An Efficient Detector for Combined Space-time Coding and Layered Processing *

Lin Dai, *Member, IEEE*, Sana Sfar, *Student Member, IEEE*, and Khaled B. Letaief, *Fellow, IEEE*

Center for Wireless Information Technology
Electrical and Electronic Engineering Department
The Hong Kong University of Science & Technology
Clear Water Bay, HONG KONG

Abstract

Group Layered Space-time architecture (GLST) combines space-time block coding and layered space-time processing, where the transmit stream is partitioned into different groups and in each group space-time block coding is applied. In the traditional receiver of GLST, group detection is applied first so as to suppress the interference from other groups and then decoding is performed for the desired group. In this paper, a novel detector is proposed, in which the entire groups are decoded first and then group detection is performed next. Theoretical analysis will demonstrate that the new detector can achieve a significant capacity gain compared to the traditional one. Simulation results will further show that the proposed detector can obtain at least 4 dB gain at a FER of 10^{-2} , for instance.

Keywords: *Group detection, MIMO systems, Space-time block coding, Layered space-time, Outage capacity.*

Corresponding Author:

Professor K. B. Letaief
Email: eekhaled@ee.ust.hk
Tel:/Fax: (852) 2358 7064/1485
URL: <http://www.ee.ust.hk/~eekhaled>

* This work is supported in part by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council under Grant No. HKUST6030/01E.

I. Introduction

MIMO (Multiple-Input Multiple-Output) systems can provide both diversity gain and multiplexing gain [1]. Most existing MIMO techniques aim at achieving either maximum diversity gain or maximum multiplexing gain. For example, space-time codes (STC) (including space-time block codes (STBC) [2-3] and space-time trellis codes (STTC) [4]) are carefully designed to achieve the full diversity order, but no multiplexing gain can be obtained. Layered space-time (LST) such as V-BLAST [5] can achieve maximum multiplexing gain but with a very low diversity gain. Group Layered Space-time architecture (GLST) has been proposed to achieve a better tradeoff between multiplexing gain and diversity gain, in which the transmit stream is partitioned into different groups and in each group STC is applied [6]. It can be regarded as a combination of STC and LST. This combination of coding and array processing at the receiver provides much better multiplexing gain than STC with lower decoding complexity, while at the same time achieving a much higher diversity gain than LST.

One possible approach in the detection of GLST, for a given group, is to suppress signals transmitted from other groups of antennas by virtue of a group detector first, and then perform space-time decoding for the desired group. This detector, which we refer to as Type I Detector, was proposed in [6] and adopted widely in later references [7-8]. However, it is not necessarily the most effective one. We found that when a space-time block code (STBC) is applied inside groups at the transmitter of GLST, an alternative detector is to perform decoding first and then do group detection. Specifically, for a GLST system with m transmit and n receive antennas during T time slots, the linear nature of STBC can be exploited to obtain an equivalent $Tn \times m$ channel. Group detection can then be applied to this equivalent channel instead of the original $n \times m$ one. It can be seen that after decoding, the receive dimensions increase from n to Tn and thus better performance can be achieved by group detection. Considering that the entire performance is limited by the step of group detection, it can be expected that the latter detector, which is referred to as Type II Detector, should have superior performance than the Type I Detector.

In this paper, a novel detector for GLST, i.e., Type II Detector, is proposed. We analyze the mutual information achieved by Type II Detector and compare it to that of Type I Detector. Performance is evaluated based upon the outage capacity and Frame Error Rate (FER). It will be shown that Type II

Detector can achieve at least 4 bit/s/Hz capacity gain over Type I Detector. Besides, with the Type II Detector much higher diversity gain can be obtained. At a FER of 10^{-2} , at least 4 dB gain can be achieved, for instance. This substantial gain is, however, achieved at a cost of a complexity increase, as we will show in Section IV.

This paper is organized as follows. The system model is provided in Section II. In Section III, we review Type I Detector first and then present the details of Type II Detector. The mutual information of both detectors is also derived. Simulation results are given in Section IV, where performance is evaluated based upon the outage capacity and FER. A complexity comparison of both detectors will be also provided. Finally, Section V summarizes and concludes this paper.

II. System Model

We consider a wireless link with m transmit and n receive antennas. Assume that the channel remains constant within a block of L symbols. Let h_{ij} denote the complex path gain from transmit antenna j to receive antenna i , which is modeled as samples of independent complex Gaussian random variables with mean zero and variance 0.5 per dimension. We also assume a perfect channel knowledge at the receiver side only, through the use of training sequences.

As shown in Fig. 1, all the m transmit antennas are partitioned into G groups, respectively, comprising $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_G$ antennas with $\sum_{i=1}^G m_i = m$. A block of input symbols $\{b_i\}_{i=1 \dots K}$ with length K is divided into G groups, $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \dots, \mathcal{G}_G$, and in each group, $\mathbf{b}_i = [b_{i,1}, b_{i,2}, \dots, b_{i,|\mathcal{G}_i|}]^T$, $i = 1, \dots, G$, is then encoded by a component space-time block code $STBC_i$ associated with m_i transmit antennas. Assume that the component codes $STBC_i$, $i = 1, \dots, G$, are with a code length T_i , respectively, and that the block length T is selected to be the minimum common multiple of $\{T_1, \dots, T_G\}$.¹ Then, the output $m \times T$ codeword matrix $\mathbf{X}$ over a block of T symbol intervals can be written as

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_1^1 & \cdots & \mathbf{s}_T^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{s}_1^G & \cdots & \mathbf{s}_T^G \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{S}_G \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

¹ Assume that T is less than L . That is, the channel remains constant within T symbols.

where $\mathbf{S}_i = [\mathbf{s}_1^i, \dots, \mathbf{s}_T^i] = [\mathbf{A}_{i1}\mathbf{b}_i, \dots, \mathbf{A}_{iT}\mathbf{b}_i] + [\mathbf{B}_{i1}\mathbf{b}_i^*, \dots, \mathbf{B}_{iT}\mathbf{b}_i^*]$ is the $m_i \times T$ codeword matrix of group $\mathcal{G}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, G$, with $(\cdot)^*$ denoting the conjugate operator, and $\mathbf{A}_{ij}, \mathbf{B}_{ij}$ are constant coefficient matrices in $\mathcal{R}^{m_i \times |\mathcal{G}_i|}$, $j = 1, \dots, T_i$.

The $n \times T$ discrete received complex signal $\mathbf{Y}$ over T time slots can now be written as

$$\mathbf{Y} = \sqrt{\frac{SNR}{m}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Z} = \sqrt{\frac{SNR}{m}} \left\{ [\mathbf{H}_1, \dots, \mathbf{H}_G] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{11}\mathbf{b}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{A}_{1T}\mathbf{b}_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}_{G1}\mathbf{b}_G & \cdots & \mathbf{A}_{GT}\mathbf{b}_G \end{bmatrix} + [\mathbf{H}_1, \dots, \mathbf{H}_G] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_{11}\mathbf{b}_1^* & \cdots & \mathbf{B}_{1T}\mathbf{b}_1^* \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{B}_{G1}\mathbf{b}_G^* & \cdots & \mathbf{B}_{GT}\mathbf{b}_G^* \end{bmatrix} \right\} + \mathbf{Z} \quad (2)$$

where the additive white noise $\mathbf{Z}$ has i.i.d. entries z_{it} , $i = 1, \dots, n$, $t = 1, \dots, T$, which are all Gaussian random variables with mean zero and unit variance. $\mathbf{H}_i$ is the $n \times m_i$ channel matrix of group $\mathcal{G}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, G$. Likewise, SNR is the average signal-to-noise ratio at each receive antenna.

III. GLST Detectors

An intuitive approach for the detection of GLST is to extract the signals of the desired group via group detection and then perform space-time decoding. Another alternative is to do space-time decoding first, and then perform group detection. The above detectors are referred to as Type I Detector and Type II Detector, respectively. In this section, we will present the details of Type II Detector and analyze the mutual information of both detectors. We begin by reviewing Type I Detector.

A. Type I Detector

When Type I Detector is adopted, group detection is performed first. In this paper, Group Zero-Forcing (GZF) is assumed to be deployed. Assume that group $\mathcal{G}_i$ is to be detected. Then, the interference from the other groups $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_{i-1}, \mathcal{G}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathcal{G}_G$ should be nulled out using an orthogonal projection. To obtain the projection matrix, we partition $\mathbf{H}$ into $\mathbf{H} = [\mathbf{H}_i, \mathbf{H}_{\bar{i}}]$, where $\mathbf{H}_{\bar{i}}$ includes the columns of $\mathbf{H}$ corresponding to all the groups except $\mathcal{G}_i$. The projection matrix $\mathbf{P}_i$ is then defined as [9]

$$\mathbf{P}_i = \mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}_{\bar{i}} (\mathbf{H}_{\bar{i}}^+ \mathbf{H}_{\bar{i}})^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{\bar{i}}^+ \quad (3)$$

where $(\cdot)^+$ denotes the conjugate and transpose operator. Obviously, it is required that $n \geq m - m_i + 1$ to guarantee a valid $\mathbf{P}_i$.

Using the linear transformation, $\mathbf{W}_i = \mathbf{H}_i^+ \mathbf{P}_i$, on the received signal $\mathbf{Y}$, we get

$$\mathbf{U}^I = \mathbf{W}_i \cdot \mathbf{Y} = \sqrt{\frac{SNR}{m}} \mathbf{Q}_i^{-1} \mathbf{S}_i + \mathbf{V}^I, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\mathbf{Q}_i^{-1} = \mathbf{H}_i^+ \mathbf{P}_i \mathbf{H}_i. \quad (5)$$

It turns out that $\mathbf{Q}_i = \left[(\mathbf{H}^+ \mathbf{H})^{-1} \right]_{m_i \times m_i}$ is the $m_i \times m_i$ diagonal submatrix of $(\mathbf{H}^+ \mathbf{H})^{-1}$. That is, it is

composed of the intersection elements of rows from $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} m_j + 1$ to $\sum_{j=1}^i m_j$ and columns from

$\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} m_j + 1$ to $\sum_{j=1}^i m_j$ in $(\mathbf{H}^+ \mathbf{H})^{-1}$. The covariance of the noise $\mathbf{V}^I$ is then given by $Cov(\mathbf{v}_t^I) = \mathbf{Q}_i^{-1}$,

where $\mathbf{v}_t^I$ is the t^{th} column vector of $\mathbf{V}^I$, $t = 1, \dots, T_i$.

[10] has proposed an algorithm for constructing any orthogonal STBC that guarantees that $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^*$ will not appear in the same time slot t . Under this assumption, (4) is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{r}^I = \sqrt{\frac{SNR}{m}} \mathcal{H}_i \cdot \mathbf{b}_i + \mathbf{z}^I, \quad (6)$$

where $\mathbf{r}^I = \left[(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_1^I)', \dots, (\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{T_i}^I)' \right]'$, $(\cdot)'$ represents the transpose operator and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_j^I = \begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_j^I, & \text{if } \mathbf{B}_{ij} = \mathbf{0}_{m_i \times |\mathcal{G}_i|} \\ (\mathbf{u}_j^I)^*, & \text{if } \mathbf{A}_{ij} = \mathbf{0}_{m_i \times |\mathcal{G}_i|} \end{cases}, \quad (7)$$

with $\mathbf{u}_j^I$ denoting the j^{th} column vector of $\mathbf{U}^I$, $j = 1, \dots, T_i$. For any group $\mathcal{G}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, G$, its corresponding subchannel matrix $\mathcal{H}_i$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_i^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{i1} + (\mathbf{Q}_i^{-1})^* \mathbf{B}_{i1}^* \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{Q}_i^{-1} \mathbf{A}_{iT_i} + (\mathbf{Q}_i^{-1})^* \mathbf{B}_{iT_i}^* \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Likewise, $Cov(\mathbf{z}^I) = \text{diag}(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_j)$, i.e., $Cov(\mathbf{z}^I)$ is made up of T_i diagonal submatrix $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_j$, where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_j = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Q}_i^{-1}, & \text{if } \mathbf{B}_{ij} = \mathbf{0}_{m_i \times |\mathcal{G}_i|} \\ (\mathbf{Q}_i^{-1})^*, & \text{if } \mathbf{A}_{ij} = \mathbf{0}_{m_i \times |\mathcal{G}_i|} \end{cases}, \quad j=1, \dots, T_i. \quad (9)$$

From the property of $\{\mathbf{A}_{ij}\}_{j=1, \dots, T_i}$ and $\{\mathbf{B}_{ij}\}_{j=1, \dots, T_i}$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_i^+ \cdot \mathcal{H}_i = \text{trace}(\mathbf{Q}_i^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{Q}_i^{-1})^+) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{|\mathcal{G}_i|} \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{|\mathcal{G}_i|}$ denotes a $|\mathcal{G}_i| \times |\mathcal{G}_i|$ identity matrix. Let $\lambda = \text{trace}(\mathbf{Q}_i^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{Q}_i^{-1})^+)$, then

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}^I = \mathcal{H}_i^+ \mathbf{r}^I = \sqrt{\frac{SNR}{m}} \lambda \mathbf{b}_i + \hat{\mathbf{z}}^I, \quad (11)$$

where

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^I) = \mathcal{H}_i^+ \text{Cov}(\mathbf{z}^I) \mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}_i^+ \text{diag}(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_j) \mathcal{H}_i. \quad (12)$$

By combining (11) and (12), the mutual information between the input $\mathbf{b}_i$ and output $\hat{\mathbf{r}}^I$ over a channel given by $\sqrt{SNR/m} \lambda \mathbf{I}_{|\mathcal{G}_i|}$ can be obtained as:

$$I(\mathbf{b}_i; \hat{\mathbf{r}}^I | \mathbf{H} = \lambda \mathbf{I}_{|\mathcal{G}_i|}) = \log \det \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_i^+ \text{diag}(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_j) \mathcal{H}_i + SNR/m \cdot \lambda^2 \mathbf{I}_{|\mathcal{G}_i|}}{\mathcal{H}_i^+ \text{diag}(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_j) \mathcal{H}_i} \right). \quad (13)$$

In [7], another linear transformation $\mathbf{W}_i = \mathbf{D}_i^+ \mathbf{P}_i$, instead of $\mathbf{H}_i^+ \mathbf{P}_i$, is applied on $\mathbf{Y}$, where $\mathbf{D}_i$ is an $n \times (n - m + m_i)$ matrix which satisfies $\mathbf{D}_i \mathbf{D}_i^+ = \mathbf{P}_i$ and $\mathbf{D}_i^+ \mathbf{D}_i = \mathbf{I}_{n-m+m_i}$. In this case, we have

$$\mathbf{U}^i = \sqrt{\frac{SNR}{m}} \mathbf{D}_i^+ \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{S}_i + \mathbf{V}^i, \quad (14)$$

where $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{v}_t^i) = \mathbf{I}_{n-m+m_i}$, for $t=1, \dots, T_i$. Then, after decoding,

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}^i = \sqrt{\frac{SNR}{m}} \text{trace}(\mathbf{Q}_i^{-1}) \cdot \mathbf{b}_i + \hat{\mathbf{z}}^i \quad (15)$$

where $\text{Cov}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^i) = \text{trace}(\mathbf{Q}_i^{-1}) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{|\mathcal{G}_i|}$. Therefore, the mutual information can be given as follows

$$I(\mathbf{b}_i; \hat{\mathbf{r}}^i | \mathbf{H} = \text{trace}(\mathbf{Q}_i^{-1}) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{|\mathcal{G}_i|}) = |\mathcal{G}_i| \log \left(1 + SNR/m \cdot \text{trace}(\mathbf{Q}_i^{-1}) \right). \quad (16)$$

It can be seen that this detector has a similar structure as that of Type I Detector. That is, group detection is performed first and is then followed by space-time decoding. However, we will show that thanks to a more efficient linear transformation matrix $\mathbf{W}_i = \mathbf{D}_i^+ \mathbf{P}_i$, it can achieve a slight performance gain over Type I Detector. Throughout this paper, we shall refer to this detector as Type I improved Detector.

B. Type II Detector

In Type II Detector, we make use of the linear structure of the STBC to decode first. In particular, an equivalent channel is obtained after a series of linear transformation. The group detector is then applied to this equivalent channel.

Let $\mathbf{u}'' = [\mathbf{y}_1', \mathbf{y}_2', \dots, \mathbf{y}_T']'$, where $\mathbf{y}_i$ is the i^{th} column vector of $\mathbf{Y}$, $i = 1, \dots, T$. Then, from (2) we have

$$\mathbf{u}'' = \sqrt{\frac{SNR}{m}} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{A}_{11} & \cdots & \mathbf{H}_G \mathbf{A}_{G1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{A}_{1T} & \cdots & \mathbf{H}_G \mathbf{A}_{GT} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{b}_G \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{B}_{11} & \cdots & \mathbf{H}_G \mathbf{B}_{G1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{B}_{1T} & \cdots & \mathbf{H}_G \mathbf{B}_{GT} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_1^* \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{b}_G^* \end{bmatrix} \right\} + \mathbf{v}'' . \quad (17)$$

Notice that $\{\mathbf{A}_{ij}\}_{j=1, \dots, T_i}$ and $\{\mathbf{B}_{ij}\}_{j=1, \dots, T_i}$ are the coefficient matrices of $STBC_i$, $i = 1, \dots, G$. Assume that all the G groups have the same group size and thus the same STBC.² Then, after a series of linear transformation, it can be shown that

$$\mathbf{r}'' = \sqrt{\frac{SNR}{m}} [\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}_1}, \dots, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}_G}] \cdot \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{z}'' , \quad (18)$$

where $\mathbf{r}'' = [(\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_1'')', \dots, (\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_T'')']'$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_j'' = \begin{cases} \mathbf{y}_j, & \text{if } \mathbf{B}_{ij} = \mathbf{0}_{m_i \times |\mathcal{G}_i|} \\ \mathbf{y}_j^*, & \text{if } \mathbf{A}_{ij} = \mathbf{0}_{m_i \times |\mathcal{G}_i|} \end{cases}$, $j = 1, \dots, T$. Also $\mathbf{z}''$ has the

covariance $\mathbf{I}_{Tn}$. For any group $\mathcal{G}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, G$, its corresponding subchannel matrix $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}_i}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}_i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{A}_{i1} + \mathbf{H}_i^* \mathbf{B}_{i1}^* \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{A}_{iT} + \mathbf{H}_i^* \mathbf{B}_{iT}^* \end{bmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

The process of converting the codeword matrix $\mathbf{X}$ to $\mathbf{b}$ can be regarded as a decoding process. Therefore, from (18) it can be seen that after a series of linear transformation, the decoding process has been done. Next, let us apply GZF to the equivalent channel given by (18). Let

$\mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{G}_i} = \mathbf{I}_{Tn} - \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}_i} (\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}_i}^+ \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}_i})^{-1} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}_i}^+$. Then, using the linear transformation $\mathbf{W}_i = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}_i}^+ \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{G}_i}$ on $\mathbf{r}''$, we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}}'' = \mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{r}'' = \sqrt{\frac{SNR}{m}} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{G}_i}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{b}_i + \hat{\mathbf{z}}'' , \quad (20)$$

² For the case of variable rate STBC, the decoding will be much more complicated. The real and imaginary parts of the channel matrix and codes should be separated and two equivalent channels are obtained. Group detection is then applied to these two equivalent channels to get the real and imaginary parts of the desired symbol, respectively. In this paper, we assume that all the groups adopt the same STBC so as to simplify the analysis. However, it should be pointed out that Type II Detector can deal with the case of variable rate STBC. We omit the details due to limited space.

where $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{G}_i}^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}_i}^+ \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{G}_i} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}_i}$ and $\text{Cov}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}^H) = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{G}_i}^{-1}$. $\mathbf{b}_i$ can then be detected via a Maximum Likelihood Detector (MLD). The mutual information is therefore given by

$$I(\mathbf{b}_i; \hat{\mathbf{r}}^H | \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{G}_i}^{-1}) = \log \det \left(\frac{\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{G}_i}^{-1} + \frac{SNR}{m} (\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{G}_i}^{-1})^+ \mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{G}_i}^{-1}}{\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{G}_i}^{-1}} \right) = \log \det \left(\mathbf{I}_{|\mathcal{G}_i|} + \frac{SNR}{m} (\mathbf{Q}_{\mathcal{G}_i}^{-1})^+ \right). \quad (21)$$

It can be seen that after decoding, the receive dimensions have increased to Tn , i.e., $\mathbf{r}^H$ is $Tn \times 1$. Recall that in Type I Detector, group detection is applied to each $n \times 1$ receive signal vector $\mathbf{y}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, T$. Obviously, in Type II Detector better performance can be achieved by group detection thanks to the higher receive dimensions. Considering that the entire performance is limited by the step of group detection³, it can be expected that Type II Detector can perform better than Type I Detector.

IV. Results and Discussions

The $x\%$ outage capacity $C_{out,x}$ is defined as the information rate that is guaranteed for $x\%$ of the channel realizations, i.e., $P(I \geq C_{out,x}) = x\%$. From (13), (16) and (21), we can compute the outage capacity achieved by Type I Detector, Type I improved Detector and Type II Detector, respectively.

Assume that there are 4 transmit and 4 receive antennas, i.e., $m = 4$ and $n = 4$. The bit stream and transmit antennas are equally divided into $G = 2$ groups and in each group Alamouti's scheme is adopted. Fig. 2 presents the capacity cdf curves of Type I, Type I improved, and Type II Detectors for a SNR of 5 dB. It can be seen that compared with Type I Detector, Type I improved Detector can achieve a slight capacity gain. However, with Type II Detector the capacity can be improved significantly. At least 4 bit/s/Hz gain can be obtained. This is shown more clearly in Fig. 3 where 90% outage capacity against SNR curves are plotted. With an increasing SNR , more and more capacity gain can be achieved by Type II Detector over Type I Detector.

Fig. 4 further compares the FER performance of Type I and II Detectors where QPSK is assumed. It should be pointed out that in Type I Detector, the number of receive antennas n should be larger

³ The whole detection process can be divided into two steps: group detection and space-time block decoding. Group detection adopts ZF to suppress the inter-group interference. Compared to space-time block decoding (which achieves the same performance as MLD), group detection has obviously much worse performance. Therefore, we claim that "the entire performance is limited by the step of group detection".

than $m - m_i$ because group detection is applied to an $n \times 1$ receive signal vector. However, for Type II Detector, it is only required that $Tn \geq m$ since group detection is performed after decoding. This implies that for some cases when $n < m$, Type I Detector cannot work, while Type II Detector can. Here for comparison, it is assumed that $n = m = 4$. As Fig. 4 shows, Type II Detector can achieve a gain of 4 dB over Type I Detector at a FER of 10^{-2} , and even more gains can be achieved with increasing SNR thanks to its higher diversity order. It should be pointed out that group successive interference cancellation (GSIC) can be also applied to both Type I and Type II Detectors. With GSIC, the performance of both detectors will be greatly improved. However, the gap between these two detectors is still large. For example, when $n = m = 4$, a 3 dB gain can be achieved by the Type II detector at a FER of 10^{-2} . We omit the results for GSIC here due to limited space.

We conclude this section by noting that, in Type II Detector, a $|\mathcal{G}_i|$ -symbol MLD inside each group is necessary. The advantage of the STBC low complexity detection cannot be exploited and thus the proposed Type II Detector leads to a complexity increase compared to the traditional one. In Table I, we list the number of additions, multiplications and comparisons required by Type I and Type II Detectors, respectively. From Table I, it can be seen that Type I and Type II Detectors require approximately an equal number of additions and multiplications. However, the number of comparisons of Type I Detector is much less than that of Type II Detector due to the use of linear detection for each group in Type I Detector. For example, with the above parameters, 80 and 96 multiplications and additions are needed by Type I and Type II Detectors, respectively. However, the number of comparisons of Type II Detector is double of that of Type I Detector (16 and 32 comparisons for Type I and Type II Detectors, respectively). Nevertheless, note that for a small group size, the complexity of Type II Detector is comparable to that of Type I Detector.

V. Conclusions

GLST is an architecture based on group transmission and group detection where a good tradeoff between multiplexing gain and diversity gain can be achieved. In this paper, a novel detector for GLST was proposed, where decoding is performed before group detection. It is shown that compared with the traditional Type I Detector for GLST, the proposed Type II Detector can achieve significant capacity gains and much higher diversity order due to its higher receive dimensions. In addition, Type

II Detector has a lower requirement on the number of receive antennas. Such superior performance is achieved, however, at the expense of higher complexity.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Zheng and D. N. C. Tse, "Diversity and multiplexing: a fundamental tradeoff in multiple-antenna channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 1073-1096, May 2003.
- [2] S. M. Alamouti, "A simple transmit diversity technique for wireless communications," *IEEE J. Select Areas in Commun.*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 1451-1458, Oct. 1998.
- [3] V. Tarokh, H. Jafarkhani and A. R. Calderbank, "Space-time block codes from orthogonal designs," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 1456-1467, July. 1999.
- [4] V. Tarokh, N. Seshadri and A. R. Calderbank, "Space-time codes for high data rate wireless communication: performance criterion and code construction," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 744-765, Mar. 1998.
- [5] P. W. Wolniansky, G. J. Foschini, G. D. Golden and R. A. Valenzuela, "V-BLAST: an architecture for realizing very high data rates over the rich-scattering wireless channel," in *Proc. ISSSE'98*, Pisa, Italy, pp. 295-300, Sep. 1998.
- [6] V. Tarokh, A. Naguib, N. Seshadri and A. R. Calderbank, "Combine array processing and space-time coding," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 1121-1128, May 1999.
- [7] N. Prasad and M. K. Varanasi, "Optimum efficiently decodable layered space-time block codes," in *Proc. the 35th Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers*, pp. 227-231, Nov. 2001.
- [8] M. Tao and R. S. Cheng, "Generalized layered space-time codes for high data rate wireless communications," to be published in *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*
- [9] E. A. Fain and M. K. Varanasi, "Diversity order gain for narrow-band multiuser communications with pre-combining group detection," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 533-536, Apr. 2000.
- [10] X. Liang, "Orthogonal designs with maximum rates," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 2468-2503, Oct. 2003.

TABLE I
COMPLEXITY COMPARISON OF TYPE I DETECTOR AND TYPE II DETECTOR

Detector	Complexity		
	Addition	Multiplication	Comparison
Type I	$\sum_{i=1}^G nm_i T_i + m_i^2 \mathcal{G}_i T_i + m_i \mathcal{G}_i T_i$	$\sum_{i=1}^G nm_i T_i + m_i^2 \mathcal{G}_i T_i + m_i \mathcal{G}_i T_i$	$K\Omega^*$
Type II	$\sum_{i=1}^G n \mathcal{G}_i T + nm_i \mathcal{G}_i T$	$\sum_{i=1}^G n \mathcal{G}_i T + nm_i \mathcal{G}_i T$	$\sum_{i=1}^G \Omega^{ \mathcal{G}_i }$

* Ω is the constellation size. For example, $\Omega=4$ for QPSK modulation.

Fig. 1: Block diagram of GLST

Fig. 2: Capacity cdf curves of Type I, Type I improved and Type II Detectors when $m = n = 4$, $G=2$ and $SNR=5$ dB.

Fig. 3: 90% outage capacity vs. SNR curves of Type I, Type I improved and Type II Detectors when $m = n = 4$ and $G = 2$.

Fig. 4: FER vs. SNR curves of Type I and Type II Detectors when $m = n = 4$ and $G = 2$. QPSK is adopted.