Numerical evidence for the spin-Peierls state in the frustrated quantum antiferromagnet
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We study the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg antiferromagnet with an antiferromagnetic $J_3$ (third-nearest-neighbor) interaction on a square lattice. We numerically diagonalize this “$J_1$-$J_3$” model on clusters up to 32 sites and search for ground-state properties as the frustration parameter $J_3/J_1$ changes. For “larger” $J_3/J_1$ we find enhancement of the incommensurate spin order, in agreement with various theoretical predictions. But for intermediate $J_3/J_1$, the low-lying excitation energy spectrum suggests that this incommensurate order is short range. Analysis of the ground-state expectation of the order parameter and the symmetries of the first excited state suggest that the spin-Peierls state is the best candidate for the ground state of the $J_1$-$J_3$ model in an intermediate $J_3/J_1$ region.

The phase diagram of the frustrated spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg antiferromagnet has received much interest in recent years. On a square lattice, frustration can be introduced by further-than-nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic couplings. Short-range interactions up to a distance of two lattice constants have been studied. This is the “$J_1$-$J_2$-$J_3$” model, which is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = J_1 \sum_{\langle \langle \langle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle \langle \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_3 \sum_{\langle \rangle \langle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j,$$

where the sums run over all first, second, and third nearest neighbors, and all $J_i > 0$. The classical phase diagram of this model is well known to have transition lines between Néel, collinear, and spiral states. The critical line separating the Néel and spiral states, $J_1 - 2J_2 - 4J_3 = 0$, is called the classical critical line (CCL). The quantum phase diagram is less clear. When the frustration is small (at small $J_2$ and $J_3$), the model possesses Néel order. Various analytical studies including linear spin-wave and large-$N$ expansion have shown that the ground state possesses collinear spiral (incommensurate) spin order at large $J_2$ and $J_3$, respectively. The ground state at intermediate $J_2$ and $J_3$, particularly along the quantum analog of the CCL, is still controversial. While some theories predict that frustration and quantum fluctuation destroy the Néel order to form a state without spin order, others predict that quantum fluctuation can stabilize the Néel state along this critical line. Nevertheless, it seems likely that in between the Néel and spiral phases, there exists an intermediate state without spin order. Large-$N$ expansions predict that this intermediate state is spontaneously dimerized. On the other hand, spin-wave theory predicts that this intermediate state is a spin liquid.

The search for a spin-liquid state in low-dimensional quantum antiferromagnets has long been a fascinating problem. Such a state is most likely to be found in frustrated systems with large quantum fluctuations. Therefore, the region along the quantum analog of the CCL in the $J_1$-$J_2$-$J_3$ model is a good place to search for a spin-liquid state, although other kinds of long-range order (such as spin-Peierls order) have been proposed in the same region. Since the location of this critical line is unknown, it is tedious to work with two adjustable parameters $J_2$ and $J_3$. Most numerical diagonalization studies of the frustrated Heisenberg model have been for $J_3 = 0$. A recent study has suggested that the end of the critical line on the $J_2$ axis is a Lifshitz point and thus not representative of the whole critical line. The purpose of this paper is to study this model on the $J_3$ axis. In the following, we will take $J_1 = 1$ and $J_2 = 0$.

Using the Lanczos algorithm, we are able to diagonalize this $J_1$-$J_3$ model on a 32-site square cluster. It is obvious that the 16-site lattice is too small to include the $J_3$ interaction because each site has only two (instead of four) distinct third-nearest neighbors. Finite-size scaling of the 16- and 32-site results will not be reliable, except perhaps at small $J_3$. Any other square lattices between 16- and 32-site cannot accommodate either the incommensurate or spin-Peierls state which we are going to discuss. Consequently, most of our results are based on the 32-site system. Although we are not able to study the finite-size effects systematically, our results are complementary to other theoretical studies and are particularly important in those areas where different theoretical approaches do not agree.

It is well known that for $J_3 = 0$, the ground state of the $J_1$-$J_3$ model exhibits long-range Néel order. Figure 1 shows the finite-size plot of the staggered magnetization $m$, defined as $m^2 = <S^z>/N$ [see Eq. (2)]. The system sizes are $N = 16, 24, 32$. The $1/\sqrt{N}$ dependence is taken from spin-wave theory for the unfrustrated case. We can see that at $J_3 = 0.3$, $m^2$ extrapolates to a finite value as $N \to \infty$. But the linear extrapolation fails at $J_3 = 0.35$. Hence we conclude that the Néel order persists at least up to $J_3 = 0.3$ in the thermodynamic limit. To study the spin order as $J_3$ increases further, we calculate the static structure factor,

$$S(q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_0)} \langle S_{\mathbf{R}} \cdot S_{\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{q}} \rangle.$$

Figure 2 shows $S(q)$ for the 32-site square lattice at different $J_3$. It clearly shows that as $J_3$ increases from zero, the peak shifts from $(\pi, \pi)$ to $(3\pi/4, 3\pi/4)$ at $J_3 \sim 0.5$, and then to $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ at $J_3 \sim 0.7$. This suggests that the Néel order vanishes as $J_3$ increases and another spin order develops which has ordering vector along the (1,1) direction. If the system...
possesses incommensurate spin order, as suggested by other theoretical studies,\textsuperscript{2–4} the peak in $S(q)$ should shift continuously from $(p, p)$ to $(p/2, p/2)$ as $J_3$ increases. Due to the discrete nature of the cluster, such a continuous shift along the (1,1) direction is not possible. Nevertheless, Fig. 2 is consistent with this scenario. We also calculate the dynamic structure factor,\textsuperscript{7} $S(q, \omega)$ (not shown). Sharp low-energy peaks are found at momenta along the (1,1) direction. As $J_3$ increases, the lowest-energy peak changes from $(p, p)$ to $(3p/4, 3p/4)$ at $J_3 \sim 0.5$, and then to $(p/2, p/2)$ at $J_3 \sim 0.7$. This is again consistent with the scenario that as $J_3$ increases, Néel order vanishes and the system develops incommensurate spin order.

Another way to check the existence of incommensurate spin order is to calculate the twist correlation function,\textsuperscript{12} $\chi = \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{T} S_{r} \times \left( S_{r+x} + S_{r+y} \right) \right\rangle^2$, (3)

where $x$ and $y$ are unit vectors. We expect $\chi$ to be independent of $N$ for large-enough $N$ if the system possesses long-range incommensurate spin order. Figure 3(a) shows $\chi$ in the 32-site system at different $J_3$. It shows that $\chi$ is enhanced at $J_3$ larger than about 0.4. This enhancement suggests the existence of incommensurate spin order at large $J_3$, which is consistent with the findings from the static and dynamic structure factors discussed above. However, only spin-wave excitations will show up as peaks in $S(q, \omega)$. Therefore, it does not exclude the existence of singlet excitations, especially at intermediate $J_3$. In particular it is difficult to judge from Fig. 3(a) whether the incommensurate order is long range at intermediate $J_3$.

If a quantum system possesses a broken symmetry in the thermodynamic limit, the ground state of the finite system will still be fully symmetric. But there will exist low-lying excited states with the appropriate quantum numbers corresponding to the symmetry which is spontaneously broken in the thermodynamic limit. Consequently, we can use the low-lying energy levels of a finite system to study the possible existence of long-range order. Figure 4 shows the energies of a few low-lying eigenstates in the 32-site system. For $J_3$ smaller than about 0.4, the first excited state is a triplet with momentum $(\pi, \pi)$, consistent with the existence of Néel order for small $J_3$. We denote this state as $E_{T1}$. For $J_3$ larger than about 0.85, the first excited state is a triplet with mo-
momentum \((\pi/2,\pi/2)\). We denote this state as \(E_{T_2}\). \(E_{T_1}\) and \(E_{T_2}\) are the two spin-wave excitations which show up as low-energy peaks in \(S(q,\omega)\). However, at intermediate \(J_3\), states with momentum \((3\pi/4,3\pi/4)\) are never the first excited state. We denote the first excited state in this region as \(E_5\). It is a twofold degenerate singlet pair, one with momentum \((0,\pi)\) and the other with \((\pi,0)\). Both are odd under reflection along the direction orthogonal to their momenta. The symmetries of the \(E_5\) state resemble the columnar dimer state. In this state, nearest-neighbor spin pairs form singlets, and these dimers freeze into a columnar order. It is fourfold degenerate, and can form four states with distinct symmetries: two with zero momentum, of which one is fully symmetric while the other is odd under rotation; and two with momenta \((0,\pi)\) and \((\pi,0)\). The last two have the same symmetries as the degenerate \(E_5\) state.

Since the finite system always has a first excited state with certain symmetry properties no matter whether the ground state possesses true long-range order, the above study of the low-lying states alone is not sufficient to show the existence of columnar dimer order. Next we study the order parameter for the columnar dimer state,

\[
\theta_{x}^{\text{dim}} = (-1)^{x}S_{x} \cdot S_{x+1} + i(-1)^{y}S_{x} \cdot S_{x+y}. \tag{4}
\]

In finite-size calculations, we examine the correlation function

\[
\chi_{\text{dim}} = \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{J} \theta_{x}^{\text{dim}} \right\rangle. \tag{5}
\]

If the ground state has long-range columnar dimer order, \(\chi_{\text{dim}} \sim O(1)\) for sufficiently large \(N\). Figure 3(b) shows \(\chi_{\text{dim}}\) at various \(J_3\) in the 32-site system. \(\chi_{\text{dim}}\) has a peak at \(J_3 \approx 0.7\), indicating that columnar dimer order is enhanced in this region. We also note that the location of this peak corresponds to the minimum energy gap between \(E_0\) and \(E_5\) in Fig. 4.

The spatial variations of the dimer correlation can be demonstrated by calculating the dimer-dimer correlation function defined as

\[
C_{(i,j)(k,l)} = \left( \langle S_i \cdot S_j \rangle \langle S_k \cdot S_l \rangle - \langle S_i \cdot S_j \rangle \langle S_k \cdot S_l \rangle \right)^2. \tag{6}
\]

where the bracket \((m,n)\) denotes nearest-neighbor sites. A dimer liquid state will display short-range structure in \(C_{(i,j)(k,l)}\) but decrease to zero at large dimer separations. On the other hand, a dimer solid, or spin-Peierls state, will continue to show periodic oscillations reflecting the underlying long-range order. \(C_{(i,j)(k,l)}\) for all inequivalent dimer pairs of the 32-site lattice evaluated at \(J_3 = 0.7\) are tabulated in Table I.

**TABLE I. Dimer-dimer correlation functions for all inequivalent dimer pairs in the 32-site system at \(J_3 = 0.7\).** See Fig. 5 for the numbering of the sites. The reference pair is \((19,23)\). The two spin correlation \((S_i \cdot S_j)\) is \(-0.183819\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>((i,j))</th>
<th>((19,23))</th>
<th>((k,l))</th>
<th>((19,23))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>((1,5))</td>
<td>0.055075</td>
<td>((19,22))</td>
<td>-0.019733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((1,29))</td>
<td>0.003783</td>
<td>((19,23))</td>
<td>0.245620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((2,29))</td>
<td>0.051208</td>
<td>((21,25))</td>
<td>0.003865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((5,10))</td>
<td>-0.051912</td>
<td>((21,26))</td>
<td>-0.045224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((10,14))</td>
<td>0.067346</td>
<td>((22,26))</td>
<td>0.009617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((13,18))</td>
<td>0.051260</td>
<td>((22,27))</td>
<td>0.104930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((14,19))</td>
<td>-0.071722</td>
<td>((25,29))</td>
<td>-0.042596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((17,21))</td>
<td>0.050038</td>
<td>((26,29))</td>
<td>0.003208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((18,21))</td>
<td>0.002528</td>
<td>((26,30))</td>
<td>0.067526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((18,22))</td>
<td>-0.054987</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The spatial variations of the dimer correlation can be demonstrated by calculating the dimer-dimer correlation function defined as

\[
C_{(i,j)(k,l)} = \left( \langle S_i \cdot S_j \rangle \langle S_k \cdot S_l \rangle - \langle S_i \cdot S_j \rangle \langle S_k \cdot S_l \rangle \right)^2. \tag{6}
\]

where the bracket \((m,n)\) denotes nearest-neighbor sites. A dimer liquid state will display short-range structure in \(C_{(i,j)(k,l)}\) but decrease to zero at large dimer separations. On the other hand, a dimer solid, or spin-Peierls state, will continue to show periodic oscillations reflecting the underlying long-range order. \(C_{(i,j)(k,l)}\) for all inequivalent dimer pairs of the 32-site lattice evaluated at \(J_3 = 0.7\) are tabulated in Table I. The reference pair is \((19,23)\). The two spin correlation \((S_i \cdot S_j)\) is \(-0.183819\).

To conclude, our numerical results suggest that Néel order in the \(J_1-J_3\) model is stable up to \(J_3 > 0.3\), as compared to \(J_3 = 0.25\) in the classical case. This could be the result of the CCL being moved to much larger \(J_3\) and \(J_3\) values by quantum fluctuation, when the Néel state is stabilized (order from disorder) and the spiral state is destabilized along the fact that spin-\(\frac{1}{2}\) objects can form dimer with one of their nearest neighbors only. But when we compare \(C_{(i,j)(k,l)}\) of the horizontal bonds farther away from the reference bond, they do not vary too much. Except for the trivial short-range order, the dimer-dimer correlations do not decrease appreciably in the largest dimer separation allowed in our system size.

To conclude, our numerical results suggest that Néel order in the \(J_1-J_3\) model is stable up to \(J_3 > 0.3\), as compared to \(J_3 = 0.25\) in the classical case. This could be the result of the CCL being moved to much larger \(J_3\) and \(J_3\) values by quantum fluctuation, when the Néel state is stabilized (order from disorder) and the spiral state is destabilized along the

![FIG. 5. Dimer-dimer correlation function \(C_{(19,23)(k,l)}\) of the 32-site system at \(J_3 = 0.7\). The reference bond \((19,23)\) is represented by a double line. The magnitude of \(C_{(19,23)(k,l)}\) is proportional to the thickness of the line joining the pair of sites \((k,l)\). The solid line means \(C_{(19,23)(k,l)}\) is positive, and the broken line means \(C_{(19,23)(k,l)}\) is negative.](image-url)
critical line. At large $J_3$, we believe, as our results suggest, that the model possesses incommensurate spin order. This is consistent with various theoretical studies. Our results further suggest that the model is likely to have a spin-Peierls state between the Néel state (at small $J_3$) and the incommensurate state (at large $J_3$), in agreement with some theoretical predictions. In particular, large-$N$ expansion predicted that the dimerized patterns depend on the spin $S$, and our results agree with it for $S = \frac{1}{2}$. We do not find evidence for a spin-liquid state as suggested by spin-wave theory, although our results cannot rule it out unambiguously.
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