Diamond nucleation enhancement by direct low-energy ion-beam deposition
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Direct ion beam deposition was successfully applied for the nucleation of nanodiamond crystallites on mirror-polished Si(001) substrates. Low-energy (80–200 eV) argon, hydrocarbon, and hydrogen ions from a Kaufman ion source were used. An amorphous carbon film was deposited on the substrate after ion bombardment. The films were characterized by high-resolution transmission electron microscopy, selected area electron diffraction, secondary electron microscopy, and micro-Raman spectroscopy. At ion doses above $1 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$, nanocrystalline diamond particles of 50–100 Å in diameter were formed in a matrix of amorphous carbon. These diamond nanocrystals served as nucleation centers for subsequent diamond growth by conventional hot filament chemical vapor deposition. The nucleation density depended strongly on the ion dosage, and a nucleation density of $3 \times 10^9$ cm$^{-2}$ could be achieved under optimized conditions. These results were found very helpful for the evaluation of the mechanism of ion-bombardment-induced nucleation of diamond.

I. INTRODUCTION

Chemical vapor deposition (CVD) of diamond was thoroughly investigated during the last decade, motivated by the unique set of properties of diamond, which makes it an excellent candidate material for a variety of applications. Diamond growth on existing diamond nuclei is properly understood, and was realized on a variety of substrates by different methods. The nucleation stage of diamond is, however, still not understood, though several methods were found by trail and error studies to initiate the formation of diamond nuclei on non-diamond substrates, very frequently on single crystal Si wafers. These include various ex situ (e.g., prescratching of Si wafers$^{1,2}$ and ion implantation$^3$) and in situ [bias-enhanced-nucleation (BEN)$^4$] processes. Among these enhancement methods, BEN was established as a technique capable of deposition of oriented diamond films on non-diamond substrates, when followed by a subsequent microwave CVD (Refs. 5 and 6) or hot filament CVD (Refs. 7 and 8) growth. Many attempts have been made to understand the BEN process, and different models have been proposed. Yugo and co-workers$^{9,10}$ and Gerber et al.$^{11}$ suggested a shallow ion implantation (subplantation) model, in which $sp^3$-bonded carbon clusters, formed by ion implantation, serve as nucleation precursors. Some researchers proposed that an increased amount of reactive hydrocarbon and atomic hydrogen in the plasma and the formation of a carbide surface layer should play the decisive role.$^{12–14}$ Beckmann et al.$^{15}$ noted that this change in the plasma chemistry was too small to account for the nucleation enhancement. Jiang, Schiftman, and Klages$^{16}$ found that the overall temporal evolution of nucleation density agreed well with a surface kinetic model proposed by Tomellini, Polini, and Sessa.$^{17}$ They further suggested that ion bombardment plays a decisive role in the BEN process. Ion bombardment, and thus its effect, are invariably present in the BEN process.$^{18,19}$ In spite of previous studies, the mechanism of ion-bombardment-enhanced nucleation still is not understood.

The ion beam technique is the most direct and effective method to study the effect of ion bombardment on nucleation enhancement. The advantage of the ion beam technique (compared to plasma bias-enhanced techniques) is the easy control of the fluence, species, and energy of the ions incident on the growing surface. Such controllability enables a systematic study of the process of diamond nucleation by ion bombardment. Numerous attempts have been undertaken to deposit diamond on various substrates by means of ion implantation and the mass-selected ion beam method. Lee et al.$^{20}$ reported that carbon ion implantation at medium energy (5–25 keV) into diamond substrates led to the growth of polycrystalline diamond with a very high density of extended defects. In spite of the many studies of carbon ion implantation into foreign substrates,$^{21–24}$ no definitive evidence for diamond formation was obtained. Recently, Guo et al.$^{25}$ reported the formation of diamond microcrystals on Si by means of hydrocarbon and hydrogen ion bombardment, but only x-ray photoelectron spectroscopic characterization was given to support the results.

In this paper, we used a direct two-step process to study the effect of ion bombardment on diamond nucleation. In the first step, hydrocarbon and hydrogen ion bombardment was used to induce nucleation on mirror-polished (001) Si substrates. In the second step, diamond films were subsequently deposited on the ion-bombarded substrates by conventional hot filament chemical vapor deposition (HFCVD). Field-emission gun scanning electron microscopy (FEG-SEM), high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), selective area electron diffraction (SAED), and micro-Raman spectroscopy were used to characterize the ion-bombarded substrates and the deposited films.

The present paper gives definitive evidence for diamond nucleation on non-treated Si(100) substrates using direct ion beam bombardment, with ions generated in a Kaufman ion source fed by a CH$_4$:Ar:H$_2$ gas mixture. This nucleation treatment was found efficient to further grow diamond by conventional HFCVD, achieving a high nucleation density.
The present systematic study was found very helpful in discussing the ion-beam-induced nucleation mechanism.

II. EXPERIMENT DETAILS

The experiments were divided into two steps: (1) nucleation enhancement by ion beam deposition, and (2) subsequent deposition of diamond films by HFCVD. The first step was carried out in a vacuum chamber equipped with a Kaufman ion source (3.0-1500-1000, Ion Tech, Inc. USA), which is shown in Fig. 1. The base pressure of the reactor was \( \sim 2 \times 10^{-8} \) Torr. Mirror-polished n-type (001) Si wafers were used as substrates. Before ion beam deposition, Si wafers were first rinsed with acetone and ethanol, and then etched with 5\% HF solution (diluted by deionized water) for 1 min. A mixture of methane, hydrogen, and argon (CH\(_4\):H\(_2\):Ar=1:14:4) was introduced into the ion source as the working gas at 2 sccm and \( 1 \times 10^{-3} \) Torr. The use of argon is for stabilizing the ion source, which cannot be operated steadily with only CH\(_4\). The ions were directed to bombard the substrate perpendicularly. The total ion dosage (measured with a Faraday cup) was varied from \( 10^{17} \) to \( 10^{19} \) cm\(^{-2}\) for ion energy between 80 and 200 eV. The substrate temperature was maintained at 780°C as measured with an infrared pyrometer. In the second step, the ion-bombarded samples were placed in a HFCVD reactor for subsequent growth. The HFCVD growth conditions are as follows: a gas composition of CH\(_4\)/H\(_2\)=2:98, a reactant gas pressure of 30 Torr, a filament temperature 2100°C, and a substrate temperature of 850°C. To investigate the effect of ion bombardment on nucleation, the growth time was kept constant at 15 min HRTEM (Philips FEG CM200 operated at 200 keV), SAED, FEG-SEM (Philips FEG XL30), and micro-Raman spectroscopy were used to characterize the samples.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Diamond nucleation density vs ion dose and species

Figure 2 shows a SEM surface morphology of the sample after HFCVD for 20 h on a partially ion-bombarded Si substrate. Nucleation enhancement by ion bombardment can be clearly seen. On the right-hand side of the figure, where the substrate was prebombarded with 150-eV ions at a dosage of \( 10^{19} \) cm\(^{-2}\), it can be seen that a continuous diamond film was deposited with a nucleation density of \( > 10^{9} \) cm\(^{-2}\). On the left-hand side of the figure, the substrate was covered during the bombardment process, so it received no ion bombardment. On this part of the substrate only a few disperse diamond grains were deposited. The above observation gave direct and definitive confirmation that the bombardment of hydrogen/hydrocarbon/argon ions can indeed induce diamond nucleation enhancement on a mirror-polished Si substrate at a specific ion dose.

To study the effects of ion bombardment upon diamond nucleation, the ion-bombarded substrates were subject to subsequent growth by HFCVD for 15 min so as to enlarge the grain size for ease of observation. A typical SEM surface morphology of the sample after growth is shown in Fig. 3. The sample was bombarded with (CH\(_4\):Ar:H\(_2\)) ions to a dose of \( 1 \times 10^{19} \) cm\(^{-2}\). Figure 3 shows that the diamond grains had an average size of about 150–200 nm. The grains have not coalesced to form a continuous film, so the nucleation density can readily be counted to be about \( 3 \times 10^{9} \) cm\(^{-2}\). The same procedure was performed for samples bombarded with ions of 80, 100, 150, and 200 eV at doses ranging from \( 10^{17} \) to \( 10^{19} \) cm\(^{-2}\). The corresponding nucleation density versus the ion dosage at 150 eV is shown in Fig. 4, where it can be seen that \( 10^{18} \) cm\(^{-2}\) appears to be the threshold dose for ion-
induced nucleation enhancement for bombardment by (CH$_4$:Ar:H$_2$) ions. Above the threshold dose, the nucleation density increased remarkably to a maximum value of $3 \times 10^9$ cm$^{-2}$. A similar dependence of nucleation density on ion dose was obtained for the other three ion energies, although the density obtained at $10^{19}$ ions cm$^{-2}$ appeared to show a slight tendency to decrease with decreasing ion energy.

For Ar$^+$ bombardment, the nucleation density shows merely a slight tendency toward increase. The highest nucleation density of $\sim 10^9$ cm$^{-2}$ was obtained at a dose of $1 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$, which is higher than the $\sim 10^8$ cm$^{-2}$ normally obtained on a mirror-polished silicon substrate without any pretreatment. The increase of nucleation density may be caused by ion-bombardment-induced surface damages, which serve as the active sites for subsequent growth. This result is different from that of Kobayashi et al.,$^{26}$ who reported that no diamond could grow on a silicon substrate preimplanted with 100-keV Ar ions at doses greater than $10^{19}$ ions cm$^{-2}$.

The surface damage induced by the implanted Ar$^+$ ions is responsible for the enhanced nucleation. Nevertheless, the enhancement induced by surface damages is limited, as the nucleation density is still two orders of magnitude lower than the value of $10^8$ cm$^{-2}$ induced by conventional diamond powder scratching. When using Ar and H$_2$ as the reactant gases (Ar:H$_2$=1:1), the nucleation density did not increase with ion dosage, but instead slightly decreased at high ion doses. The decrease of nucleation density may be due to the presence of hydrogen ions on the substrate surface, which removed the damages caused by Ar$^+$ bombardment. This result is similar to what was reported by Backmann et al.$^{28}$ Addition of CH$_4$ to the reactant gases gave strikingly different results. The nucleation density increases slightly with ion dosage from $10^{17}$ to $10^{18}$ cm$^{-2}$; however, it increases sharply as the dosage increases from $10^{18}$ to $10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$. At $10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$ a nucleation density of about $10^9$ cm$^{-2}$ was achieved. Compared to the case of only Ar gas, the addition of CH$_4$ caused the nucleation density to increase by three orders of magnitude. This demonstrates that the nucleation enhancement by Ar$^+$ alone is negligible compared to that by the CH$_4$/H$_2$/Ar ions. Therefore, it is believed that hydrocarbon and hydrogen ions are primarily responsible for the nucleation enhancement in the ion bombardment process.

### B. SEM and Raman characterization of ion-deposited carbon films

To investigate the mechanism of nucleation enhancement by hydrocarbon and hydrogen ion bombardment, the morphologies and phase compositions of the samples after bombardment at different ion doses and ion energies were studied by SEM, TEM and micro-Raman spectroscopy. Figures 5(a)–5(e) show SEM surface morphologies of the samples by (CH$_4$+H$_2$+Ar) ions at ion doses of $10^{17}$, $5 \times 10^{17}$, $10^{18}$, $5 \times 10^{18}$, and $10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$. The ion energy was 150 eV. For ion energies of 80, 100, and 200 eV, similar observations were obtained. When the ion dose was $10^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$ [Fig. 5(a)], a smooth film was deposited, although some pinholes and dark regions due to ion etching could be observed. As the ion dose increased to $5 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$ [Fig. 5(b)], the surface of the sample was still smooth under SEM observation, but the area of dark regions increased. The appearance of dark regions was proposed to be due to the amassment of the ion bombardment-induced stress. As a result, the dark region increased with increasing ion dose. Increasing the ion dose further to $10^{18}$ cm$^{-2}$ [Fig. 5(c)], the sample surface became rough. The dark regions still existed, but the average size of each region became smaller. Concurrently, many small clusters of 100–200 nm were formed on the surface. It was speculated that, when the stress in the dark regions accumulated to a sufficiently high level, the carbon species in the dark regions would aggregate to form clusters. As the ion dose reached $5 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-2}$ [Fig. 5(d)], the dark regions became smaller but individual large clusters were formed. Finally, when the ion dose reached $10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$ [Fig. 5(e)], these clusters grew larger to 300–500 nm and the roughness of film surface significantly increased. It is interesting to compare the SEM observations with the results in Fig. 4. Just as the clusters started to form at the ion dose of $10^{18}$ cm$^{-2}$ and enlarge with increasing ion dose, concurrently the diamond nucleation density in Fig. 4 also began to increase from an ion dose of $10^{18}$ cm$^{-2}$.

Figure 6 shows the corresponding Raman spectra of the samples shown in Fig. 5. In the figure, two main peaks located at 1358 and 1588 cm$^{-1}$, so-called D and G bands,$^{29,30}$ can be observed in the spectra of all samples. The presence of the two bands shows that the deposited films were composed of amorphous carbon and graphite. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of both the D and G bands decreased with increasing ion doses from $10^{17}$ to $10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$. For example, the FWHM is of the D and G bands was 272.7 and 127.3 cm$^{-1}$, respectively at an ion dose of $10^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$.
but decreased to 90.9 and 95.5 cm\(^{-1}\) at 10\(^{19}\) cm\(^{-2}\). The decrease of the FWHM’s of both \(D\) and \(G\) bands is probably associated with the condensation or crystallization process of both amorphous carbon and graphite state with increasing ion dose. Furthermore, the ratio of the intensities of the \(D\) band to the \(G\) Band, usually referred as the \(I(D)/I(G)\) ratio,\(^{31,32}\) increased with increasing ion dose. The \(I(D)/I(G)\) ratio is known to increase with the size of the clusters in the film.\(^{30,33}\) Thus Raman observation shows that the crystal size increased with increasing ion dose. This is in accord with the SEM observation of surface morphologies in Fig. 5, where large clusters were observed at a high ion dose.

C. Characterization of ion-deposited carbon film and SiC interlayer

Figure 7(a) shows the TEM cross-sectional bright-field image of the sample bombarded at an ion dose of 10\(^{19}\) cm\(^{-2}\). The ion energy was 100 eV. It can be seen that an amorphous carbon layer (marked as \(C\)) of thickness of \(\sim 400\) nm was deposited on the silicon substrate (marked as \(A\)). A SiC interlayer (marked as \(B\)) could be detected between the amorphous carbon film and silicon substrate. Some voids were observed on the substrate surface, most probably due to ion-induced etching. The corresponding SAED pattern of the sample in Fig. 7(a) is shown in Fig. 7(b). The diffraction pattern of SiC was observed to show an epitaxial relation with the silicon diffraction points. It is important to note that, in addition to the diffraction patterns of Si and SiC (indicated by a single narrow arrowhead), the \{111\} and \{220\} diffraction rings of diamond can also be observed, as indicated by double and single fat arrowheads, respectively. The formation of diamond is further confirmed by the HRTEM image taken from the amorphous film, which will be discussed later in the paper.

FIG. 5. SEM surface morphologies of the samples after ion bombardment by 150-eV (CH\(_x\)+H\(_x\)+Ar) ions with different doses: (a) 10\(^{17}\) cm\(^{-2}\), (b) 5 \times 10\(^{17}\) cm\(^{-2}\), (c) 10\(^{18}\) cm\(^{-2}\), (d) 5 \times 10\(^{18}\) cm\(^{-2}\), and (e) 10\(^{19}\) cm\(^{-2}\).
The presence of SiC at the interface between the amorphous carbon layer and silicon substrate is demonstrated by the cross-sectional HRTEM shown in Fig. 8. The sample was bombarded with an ion dose of $10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$ at 80 eV. It revealed that an epitaxial SiC layer with a thickness of about 10 nm was formed between the amorphous carbon and silicon substrates. The epitaxial relation between the SiC and silicon substrate was also revealed by the SAED pattern in Fig. 7 for the sample after bombardment by ions of 100 eV. Based on the experimental observations above, the following process can be reckoned to have happened during ion bombardment. The energetic hydrocarbon ions arriving at the substrate surface creating initially a SiC layer. As the bombardment continued, the energetic hydrocarbon clusters migrated and aggregated on the substrate surface, and subsequently an amorphous carbon layer was deposited on the SiC layer. This process was clearly revealed by Raman measurements on the sample after 200-eV ion bombardment at a dose ranging from $10^{16}$ to $10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$, as shown in Fig. 9. At an ion dose of $10^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$, only two peaks at about 820 and 980 cm$^{-1}$ for SiC and Si can be observed. As the ion dose increased to $10^{17}$ cm$^{-2}$, a broad signal centered at about 1470 cm$^{-1}$ appeared, showing the presence of amorphous carbon on SiC. With increasing ion dose, the thickness of the amorphous film increased, so that the SiC signal gradually decreased and eventually disappeared at the ion dose of $10^{19}$ cm$^{-2}$. Meanwhile, the $D$ and $G$ peaks increased at the expense of the broad signal at 1470 cm$^{-1}$, and their FWHM decreased with increasing ion dose similar to what was described in Fig. 6. In addition to 80 and 100 eV, the SiC interface was also found in the samples after ion bombardment at 150 and 200 eV. However, the thickness and the
the ion dose reached 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-2}, the amorphous carbon phase was observed. Remarkably, when the ion dose increased to 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-2}, as shown in Fig. 10, four nanocrystalline diamond particles could be dispersed in the matrix of amorphous carbon. The inset in (b) is the simulated image of the nanocrystals after image filter processing by Fourier transform.

epitaxial degree of the SiC layer decreased with increasing ion energy. Our results agree well with those of Ref. 11, where the subplantation efficiency was reported to reach a maximum for ion energies between 80 and 100 eV.

D. Direct observation of diamond formation

In addition to the diffraction pattern of diamond in the SAED pattern [Fig. 7(b)], the formation of diamond by the ion bombardment process was further confirmed by HRTEM observation, as shown in Fig. 10. The ion energy was chosen to be 150 eV, and the total ion dose varied from 10^{17} to 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-2}. For ion doses below 1 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-2}, only an amorphous carbon phase was observed. Remarkably, when the ion dose reached 1 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-2}, a few randomly oriented nanocrystalline particles were found to be dispersed in the amorphous matrix, as shown in Fig. 10(a). These nanoparticles were 5–10 nm in size. At 5 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-2}, nanoparticles of 7–15 nm were found. As the ion dose increased to 10^{19} \text{cm}^{-2}, the size of these nanoparticles increased to 20–30 nm, as shown in Fig. 10(b). After image filter processing by Fourier transform [see the inset in Fig. 10(b)], the lattice spacing of the nanocrystals was measured to be 2 \AA, which matches well with the d-spacing of diamond \{111\}. Combining with the electron-diffraction pattern mentioned above, these nanoparticles are confirmed to be nanocrystalline diamond particles, and the \{111\} directions of these particles are randomly oriented. It should be noted that only those particles that have their \{111\} crystal faces parallel to the electron beam direction can be observed in the HRTEM image. Thus the total density of the nanocrystalline particles in the amorphous matrix could possibly be higher than that observed in the HRTEM image. Compared with the SEM observation in Fig. 5 and the nucleation density dependence on ion dose (Fig. 4), it may be concluded that nucleation density was significantly increased only after nanocrystal diamond particles were formed.

E. Ion-bombardment-induced diamond formation

Thermal spikes and carbon subplantation are the two most popular models for nucleation enhancement by ion bombardment. According to the thermal spike model, it is difficult to explain why nanocrystalline diamond particles were observed only after the ion dose reached 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-2} in our experiments. Since the thermal spikes occurred from the beginning of the ion bombardment process, as a result nanocrystalline diamond would have appeared much earlier at smaller ion doses. The subplantation model, first suggested by Lifshitz and co-workers and used to explain the BEN process, is found more suitable to describe our present experiments. During ion bombardment, the energetic hydrogen ions and carbon ions arrive and enter the substrate surface; and eventually loses its energy in overcoming the penetration threshold potential. For low-energy ions (~100 eV) this is a major energy loss. Therefore, the ions are implanted within the top several layers of the substrate surface, and dissipate little energy before coming to rest in the film. A carbide layer is firstly formed on the substrate surface; afterwards a carbon-rich film is deposited (either graphite or amorphous carbon). Because the implanted ions directly penetrate to an interstitial site or occupy a substitutional site, the local density of the bombarded region of the target increases, thus producing a compressive stress in the growing film. With more implanted ions, the density of the carbon film increases, and the ion-bombardment-induced internal stress gradually amasses and increases in the amorphous film; finally carbon clusters are produced. The compressive stress in the diamond-like carbon films deposited by ions was suggested to be possibly high enough for moving the phase stability line across from graphite to diamond in the carbon phase diagram. Interestingly, a graphite interface layer oriented with the c axis in the surface, recently reported to be formed during the BEN process, was also thought to be due to the compressive stress.

When only methane and argon were used as the working gases, no diamond particles were observed in the deposited films. This is possibly due to the lack of sufficient hydrogen ions or atoms. Although there was a small amount of hydrogen ions or atoms from methane, the amount present might not be enough to stabilize the sp^3 component. When hydrogen was also used as a reactant gas, the amount of hydrogen atoms and ions was greatly increased. As a result, the sp^3-bonded clusters could grow larger and reach a critical size for forming stable diamond particles. Moreover, the
high substrate temperature should also be an important factor in the formation of diamond particles. Results from modeling and computing the free energies of the crystal phase showed the critical size of diamond to decrease with increasing substrate temperature. The critical size was calculated to be 10.2 nm at room temperature and 4.8 nm at 800 °C. Thus a high substrate temperature has been shown to favor diamond formation. Many researchers have reported the deposition of carbon films by ion beams; however, only amorphous films were observed. High substrate temperatures may have been an important factor for the formation of nanocrystalline diamond particles in our amorphous films.

The present work has clearly demonstrated that diamond particles can be produced by hydrogen/hydrocarbon ion bombardment. However, unlike the oriented diamond nuclei formed in the BEN process, only randomly oriented diamond particles were synthesized by direct ion bombardment. Furthermore, diamond particles were codeposited with a large amount of amorphous carbon. Two reasons may be contributing to the difference. First, the ion energy used in the present experiments was about 100 eV, which is much higher than the average ion energy of ~20 eV in the BEN process, as estimated in Ref. 47. Higher ion energy induces more damages to the substrate, which would hinder oriented diamond formation. Second, considering the ionization potential and ionization cross sections of methane, hydrogen, and argon, we estimated the doses of hydrocarbon and hydrogen ions in the present experiment to be ~5×10¹⁷ and ~2×10¹⁸ cm⁻², respectively. Compared with the BEN process, where the total dose of hydrocarbon and hydrogen ions was approximately 1×10²⁰ cm⁻² under the conventional experimental conditions, i.e., substrate bias was applied on a 2-in. Si wafer at a current of about 40 mA for 15 min. Thus the ion dose used in the present study is two orders of magnitude lower. As a result, we suspect that decreasing the ion energy and increasing the ion dosage in the ion bombardment process could increase the orientation degree of diamond particles and the film purity. Unfortunately, the minimum ion energy is limited to 60 eV in the present ion beam system, in which it already took nearly 10 h to obtain a dosage of 1×10¹⁹ cm⁻².

When CVD was subsequently performed on the ion-bombarded sample, the amorphous carbon was preferentially etched away by atomic hydrogen in the CVD plasma, and the nanocrystalline diamond particles exposed. The exposed particles could possibly serve as nuclei in the subsequent diamond growth. Remarkably, the nucleation density counted after 15-min growth (Fig. 3) is one order of magnitude lower than the nanoparticle density of about 10¹⁰ cm⁻² in the amorphous carbon film [Fig. 10(b)]. The observation suggests that most of the nanocrystalline diamond particles did not survive in the growth environment, and only a very small portion (<10%) of the particles could grow and serve as nuclei in the growth process. The cross-sectional TEM image of the sample bombarded with an ion dose of 10¹⁹ cm⁻² (the ion energy was 150 eV) and grown by HFCVD for 15 min is shown in Fig. 11. Some diamond grains of more than 100 nm in size are now directly located on the silicon substrate surface, whereas the amorphous carbon surrounding the nanocrystal diamond particles have been etched away. This observation is consistent with the removal of amorphous carbon and the growth of diamond nanoparticles during the HFCVD process.

FIG. 11. TEM cross-sectional bright-field image of the sample after ion bombardment at an ion dose of 10¹⁹ cm⁻², and subsequent deposition by conventional HFCVD for 15 min. The ion energy was 150 eV.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The effects of ion bombardment on diamond nucleation were directly studied by the ion beam deposition technique with Ar/H₂/CH₄ as the reactant gases. We demonstrated that direct ion beam bombardment can be successfully applied for the nucleation of nanodiamond crystallites on Si(100) substrates. These nanodiamond particles were further proposed to serve as nuclei for the subsequent growth process by conventional HFCVD. The nucleation density increased with increasing ion dose bombarding the substrate. A nucleation density higher than 10⁹ cm⁻² was achieved with an ion dose of 10¹⁸ cm⁻². The observations were found very helpful for the evaluation of the mechanism of bias-enhanced nucleation of diamond.

Detailed investigation of the deposited film showed that the ion bombardment process induced the formation of a SiC layer on the silicon substrate. The quality and thickness of the SiC layer depended strongly on the ion energy. At an ion energy of 80 eV, an epitaxial SiC layer of 10 nm was formed. With increasing ion energy, the thickness of the SiC as well as its degree of epitaxial alignment with the Si substrate decreased. Continuing the ion bombardment, an amorphous carbon film was eventually deposited on the SiC layer. The amorphous carbon film condensed to form clusters, and the film surface became rougher with increasing ion dose. At high doses (> 10¹⁸ cm⁻²), nanocrystalline diamond particles were detected in the amorphous carbon film, and the size of the diamond particles increased with increasing ion dose. The formation of nanocrystalline diamond particles is proposed to be due to the ion-bombardment-induced stress. The presence of hydrogen ions in the ion bombardment process also played a critical role in the formation of nanocrystalline diamond particles.
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