Theory of the thermal expansion of Si and diamond
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Phonon-dispersion curves, lattice mode Grüneisen parameters, and the coefficients of thermal expansion are calculated for Si and C within a tight-binding model. The results are in good agreement with experimental data. The origin of the negative thermal expansion in Si is examined, and we find that the different thermal-expansion behaviors between Si and C can be explained by the different relative strengths of bond-bending and bond-stretching forces.

Thermal expansion in semiconductors is a topic of some technological as well as scientific interest since it plays an important role in determining the residual stresses in solid-state devices. While most materials expand upon heating, many tetrahedral semiconductors (e.g., Si, Ge, and GaAs) exhibit negative thermal expansion at low temperatures.1–4 This problem has received a fair amount of theoretical attention, mostly empirical studies relying heavily upon experimental input.5 An exception is the recent work of Biernacki and Scheﬄer,6 who calculated the negative thermal expansion of Si with a simple model based on two force constants extracted from local-density-functional calculations. It is generally believed that the unusual negative thermal-expansion behavior can be attributed to the negative Grüneisen parameters (i.e., phonon frequency increases as crystal volume increases) of the transverse acoustic (TA) phonons near the Brillouin-zone boundary, which have also been reproduced by ﬁrst-principles theories.7,8 In this paper, we choose Si and C as prototypical tetrahedral semiconductor systems and demonstrate that a simple tight-binding model9 can describe the thermal-expansion behavior accurately in these systems without fitting to experimental information. The origin of the negative thermal-expansion behavior is explained with a simple physical model. The difference in thermal-expansion behavior between Si and C arises from the difference in the relative strengths of the central forces and angular forces in the crystal in agreement with ideas in previous investigations.10

The free energy of a crystal can be expressed in the quasiharmonic approximation11 as

$$F(V, T) = E(V) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i h \nu_i(V)$$

$$+ k_B T \sum_i \ln \{ 1 - \exp \left[ - h \nu_i(V) / k_B T \right] \} , \quad (1)$$

where $E(V)$ is the energy of the static lattice with volume $V$, and $\nu_i(V)$ are the normal-mode frequencies of small-amplitude oscillations for the crystal at volume $V$.

To obtain the phonon frequencies $\nu_i$ at a given crystal volume, we employ a simple model in which the total energy of the crystal $E(V)$ is expressed as a sum of two terms: $E_{ba}$, the electronic band-structure energy, is the sum of single-electron energies over all occupied electronic states, and $U = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \phi(r_{ij})$ is a sum of nearest-neighbor pair interactions. The band structure is evaluated using an empirical tight-binding model following the previous work of Chadi and co-workers.12,13

The nearest-neighbor $sp^3$ parameters are determined by ﬁtting the electronic bands of Si and C in diamond structures: $e_s = -5.25$ eV, $e_p = 1.20$ eV, $V_{3s3s} = -1.938$ eV, $V_{3p3p} = 1.745$ eV, $V_{ppp} = 3.05$ eV, and $V_{ppp} = -1.075$ eV for Si; and $e_s = -2.99$ eV, $e_p = 3.71$ eV, $V_{3s3s} = -5.55$ eV, $V_{3p3p} = 5.91$ eV, $V_{ppp} = 7.78$ eV, and $V_{ppp} = -2.50$ eV for C. These parameters are scaled with interatomic separation $r$ as $r^{-2}$. Unlike the Chadi model,13 the short-ranged pair potential $\phi(r)$ in our calculation is determined by subtracting the $E_{ba}$ contribution from ﬁrst-principles local-density-functional results for the volume-dependent total energy of the crystal in the ideal diamond structure.14

Thus the parameters of our model can be extracted entirely from ﬁrst-principles calculations without ﬁtting to any experimental data.

The force-constant matrix is obtained by taking the second derivatives of the total energy with respect to the atomic displacements and, using second-order perturbation theory, can be expressed as

$$K_{ia,\beta} = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_\beta} + 2 \sum_{nk} f_{nk} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_\beta} \right\} n k$$

$$+ 2 \sum_{nk, n' k'} f_{nk} f_{n' k'} \left\{ \frac{\partial H}{\partial \alpha_i} \right\} n k \left\{ \frac{\partial H}{\partial \alpha_\beta} \right\} n' k'$$

$$\times \left\{ \frac{\partial H}{\partial \alpha_i} \right\} n' k' , \quad (2)$$

where $H$ is the tight-binding Hamiltonian, $\{ n k \}$ are electronic states, and $f_{nk}$ are Fermi occupation factors. The first term in Eq. (2) is the contribution from the short-ranged pair potential. The second and the third terms represent the contributions from the electronic band structure.

Using the above force-constant matrices, we can obtain the dynamical matrix15 for any wave vector $q$. We
obtained the phonon frequencies on a grid of 240 wave vectors in the irreducible part of the Brillouin zone. The calculated dispersion curves for Si and C are compared with experimental data in Fig. 1. Good agreements are achieved for the acoustic phonon modes. Our model tends to overestimate the optical-mode frequencies by ~10%. Using the calculated phonon frequencies, \( F(V, T) \) is evaluated for a set of volumes and temperatures. The equilibrium volumes at various temperatures are determined by minimizing \( F(V, T) \) as a function of \( V \). The results for the linear expansion coefficients of Si and diamond are shown in Fig. 2 in comparison with experimental results.\(^{16,1} \) Our calculations give a very good description of the thermal expansion in both Si and diamond. In particular, the low-temperature negative thermal-expansion behavior in Si and the absence of negative thermal expansion in diamond are well reproduced.

From Eq. (1), the thermal-expansion coefficient can be related to the Gr"uneisen parameters of the various phonon modes\(^ {17} \)

\[
\alpha = \frac{1}{3B_0V} \sum_i \gamma_i \nu_i \frac{d}{dT} \left[ \frac{\exp(h\nu_i/k_BT) - 1}{\nu_i} \right]^{-1}
\]

in which \( \gamma_i = -\frac{d}{dT} \left( \frac{\ln \nu_i}{\ln V} \right) \) is the Gr"uneisen parameter for the \( i \)th normal mode and \( B_0 \) is the bulk modulus.
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**FIG. 1.** Phonon dispersion curves for (a) Si and (b) diamond. Solid lines show the tight-binding calculation results. The experimental data (open circles) are from Ref. 1.
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**FIG. 2.** Linear thermal-expansion coefficient of (a) Si and (b) diamond as a function of temperature. Results from our calculations are indicated by solid lines. Experimental data (open circles) are from Refs. 16 and 1, respectively.

Equation (3) shows that a negative thermal expansion can only occur when some of the phonon modes have negative Gr"uneisen parameters. Since the interatomic forces in the crystal usually weaken when the lattice expands, the Gr"uneisen parameters are usually positive for all the phonon modes in most materials. The results for the Gr"uneisen parameters of Si and diamond are plotted, respectively, in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), where available experimental data\(^ {1} \) are also displayed. The acoustic-phonon modes of Si behave anomalously: strong negative Gr"uneisen parameters are found for the TA branches especially near the Brillouin-zone boundaries \( X \) and \( L \). On the other hand, such behavior is not observed in the corresponding phonon branches of diamond.

The physical origin of the anomalous behavior of the TA modes in Si can be elucidated by a simple model where we consider only nearest-neighbor interactions. We show in Fig. 4 the patterns of atomic vibrations associated with the TA(\( X \)) and the TA(\( L \)) modes. The polarizations of these two modes are determined by the symmetry of the diamond lattice and are associated with pure bond-bending motion: the bonds between atoms are either undisturbed or are distorted by atomic motions perpendicular to the bonds. In such cases, we can describe the restoring force on the atoms as the sum of a central-force part \( \omega_{\text{cen}} \) and a noncentral angular part \( \omega_{\text{noncen}} \) com-
The central-force contribution is well described by a spring model. For displacements perpendicular to a spring, the restoring force depends on the tension in the spring \( (T_s) \). At the equilibrium volume \( V_0 \) the tension in the spring is zero and \( \omega_0 = \omega_{\text{noncent}} \). Thus \( \omega_{\text{cen}} = 0 \) for \( V = V_0 \). When \( V > V_0 \) the spring is stretched, \( T_s > 0 \) giving a restoring force \( \omega_{\text{cen}} > 0 \). When \( V < V_0 \), the spring is compressed, \( T_s < 0 \) leading to \( \omega_{\text{cen}} < 0 \). We can relate \( \omega_{\text{cen}} \) to the bulk modulus as follows.

First, the central-force contribution to the force constant is related to the spring tension and the bond length \( d \),

\[
m \omega_{\text{cen}}^2 = 2CT_s/d,
\]

where \( C \) takes into account the number of bonds bent by the phonon distortion \( [C \text{ equals } 1 \text{ for TA}(L) \text{ and } 2 \text{ for TA}(X)] \) and \( m \) is the mass of atom.

Now \( T_s \) is related to the spring constant \( k \) and the deformation of the bond length from its equilibrium value \( d_0 \).

Then \( k \) and \( d - d_0 \) can be related to the bulk modulus \( B_0 \) and \( \Delta V/V_0 \).

Thus,

\[
T_s = k(d - d_0),
\]

and

\[
2 \frac{1}{3} k(d - d_0)^2 = \frac{1}{2} B_0 \left( \frac{\Delta V}{V_0} \right)^2 V_0.
\]

Finally,

\[
\gamma_{\text{cen}} = \frac{d(\omega_{\text{cen}}/\omega_0)}{d(lnV)} = \frac{4C}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{B_0 d_0}{m \omega_0^3}.
\]

Thus \( \gamma_{\text{cen}} \) is negative. This is the origin of the negative Grüneisen behavior of the TA modes in Si.

To get a complete description, we have to obtain the contribution from the angular forces. Using the bond orbital approximation,\(^1\) the change in covalent bond energy when the lattice is distorted with bond-bending motion is \( dE = \eta \theta \) where \( \eta \) is the tight-binding matrix element between bond orbitals on the two atoms at the ends of the bond and \( \theta \) is the angle of rotation of the bond. Now \( \eta \) varies as \( 1/d^2 \) and \( \theta = 2u/d \) where \( u \) is the atomic displacement, so \( \omega_{\text{noncen}} \) varies as \( 1/d^4 \).

Thus,

\[
\gamma_{\text{noncen}} = -d(\ln \omega_{\text{noncen}})/d(\ln V) = \frac{1}{u^2}.
\]

Within our simple model, the Grüneisen parameters of the TA(X) and TA(L) modes can be written as

\[
\gamma = \frac{2}{3} \frac{4C}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{B_0 d_0}{m \omega_0^3}.
\]

By using the bulk moduli and phonon frequencies from our tight-binding calculations, we can estimate from Eq.

![FIG. 3. Mode Grüneisen parameters for (a) Si and (b) diamond. Solid lines (acoustic modes) and dashed lines (optical modes) are results from our calculations. The experimental data (●, ■ acoustic modes; ○, □ optical modes; ●, ○ longitudinal; ■, □ transverse) are from Ref. 1.](image)

![FIG. 4. Vibrational motion corresponding to the (a) TA(X) mode and (b) TA(L) mode in the diamond structure. The displacements of the ions are along the [110] direction in (a) and along the [112] direction in (b).](image)
(10) the TA(X) and TA(L) mode Grüneisen parameters of Si, diamond, and Ge. The results are listed in Table I. Our model predicts that the TA modes have negative Grüneisen behavior in Si and Ge but not in diamond. The reason for the difference is that the directional covalent bonding is much stronger in diamond than in Si. Thus the angular forces are dominant in diamond and our analysis indicates that noncentral forces favor positive Grüneisen behavior. For negative thermal expansion, the angular forces should be weak but not absent, because otherwise the diamond structure would not be stable at all.

The above model can also be applied to the discussion of thermal expansion of zinc-blende semiconductors, although the analysis will be much more complicated because of the unequal masses of the atoms in the zinc-blende structure. However, in such cases, we expect tight-binding calculations will still give accurate answers.

In summary, we have shown that detailed calculations based on a simple tight-binding model can provide accurate thermal expansion results (for Si and diamond). We have given a microscopic analysis of the origin of the anomalous mode [TA(X) and TA(L)] Grüneisen parameters in Si. Our analysis provides a simple explanation of the negative thermal-expansion behavior in Si and the difference in thermal-expansion behavior of diamond and Si. We hope our work will provide a basis for considering thermal-expansion behavior in more complicated materials such as amorphous semiconductors, graphitic fibers, and polymer chains.
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\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{The Grüneisen parameters $\gamma_{TA(X)}$ and $\gamma_{TA(L)}$ for Si, diamond, and Ge are calculated from Eq. (10). The estimated $\gamma_{TA(X)}$ and $\gamma_{TA(L)}$ are compared with experimental data (Ref. 1) and tight-binding calculation results.}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
 & Si & Diamond & Ge \\
\hline
$\gamma_{TA(X)}$ (TB calc.) & $-1.08$ & $0.042$ & $-1.53$ \\
$\gamma_{TA(X)}$ (expt.) & $-1.4$ & $0.17$ & $-1.08$ \\
$\gamma_{TA(X)}$ [Eq. (10)] & $-1.67$ & $0.017$ & $-1.08$ \\
$\gamma_{TA(L)}$ (TB calc.) & $-1.15$ & $-0.047$ & \\
$\gamma_{TA(L)}$ (expt.) & $-1.3$ & $-0.4$ & \\
$\gamma_{TA(L)}$ [Eq. (10)] & $-1.54$ & $-0.060$ & $-0.77$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
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