel Schwartz. 2008. "Mortality Displacement in the Association of Ozone with Mortality: An Analysis of 48 Cities in the United States." *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, 177: 184-189.
- Zeger, Scott L. Francesca Dominici, and Jonathan Samet. 1999. "Harvesting-Resistant Estimates of Air Pollution Effects on Mortality." *Epidemiology*, 10(2): 171-175.
- Zhang, Junjie, and Quan Mu. 2018. "Air Pollution and Defensive Expenditures: Evidence from Particulate-filtering Facemasks." *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, In press.
- Zhang, Pengfei, Guanghui and Dong, Baijun Sun et al. 2011. "Long-Term Exposure to Ambient Air Pollution and Mortality Due to Cardiovascular Disease and Cerebrovascular Disease in Shenyang, China." *PLOS One*, 6(6): 1-8.
- Zhou, Maigeng, Yunning Liu and Lijun Wang et al. 2014. "Particulate Air Pollution and Mortality in a Cohort of Chinese Men." *Environmental Pollution*, 186: 1-6.

FIGURE 1. THE ANNUAL AVERAGE OF $PM_{2.5}$ CONCENTRATIONS (MG/M^3) FOR THE PEARL RIVER DELTA REGION

Source: $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in 2006 are derived from Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) satellite data. AOD data are extracted from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) of the United States.

FIGURE 2. THE EFFECT OF API (PER 10 UNITS) ON DEATHS BY DIFFERENT AGE GROUPS

(A) ALL DEATHS

(B) CVR DEATHS

FIGURE 3. DOSE-RESPONSE CURVES OF THE AIR POLLUTION EFFECTS

(A) CVR MORTALITY RATE

(B) NON-CVR MORTALITY RATE

FIGURE 4. DIMINISHING AIR POLLUTION IMPACT

Notes: The square dots represent the estimated impacts of a 10-point increase in the API on CVR mortality and non-CVR mortality using data from different periods. The vertical lines represent the corresponding 95% confidence intervals.

Table 1. Summary Statistics of the Main Variables

	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Obs
Monthly Average API in Hong Kong	44.55	10.83	15.52	76.24	24,029
Monthly Average API in the PRDEZ	58.53	11.09	32.83	94.15	23,625
Distance with the PRDEZ (10km)	12.18	0.88	10.31	13.19	24,300
Standardized Monthly CVR Mortality Rate (per 10,000)	2.20	1.59	0.00	14.36	24,132
Standardized Monthly Non-CVR Mortality Rate (per 10,000)	2.60	1.59	0.00	14.35	24,132
Days with wind direction 0°	26.11%	0.22	0.00%	100.00%	24,201
Days with wind direction 45°	0.05%	0.00	0.00%	3.33%	24,201
Days with wind direction 90°	39.21%	0.23	0.00%	96.77%	24,201
Days with wind direction 135°	13.75%	0.18	0.00%	100.00%	24,201
Days with wind direction 180°	6.60%	0.12	0.00%	80.00%	24,201
Days with wind direction 225°	5.17%	0.10	0.00%	100.00%	24,201
Days with wind direction 270°	7.19%	0.12	0.00%	70.97%	24,201
Days with wind direction 315°	1.93%	0.05	0.00%	67.74%	24,201
Wind Speed (m/s)	3.52	1.84	1.16	46.56	24,201
Precipitation (100mm)	5.95	6.92	0.00	49.28	24,250
Temperature (°C)	22.03	5.07	6.99	29.82	24,300

Notes: All variables are measured at the monthly level. CVR stands for cardiovascular and respiratory diseases. The classification and definition of wind directions are illustrated in Appendix Figure S1.

Table 2. The Effect of Air Pollution on CVR and Non-CVR Mortality Rate

	CVR Mortality, log			Non-CVR Mortality, log			Diff.
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
<i>(per 10 units)</i>							
Hong Kong's API	0.0182*** (0.0057) (0.0069) (0.0063)	0.0183*** (0.0058) (0.0069) (0.0063)	0.0177*** (0.0059) (0.0069) (0.0064)	0.0097* (0.0053) (0.0056) (0.0056)	0.0097* (0.0054) (0.0057) (0.0058)	0.0086 (0.0054) (0.0057) (0.0058)	0.0090* (0.0054) (0.0048) (0.0048)
Temp and Sq.		Y	Y		Y	Y	Y
Precipitation			Y			Y	Y
Year-month FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
LTPUG FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	23,094	23,094	23,044	23,094	23,094	23,044	
Number of LTPUG	135	135	135	135	135	135	
First stage F-statistics	277.2	171.0	183.2	196.0	194.3	229.0	
RMSE	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.60	0.60	0.60	
First stage Shea Partial R2	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.23	0.22	0.23	
R2	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.03	0.03	

Notes: This table reports the two-stage least squares regression coefficients and standard errors. PRDEZ's API, local wind conditions, distances to the PRDEZ and their interaction terms are used as the instrumental variables for Hong Kong's API. The dependent variables are the logarithm of monthly mortality rate for all cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) deaths and non-CVR deaths. Column (7) presents the akin-to Wald estimator to compare the difference between the coefficients estimated from Column (3) and (6). We probe the robustness of estimates accuracy by clustering the standard errors at three different levels: the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level, LTPUG and year, and LTPUG and year-quarter level (multi-way clustering suggested by Cameron Gelbach, and Miller (2011)). The standard errors are respectively reported in the parentheses below the estimated coefficients. Our preferred specification clusters standard errors at the LTPUG level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$

Table 3. The Effect of Air Pollution on CVR Mortality Rate by Gender

	Male			Female		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
<i>(per 10 units)</i>						
Hong Kong's API	0.0236*** (0.0083)	0.0240*** (0.0084)	0.0222*** (0.0085)	0.0107* (0.0057)	0.0107* (0.0060)	0.0109* (0.0058)
Temp and Sq. Precipitation		Y	Y		Y	Y
Year-month FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
LTPUG FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	23,094	23,094	23,044	23,094	23,094	23,044
Number of LTPUG	135	135	135	135	135	135
First stage F-statistics	430.3	359.0	375.9	121.8	63.78	149.6
RMSE	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.79	0.79	0.79
R ²	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05

Notes: This table reports the two-stage least squares regression coefficients and standard errors. PRDEZ's API, local wind conditions, distances to the PRDEZ and their interaction terms are used as the instrumental variables for Hong Kong's API. The dependent variables are the logarithm of the monthly mortality rate for all cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) deaths. Columns 1–2 and 3–4 show estimates for males and females respectively. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1

Table 4. The Effect of Air Pollution by Age Group

	CVR Mortality, log	Non-CVR Mortality, log
	(1)	(2)
Age 0 to 4	-0.0006 (0.0044)	-0.0081 (0.090)
Age 5 to 9	-0.0004 (0.0021)	0.0023 (0.0031)
Age 10 to 19	-0.0006 (0.0039)	0.0021 (0.0094)
Age 20 to 39	0.0127 (0.0105)	-0.0118 (0.0191)
Age 40 to 59	0.0327 (0.0232)	0.0459 (0.0278)
Age 60+	0.0841** (0.0355)	0.0440 (0.0367)

Notes: This table reports the two-stage least squares regression coefficients and standard errors. Each cell reports a separate regression of the logarithm of monthly cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) deaths and non-CVR deaths on Hong Kong's API, PRDEZ's API, local wind conditions, distances to the PRDEZ and their interaction terms are used as the instrumental variables for Hong Kong's API. Weather, LTPUG fixed effects and year-month fixed effects are controlled for each regression. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$

Table 5. The Effect of Air Pollution on Mortality Rate with Lags

	CVR Mortality, log	Non-CVR Mortality, log
	(1)	(2)
<i>(per 10 units)</i>		
Hong Kong's API	0.0120**	0.0001
	(0.0056)	(0.0048)
Hong Kong's API, Lag = 1	0.0002	0.0054
	(0.0052)	(0.0053)
Hong Kong's API, Lag = 2	0.0065	0.0032
	(0.0046)	(0.0047)
Temp and Sq.	Y	Y
Precipitation	Y	Y
Year-month FE	Y	Y
LTPUG FE	Y	Y
Observations	22,184	22,184
Number of LTPUG	135	135
First stage F-statistics	236.4	61.25
RMSE	0.66	0.60
R^2	0.08	0.03

Notes: This table reports the two-stage least squares regression coefficients and standard errors. Current and lagged PRDEZ's API, local wind conditions distances to the PRDEZ and their interaction terms are used as the instrumental variables for current and lagged Hong Kong's API. The dependent variables are the logarithm of monthly mortality rate for cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) deaths and non-CVR deaths for the period 2000 to 2015. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level.

*** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$

Table 6. Diminishing Air Pollution Effect

	Before SARS	After SARS		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	2000 - 2002	2004-2007	2008-2011	2012-2015
<i>Panel A. CVR Mortality, log</i>				
<i>(per 10 units)</i>				
Hong Kong's API	0.0313** (0.0130)	0.0144* (0.0079)	0.0067 (0.0060)	0.0046 (0.0031)
<i>Panel B. Non-CVR Mortality, log</i>				
<i>(per 10 units)</i>				
Hong Kong's API	-0.0004 (0.0080)	0.0096 (0.0060)	-0.0036 (0.0045)	0.0091 (0.0062)
Temp and Sq.	Y	Y	Y	Y
Precipitation	Y	Y	Y	Y
Year-month FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
LTPUG FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	3,960	6,310	6,375	6,399
Number of LTPUG	134	135	134	134

Notes: This table reports the two-stage least squares regression coefficients and standard errors. PRDEZ's API, local wind conditions, distances to the PRDEZ and their interaction terms are used as the instrumental variables for Hong Kong's API. The dependent variables are the logarithm of monthly mortality rate for cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) and Non-CVR deaths for the 4 periods: 2000 to 2002, 2004 to 2007, 2008 to 2011, and 2012 to 2015. The year of SARS outbreak, 2003, is excluded as the daily life of citizens, and hence exposure to air pollution could be affected tremendously. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$

Table 7. Availability of Accident and Emergency (A&E) Services in Hospitals and the Air Pollution Effect

	A&E Hospitals within 2km		No A&E Hospitals within 2km	
	(1) CVR, log	(2) Non-CVR, log	(3) CVR, log	(4) Non-CVR, log
<i>(per 10 units)</i>				
Hong Kong's API	0.0070 (0.0060)	0.0038 (0.0063)	0.0251*** (0.0090)	0.0134 (0.0083)
Temp and Sq.	Y	Y	Y	Y
Precipitation	Y	Y	Y	Y
Year-month FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
LTPUG FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	12,057	12,057	10,987	10,987
Number of LTPUG	71	74	64	64
RMSE	0.60	0.55	0.71	0.65
R ²	0.10	0.05	0.07	0.03

Notes: This table reports the two-stage least squares regression coefficients and standard errors. PRDEZ's API, local wind conditions, distances to the PRDEZ and their interaction terms are used as the instrumental variables for Hong Kong's API. The dependent variables are the logarithm of monthly mortality rate for all cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) deaths and non-CVR deaths. Columns 1–2 and 3–4 show estimates for the population who lives within and outside the 2km zone to hospitals with A&E services respectively. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$

Table 8. Air Pollution and Avoidance Behaviors

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
<i>Panel A. The Associations between API and Avoidance Measures</i>					
	<u>Search for "PM2.5" (Log)</u>	<u>Search for "PM10" (Log)</u>	<u>Search for "API" (Log)</u>	<u>Search for "Air Pollution" (Log)</u>	<u>Search for "Air Pollution (in Chinese)" (Log)</u>
Hong Kong's API	0.38	6.63	2.35	25.2***	28.1***
(per 10 units)	(6.10)	(4.16)	(1.63)	(5.62)	(6.59)
Sample	2004-2015	2004-2015	2004-2015	2004-2015	2004-2015
Observations	144	144	144	144	144
R ²	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.30	0.16
<i>Panel B. The Associations between API and Search Index for "Air Pollution" (Log)</i>					
Hong Kong's API	29.3***	21.0***	26.7***	23.7***	25.2***
(per 10 units)	(1.16)	(3.43)	(7.66)	(5.60)	(5.62)
Sample	2004-2006	2007-2009	2010-2012	2013-2015	2004-2015
Observations	36	36	36	36	144
R ²	0.28	0.38	0.38	0.46	0.30
<i>Panel C. The Associations between API and Search Index for "Air Pollution (in Chinese)" (Log)</i>					
Hong Kong's API	51.3***	24.5***	24.3**	20.4***	28.1***
(per 10 units)	(12.1)	(7.28)	(10.5)	(3.88)	(6.59)
Sample	2004-2006	2007-2009	2010-2012	2013-2015	2004-2015
Observations	36	36	36	36	144
R ²	0.21	0.19	0.24	0.45	0.16

Notes: This table reports the OLS regression results. The independent variable is the average API in Hong Kong and the dependent variables are the Google Trends for different keywords. Google Trends points range from 0 to 100, with a higher score indicating more online searches. We use data from 2004 to 2015, as Google Trends data only became available since 2004. Standard errors are reported in the parentheses. *** p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1

Table 9. Air Pollution and Other Measures of Avoidance Behaviors

	<i>Search for "Mask"</i>	<i>Search for "Mask (in Chinese)"</i>	<i>Search for "Air Purifier"</i>	<i>Search for "Air Purifier (in Chinese)"</i>	<i>Search for "Film"</i>	<i>Search for "Film (in Chinese)"</i>
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Hong Kong's API <i>(per 10 units)</i>	-0.75 (1.82)	-3.63 (9.32)	1.91 (3.70)	0.20 (10.00)	1.65 (1.14)	-2.25 (2.30)
Observations	144	144	144	144	144	144
R ²	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00

Notes: This table reports the OLS regression results. The independent variable is the average API in Hong Kong and the dependent variables are the Google Trends points for different keywords. Google Trends points range from 0 to 100, with a higher score indicating more online searches. We use data from 2004 to 2015, as Google Trends data only became available since 2004. Standard errors are reported in the parentheses. *** p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1

Appendix to “Mitigating the Air Pollution Effect? The Remarkable Decline in the Pollution-Mortality Relationship in Hong Kong”

Appendix A. Sub-index Levels for the API and Their Corresponding Concentrations

The calculation of Air Pollution Index (API), a proxy measure of the ambient air quality, is based on the concentration of several air pollutants as shown in Table S1. The API is determined by the maximum concentration of different air pollutants. More details about API can be found in He et al. (2016).

Table S1. The Relationship between the API and Air Pollutant Concentrations (mg/m³)

API	SO ₂	NO ₂	PM ₁₀	CO	O ₃	Air Quality Levels
0-50	0-0.050	0-0.080	0-0.050	0-5	0-0.120	Excellent
50-100	0.050-0.150	0.080-0.120	0.050-0.150	5-10	0.120-0.200	Good
100-200	0.150-0.800	0.120-0.280	0.150-0.350	10-60	0.200-0.400	Slightly Polluted
200-300	0.800-1.600	0.280-0.565	0.350-0.420	60-90	0.400-0.800	Moderately Polluted
300-400	1.600-2.100	0.565-0.750	0.420-0.50	90-120	0.800-1.000	Severely Polluted
400-500	2.100-2.620	0.750-0.940	0.500-0.600	120-150	1.000-1.200	Severely Polluted

Notes. This table reports the API sub-index levels for each air pollutant. The sub-index with the highest value will then be used as the API.

Appendix B. Test for Non-classical Measurement Error

We conduct a rich set of formal tests on non-classical measurement errors using the approaches in Knittel, Miller, and Sanders (2016). We first predict air pollution of each HK’s air quality monitor using all other surrounding monitors within 20 miles, weighted inversely by distance. The discrepancy between each monitor’s predicted pollution level and the monitor’s actual recorded value is interpreted as the “error”. Second, to test how the “error” and its variance depend on the true pollution level and distance to the closest monitor, we run an OLS regression of the “error” on monitor and year-month fixed effects. Third, we obtain the residuals from the previous regression and estimate a regression model where the residual is a function of spline in true levels of pollution and distance to nearest monitors¹:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Residual Error} = & [\text{Spline in Actual Pollution Measure}] \\ & + [\text{Spline in Distance to Neareast Monitor}] \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Figure B-S1a displays the average true pollution level versus the error. The vertical solid red line and the vertical dashed blue line indicate the mean and mean plus 2 standard deviations, respectively. We conclude from Figure B-S1a that the unexplained error is small in magnitude and are not correlated with the true pollution levels. Figure B-S1b present the average errors by distance to the nearest monitor, suggesting no clear relationship between the bias or variance in the unexplained errors and the distance to the nearest monitor.

To explore whether the unexplained errors change over time (which might relate to reduced effects), Figure B-S2 displays the distributions of the error in different periods. The division of the time period is consistent with Table 6. In Figure B-S2a, we plot the densities, and Figure B-S2b uses box plot. The similar distribution patterns in both density and box graphs indicate that the unexplained error is uncorrelated with time. Combining with the results in Figure B-S1a, we conclude that non-classical measurement error in pollution data is not a severe.

¹ The knot points for linear splines are at mile distance of 3, 6, 9, 12, and 15 miles, and the API units of 20, 32, 44, 56, and 68.

In addition, we perform a Monte Carlo simulation to further test the robustness in IV estimates according to Knittel, Miller, and Sanders (2016). For each iteration of the simulation, we construct a ‘pseudo-pollution measure’ as each district’s air pollution level. The pseudo-pollution measure’ adds three components compared to the baseline model. In practice, each district’s ‘pseudo-pollution measure’ is constructed from Eq.2:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Pseudo-Pollution_{i,t} = & True\ Pollution_{i,t} + Predicted\ Mean\ Error_{i,t} \\
 & + \delta \times Predicted\ Error_{i,t-1} + \phi(0,1) \\
 & \times \sqrt{(Predict\ Error\ Variance + \gamma \times Predicted\ Error_{i,t-1}^2)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the first term $True\ Pollution_{i,t}$ represents the baseline’s matched monitor’s air quality in district i at year-month t . The other items represent the predicted errors which we obtained from the above spline analysis, and an additional error component that allows for autocorrelation in errors across time within monitor. $\phi(0,1)$ is a draw from the standard normal distribution.

We then replace our “true pollution” by the “pseudo-pollution” and estimate the baseline IV regression. We repeat this process by 100 times. Figure B-S3a shows the density of our simulated estimates. The dashed blue line and solid red line represent the mean value of simulated estimates and our baseline model’s estimate, respectively. Our baseline estimate (without measurement error) is 0.0177. The simulation indicates the mean estimate (with measurement errors) is 0.0174 with a range from 0.0163 to 0.0187. Pollution measurement error only increases the noise of our estimates at a very small magnitude (less than 2% of the true coefficient). With a full set of robustness checks following Knittel, Miller, and Sanders (2016), we conclude that non-classical measurement errors is unlikely to affect our findings.

References for Appendix

- He, Guojun, Maoyong Fan, and Maigeng Zhou. 2016. “The Effect of Air Pollution on Mortality in China: Evidence from the 2008 Beijing Olympic Games.” *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 79: 18-39.
- Knittel, Christopher R., Douglas L. Miller, and Nicholas J. Sanders. 2016. “Caution, Drivers! Children Present: Traffic, Pollution, and Infant Health.” *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 98(2): 350-366.

Figure B-S1. Error in assigned pollution by true pollution level and distance to closest monitor

(a) Average Error by True Pollution Level

(b) Average Error by Distance to Closest Monitor

Figure B-S2. Error in Assigned Pollution by True Pollution Level over Different Periods

(a) Density of Errors in Different Periods

(b) Box plot for Errors in Different Periods

Figure B-S3. Monte Carlo Results: Density of Estimates and First-Stage F-Statistics

(a) Estimated Beta

(b) Estimated First Stage F-statistics

Table S2. First Stage Regression Results

	Hong Kong's API		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Wind direction0°	-120.416*	-120.825	-145.232**
	(72.215)	(73.154)	(73.447)
Wind direction45°	605.942**	500.593**	502.619**
	(267.646)	(244.419)	(244.126)
Wind direction90°	-94.462	-99.082	-122.187*
	(67.363)	(68.845)	(69.489)
Wind direction135°	-78.839	-76.583	-104.567
	(69.570)	(69.930)	(69.140)
Wind direction180°	-105.420*	-106.809*	-130.863**
	(61.290)	(62.455)	(63.424)
Wind direction225°	-136.590**	-133.208*	-154.388**
	(68.872)	(71.345)	(70.688)
Wind direction270°	33.711	38.494	22.786
	(75.583)	(75.496)	(76.211)
PRDEZ's API * Wind direction0°	2.794**	3.010**	3.390**
	(1.273)	(1.307)	(1.308)
PRDEZ's API * Wind direction45°	-2.449	-0.861	-0.818
	(3.576)	(3.279)	(3.293)
PRDEZ's API * Wind direction90°	1.874	2.169*	2.497**
	(1.211)	(1.251)	(1.261)
PRDEZ's API * Wind direction135°	0.824	1.015	1.425
	(1.240)	(1.264)	(1.248)
PRDEZ's API * Wind direction180°	2.423**	2.706**	2.977**
	(1.145)	(1.176)	(1.202)
PRDEZ's API * Wind direction225°	2.355*	2.475*	2.765**
	(1.277)	(1.333)	(1.328)
PRDEZ's API * Wind direction270°	0.713	0.861	1.105
	(1.401)	(1.413)	(1.424)
PRDEZ's API * Distance to the PRDEZ	0.106	0.129	0.155
	(0.093)	(0.096)	(0.097)
Wind direction 0° * Distance to the PRDEZ	11.404**	11.501**	13.443**
	(5.728)	(5.796)	(5.814)
Wind direction 45° * Distance to the PRDEZ	-44.116*	-35.343*	-35.943*
	(22.687)	(20.757)	(20.878)
Wind direction 90° * Distance to the PRDEZ	9.793*	10.230*	12.079**
	(5.310)	(5.416)	(5.459)
Wind direction 135° * Distance to the PRDEZ	9.729*	9.574*	11.856**
	(5.542)	(5.567)	(5.500)
Wind direction 180° * Distance to the PRDEZ	10.106**	10.043**	11.960**

	(4.841)	(4.904)	(4.960)
Wind direction 225° * Distance to the PRDEZ	14.569***	14.236**	15.939***
	(5.393)	(5.563)	(5.492)
Wind direction 270° * Distance to the PRDEZ	-0.546	-0.828	0.479
	(5.958)	(5.931)	(5.983)
PRDEZ's API * Wind direction 0° * Distance to the PRDEZ	-0.249**	-0.268**	-0.298***
	(0.100)	(0.103)	(0.103)
PRDEZ's API * Wind direction 45° * Distance to the PRDEZ	0.139	0.005	0.006
	(0.301)	(0.276)	(0.279)
PRDEZ's API * Wind direction 90° * Distance to the PRDEZ	-0.181*	-0.206**	-0.232**
	(0.095)	(0.098)	(0.098)
PRDEZ's API * Wind direction 135° * Distance to the PRDEZ	-0.115	-0.131	-0.164*
	(0.098)	(0.100)	(0.098)
PRDEZ's API * Wind direction 180° * Distance to the PRDEZ	-0.221**	-0.241***	-0.262***
	(0.090)	(0.092)	(0.093)
PRDEZ's API * Wind direction 225° * Distance to the PRDEZ	-0.252**	-0.260**	-0.283***
	(0.100)	(0.104)	(0.103)
PRDEZ's API * Wind direction 270° * Distance to the PRDEZ	-0.089	-0.102	-0.122
	(0.110)	(0.110)	(0.111)
Windspeed	-0.078***	-0.084***	-0.083***
	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.009)
Temp and Sq.	N	Y	Y
Precipitation	N	N	Y
LTPUGFE	Y	Y	Y
Year-month FE	Y	Y	Y
Observations	24,438	24,438	24,375
R ²	0.95	0.95	0.95

Notes: This table reports the first stage regression results. PRDEZ's API, local wind conditions, distances to the PRDEZ and their interaction terms are used as the instrumental variables for Hong Kong's API. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1.

Table S3. Explore Nonlinear Effects of Air Pollution on Monthly Mortality Rate

	CVR Mortality, log	Non-CVR Mortality, log
	(1)	(2)
API 30 to 35	0.0321 (0.0221)	-0.0250 (0.0199)
API 35 to 40	0.0599*	0.0477 (0.0308)
API 40 to 45	0.0647 (0.0459)	0.0169 (0.0435)
API 45 to 50	0.0948*	0.0020 (0.0494)
API 50 to 55	0.1483** (0.0636)	0.0339 (0.0523)
API 55 to 60	0.1485** (0.0674)	0.0215 (0.0564)
API 60 to 65	0.1968** (0.0770)	0.0821 (0.0641)
API 65+	0.2421*** (0.0841)	-0.0004 (0.0836)

Notes: This table reports the two-stage least squares regression coefficients and standard errors for the API indicator variables (<30 units reference group). The dependent variables are the logarithm of monthly mortality rate for all cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) deaths and non-CVR deaths. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1

Table S4. The Effect of Air Pollution on CVR Mortality Rate using Different Distance Tolerances

	CVR Mortality, log					
	Within 12 km		Within 10 km		Within 8 km	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Hong Kong's API (<i>per 10 units</i>)	0.0195*** (0.0058)	0.0190*** (0.0058)	0.0185*** (0.0060)	0.0179*** (0.0060)	0.0173*** (0.0065)	0.0167*** (0.0064)
Temp and Sq.	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Precipitation	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y
Year-month FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
LTPUG FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	22,582	22,532	22,228	22,179	21,192	21,145
Number of LTPUG	132	132	130	130	124	124
RMSE	0.66	0.66	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65
R ²	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08

Notes: This table reports the two-stage least squares regression results. PRDEZ's API, local wind conditions, distances to the PRDEZ and their interaction terms are used as the instrumental variables for Hong Kong's API. Large Tertiary Planning Unit Groups (LTPUGs) that are far away from the general air quality stations are excluded and more stations are dropped across Columns 1 to 6. The dependent variables are the logarithm of monthly mortality rate for cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) deaths for the period 2000 to 2015. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1

Table S5. The Effect of Air Pollution on CVR and Non-CVR Mortality Rate Using Different Matching Approach

	Closest to LTPUG Centroid		Distance-based Weighted	
			Average from the Monitors	
	CVR Mortality	Non-CVR Mortality	CVR Mortality	Non-CVR Mortality
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Hong Kong's API	0.0176***	0.0084	0.0205***	0.0100
<i>(per 10 units)</i>	(0.0064)	(0.0058)	(0.0071)	(0.0073)
Temp and Sq.	Y	Y	Y	Y
Precipitation	Y	Y	Y	Y
Year-month FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
LTPUG FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	22,757	22,757	22,682	22,682
Number of LTPUG	135	135	135	135
First stage F-statistics	128.7	182.9	226.0	77.87
RMSE	0.66	0.60	0.66	0.60
R ²	0.08	0.03	0.08	0.03

Notes: This table reports the two-stage least squares regression coefficients and standard errors. PRDEZ's API, local wind conditions, distances to the PRDEZ and their interaction terms are used as the instrumental variables for Hong Kong's API. The dependent variables are the logarithm of monthly mortality rate for all cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) deaths and non-CVR deaths. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1

Table S6. The Effect of API on CVR and Non-CVR Mortality Rate Using Local Wind Speed as IV

	First Stage			Second Stage					
	Hong Kong's API			CVR Mortality, log			Non-CVR Mortality, log		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Hong Kong's API				0.0230*	0.0204*	0.0200*	0.0149	0.0133	0.0139*
<i>(per 10 units)</i>				(0.0118)	(0.0102)	(0.0098)	(0.0106)	(0.0084)	(0.0082)
Local Windspeed	-	-	-						
	0.12***	0.13***	0.13***						
	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.01)						
Wind direction 0°	7.72***	6.54***	6.28***						
	(0.94)	(1.12)	(1.05)						
Wind direction 45°	53.97**	57.21**	56.44**						
	*	*	*						
	(8.49)	(7.39)	(7.35)						
Wind direction 90°	8.70***	8.04***	7.84***						
	(1.09)	(1.25)	(1.21)						
Wind direction 135°	7.78***	7.33***	7.18***						
	(1.07)	(1.31)	(1.31)						
Wind direction 180°	4.80***	3.29**	2.92**						
	(1.34)	(1.29)	(1.18)						
Wind direction 225°	8.33***	7.36***	7.06***						
	(1.28)	(0.94)	(0.81)						
Wind direction 270°	9.85***	10.04**	9.60***						
		*							
	(1.53)	(1.40)	(1.23)						
Temp and Sq.	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y
Precipitation	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	Y
Year-month FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
LTPUG FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	23,930	23,930	23,880	23764	23764	23714	23764	23764	23714
Number of LTPUG	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135
RMSE				0.66	0.66	0.66	0.61	0.61	0.61
First stage F-statistics				180.6	491.6	456.9	162.5	227.0	227.0
R ²	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02

Notes: This table reports the instrumental regression results. Local wind conditions are used as the instrumental variables for Hong Kong's API. The dependent variables are the logarithm of monthly mortality rate for all cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) deaths and non-CVR deaths. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1

Table S7. The Effect of PM10 on CVR and Non-CVR Mortality Rate

	CVR Mortality, log			Non-CVR Mortality, log		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Hong Kong's PM10 (<i>per 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$</i>)	0.0099*** (0.0032)	0.0097*** (0.0032)	0.0094*** (0.0032)	0.0034 (0.0028)	0.0032 (0.0028)	0.0029 (0.0028)
Temp and Sq.		Y	Y		Y	Y
Precipitation			Y			Y
Year-month FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
LTPUG FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	23,090	23,090	23,040	23,090	23,090	23,040
Number of LTPUG	135	135	135	135	135	135
RMSE	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.60	0.60	0.60
R^2	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.03	0.03

Notes: This table reports the two-stage least squares regression coefficients and standard errors. PRDEZ's PM10, local wind conditions, distances to the PRDEZ and their interaction terms are used as the instrumental variables for Hong Kong's PM10. The dependent variables are the logarithm of monthly mortality rate for all cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) deaths and non-CVR deaths. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$

Table S8. The Effect of Different Air Pollution Measures on CVR Deaths

	CVR Mortality Rate, log				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Hong Kong's API (<i>per 10 units</i>)	0.0177*** (0.0059)				
PM ₁₀ (<i>per 10 µg/m³</i>)		0.0094*** (0.0032)			
SO ₂ (<i>per ppb</i>)			0.0064*** (0.0002)		
O ₃ (<i>per ppb</i>)				0.0001 (0.0005)	
NO ₂ (<i>per ppb</i>)					0.0014* (0.0008)
Temp and Sq.	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Precipitation	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Year-month FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
LTPUG FE	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	23,044	23,040	23,027	14,405	23,037
Number of LTPUG	135	135	135	135	135
RMSE	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.64	0.66
R ²	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.08

Notes: This table reports the two-stage least squares regression coefficients and standard errors. PRDEZ's API and concentration levels for 4 types of air pollutants (PM₁₀, O₃, NO₂, SO₂), local wind conditions, distances to the PRDEZ and their interaction terms are used as the instrumental variables for Hong Kong's respective air pollution measures. The dependent variables are the logarithm of monthly mortality rate for cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) deaths for the period 2000 to 2015. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1

Table S9. The Effect of Air Pollution on CVR Mortality Rate at Different Time Scales

	Daily Level	Weekly Level	Monthly Level	Quarterly Level
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Hong Kong's API	0.0004***	0.0010*	0.0177***	0.0164***
<i>(per 10 units)</i>	(0.0001)	(0.0005)	(0.0059)	(0.0059)
Week FE	Y	Y		
Year-Month FE	Y	Y	Y	
Year-Quarter FE				Y
Year FE				
Temp and Sq.	Y	Y	Y	Y
Precipitation	Y	Y	Y	Y
LTPUG FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
Observations	661,068	99,138	23,044	8,481
Number of LTPUG	135	135	135	135
First stage F-statistics	44.53	74.35	183.2	43.68
RMSE	0.52	0.83	0.66	0.51
R^2	0.01	0.03	0.08	0.08

Notes: This table reports the two-stage least squares regression coefficients and standard errors. PRDEZ's API, local wind conditions, distances to the PRDEZ and their interaction terms are used as the instrumental variables for Hong Kong's API. The dependent variables are the logarithm of mortality rate for all cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) deaths at different levels. Column (3) presents the results corresponding to Column (3) of Table 2. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$

Table S10. Estimates from Associational Approaches

	Non-Fixed Effect Models			Fixed Effect Models		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
<i>Panel A. All Deaths Mortality Rate</i>						
Hong Kong's API	0.0057***	0.0052**	0.0062**	0.0037	0.0035	0.0033
(per 10 units)	(0.0011)	(0.0026)	(0.0027)	(0.0025)	(0.0025)	(0.0025)
Observations	23,863	23,863	23,813	23,863	23,863	23,813
RMSE	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.52	0.52	0.52
Adjust-R ²	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.58	0.58	0.58
<i>Panel B. CVR Mortality Rate</i>						
Hong Kong's API	0.0092***	0.0066**	0.0081***	0.0070**	0.0068**	0.0067**
(per 10 units)	(0.0011)	(0.0027)	(0.0028)	(0.0027)	(0.0027)	(0.0027)
Observations	23,863	23,863	23,813	23,863	23,863	23,813
RMSE	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.66	0.66	0.66
Adjust-R ²	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.49	0.49	0.49
<i>Panel C Non-CVR Mortality Rate</i>						
Hong Kong's API	0.0031***	0.0038	0.0051**	0.0002	-0.0000	-0.0002
(per 10 units)	(0.0010)	(0.0024)	(0.0025)	(0.0026)	(0.0025)	(0.0025)
Observations	23,863	23,863	23,813	23,863	23,863	23,813
RMSE	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.61	0.61	0.61
Adjust-R ²	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.46	0.46	0.46
Temp and Sq.		Y	Y		Y	Y
Precipitation			Y			Y
Year-month FE				Y	Y	Y
LTPUG FE				Y	Y	Y
Number of LTPUG	135	135	135	135	135	135

Notes: This table reports estimates from OLS and fixed-effects models. The independent variable is Hong Kong's API and the dependent variables are the logarithm of monthly mortality rate for all deaths, cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) deaths, and non-CVR deaths. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1

Table S11. The Effect of Air Pollutants on the Non-Accidental Mortality Rate

	Non-accidental Mortality Rate		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
<i>Panel A. API, (per 10 units)</i>			
Hong Kong's API	0.0154*** (0.0052)	0.0154*** (0.0054)	0.0144*** (0.0054)
Observations	23,094	23,094	23,044
Number of LTPUG	135	135	135
RMSE	0.51	0.51	0.51
R ²	0.07	0.07	0.07
<i>Panel B. PM10 (per 10 µg/m³)</i>			
Hong Kong's PM10	0.0073*** (0.0025)	0.0070*** (0.0026)	0.0067*** (0.0026)
Observations	23,090	23,090	23,040
Number of LTPUG	135	135	135
RMSE	0.51	0.51	0.51
R ²	0.07	0.07	0.07
<i>Panel C. SO₂ (per ppb)</i>			
Hong Kong's SO ₂	0.0006*** (0.0002)	0.0006*** (0.0002)	0.0006*** (0.0002)
Observations	23,077	23,077	23,027
Number of LTPUG	135	135	135
RMSE	0.51	0.51	0.51
R ²	0.05	0.05	0.05
Temp and Sq.	N	Y	Y
Precipitation	N	N	Y
Year-month FE	Y	Y	Y
LTPUG FE	Y	Y	Y

Notes: This table reports the two-stage least squares regression results. PRDEZ's API, local wind conditions, distances to the PRDEZ and their interaction terms are used as the instrumental variables for Hong Kong's API. The dependent variables are the logarithm of monthly mortality rate for non-accidental deaths for the period 2000 to 2015. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1

Table S12. Availability of Accident and Emergency (A&E) Services in Hospitals and the Air Pollution Effect

	A&E Hospitals within Buffering Zone		No A&E Hospitals within Buffering Zone	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	CVR, log	Non-CVR, log	CVR, log	Non-CVR, log
<i>Panel A. Buffering Zone = 1.5km</i>				
Hong Kong's API	0.0082	0.0065	0.0210**	0.0098
(per 10 units)	(0.0052)	(0.0056)	(0.0083)	(0.0076)
Observations	8,785	8,785	14,259	14,259
Number of LTPUG	51	51	84	84
RMSE	0.59	0.53	0.70	0.64
R ²	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.02
<i>Panel B. Buffering Zone = 3km</i>				
Hong Kong's API	0.0054	0.0021	0.0275**	0.0183*
(per 10 units)	(0.0051)	(0.0052)	(0.0101)	(0.0104)
Observations	17,694	17,694	5,350	5,350
Number of LTPUG	104	104	31	31
RMSE	0.57	0.52	0.87	0.81
R ²	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.02
Temp and Sq.	Y	Y	Y	Y
Precipitation	Y	Y	Y	Y
Year-month FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
LTPUG FE	Y	Y	Y	Y

Notes: This table reports the two-stage least squares regression coefficients and standard errors. PRDEZ's API, local wind conditions, distances to the PRDEZ and their interaction terms are used as the instrumental variables for Hong Kong's API. The dependent variables are the logarithm of monthly mortality rate for all cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) deaths and non-CVR deaths. Columns 1–2 and 3–4 show estimates for the population who lives within and outside the buffering zone to hospitals with A&E services respectively. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1

Table S13. Accident and Emergency (A&E) Services and Air Pollution Impacts Overtime

	CVR Mortality Rate, log			
	Before SARS	After SARS		
	(1) 2000 - 2002	(2) 2004-2007	(3) 2008-2011	(4) 2012-2015
<i>Panel A. A&E hospitals within 2km</i>				
Hong Kong's API (per 10 units)	0.0174 (0.0108)	-0.0056 (0.0063)	0.0047 (0.0031)	-0.0032 (0.0049)
Observations	2,096	3,287	3,331	3,344
Number of LTPUG	70	71	70	70
RMSE	0.54	0.56	0.59	0.55
R ²	0.05	0.07	0.11	0.10
<i>Panel B. A&E hospitals outside 2km</i>				
Hong Kong's API (per 10 units)	0.0700** (0.0170)	0.0253** (0.0113)	0.0190 (0.0304)	0.0147 (0.0090)
Observations	1,865	3,023	3,044	2,291
Number of LTPUG	64	64	64	64
RMSE	0.57	0.68	0.39	0.53
R ²	0.04	0.07	0.12	0.09
Temp and Sq.	Y	Y	Y	Y
Precipitation	Y	Y	Y	Y
Year-month FE	Y	Y	Y	Y
LTPUG FE	Y	Y	Y	Y

Notes: This table reports the two-stage least squares regression coefficients and standard errors. PRDEZ's API, local wind conditions, distances to the PRDEZ and their interaction terms are used as the instrumental variables for Hong Kong's API. The dependent variables are the logarithm of monthly mortality rate for cardiovascular and respiratory (CVR) deaths for the 3 periods: 2000 to 2002, 2004 to 2007, 2008 to 2011, and 2012 to 2015. Panel A and B show estimates for the population who lives within and outside the 2km zone to hospitals with A&E services respectively. The year of SARS outbreak, 2003, is excluded as the daily life of citizens, and hence exposure to air pollution could be affected tremendously. Standard errors in parentheses are clustered at the Large Tertiary Planning Unit Group (LTPUG) level. *** p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1

Table S14. Smoking Pattern in Hong Kong

	2000	2003	2005	2008	2010	2012	2015
% of current smokers	14.40%	15.30%	14.80%	13.20%	12.00%	11.80%	11.40%
% of current daily smokers	12.40%	14.40%	14.10%	11.80%	11.20%	10.80%	10.60%
% of current non-daily smokers	2.00%	0.80%	0.70%	1.30%	0.90%	1.00%	0.70%
% of Ex-smokers	3.80%	3.20%	4.50%	5.60%	5.10%	6.70%	6.20%
% of Ex-daily smokers	-	2.70%	4.50%	5.10%	4.90%	5.80%	5.60%
% of Ex-non-daily smokers	-	0.40%	0.00%	0.50%	0.30%	0.90%	0.60%
% Non-smokers	81.80%	81.60%	80.60%	81.20%	82.90%	81.60%	82.50%

Notes: Data extracted from Thematic Household Survey Report No. 5, 16, 26, 36, 48, 53 and 59 by Census and Statistics Department (C&SD). % of smokers and daily smokers represent their respective percentage of the whole sampled population. % of (current, current daily, current non-daily, ex-, ex-daily, ex-non-daily) smokers refers to percentage of current, current daily, current non-daily, ex-, ex-daily, ex-non-daily) cigarette smokers aged 15 and over at the time of enumeration among all persons aged 15 and over.

Figure S1. Classification of Wind Directions

Figure S2. Hong Kong's Population in Large Tertiary Planning Unit Groups (LTPUGs), 2011

Notes: This figure displays Hong Kong's LTPUGs with its population in 2011. The population data is from the census 2011.

Figure S3. Comparison of Annual Average Air Pollution Index in the PRDEZ and HK

Figure S4. Trend in the Provision of Community-based Healthcare Services in Hong Kong

Notes: This figure displays Hong Kong's annual trends on the provision of community-based health services per 1000 elderly population. Data Source: Various Annual Reports of Hospital Authority.

Figure S5. Geographical Locations of LTPUGs and Hospitals Providing A&E Services in HK

Figure S6. Comparison of Annual Average Air Pollution Index in the PRDEZ and HK

Figure S7. Smoking Patterns in Hong Kong

Notes: Data are extracted from Thematic Household Survey Report No. 5, 16, 26, 36, 48, 53 and 59 by Census and Statistics Department (C&SD). % of smokers and daily smokers represent their respective percentage of the whole sampled population. % of (current, current daily, current non-daily) smokers refers to percentage of current, current daily, current non-daily) cigarette smokers aged 15 and over at the time of enumeration among all persons aged 15 and over.