Nonmagnetic impurities in two- and three-dimensional Heisenberg antiferromagnets

Tai-Kai Ng

Department of Physics, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay Road, Kowloon, Hong Kong

(Received 30 July 1996)

In this paper we study in a large- S expansion the effects of substituting spins by nonmagnetic impurities in two- and three-dimensional Heisenberg antiferromagnets in a weak magnetic field. In particular, we demonstrate a mechanism where magnetic moments are induced around nonmagnetic impurities when magnetic field is present. As a result, Curie-type behavior in magnetic susceptibility can be observed well below the Néel temperature, in agreement with what is observed in $\text{La}_2\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{O}_4$ and $\text{Sr}(\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x)_2\text{O}_3$ compounds. [S0163-1829(96)04542-0]

Recently, there has been increasing interests in the effects of substituting Cu by nonmagnetic ions (Zn) in high- T_c cuprates, where interesting effects were discovered for compounds in the underdoped regime.¹ In particular, magnetic-susceptibility measurements,² and other experiments³ seem to indicate that local magnetic moments are generated as Cu ions were replaced by Zn, both in the underdoped high- T_c yttrium and bismuth compounds,^{2,3} and for an *undoped* lanthanum compound.⁴ Based on resonant-valence band theories of the t - J model, Nagaosa and Ng⁵ have constructed explanations on how local magnetic moments can be generated in these compounds in the disordered phase of two-dimensional antiferromagnets. Their theory is believed to be applicable to the underdoped regime of high- T_c compounds. However the theory is not applicable to the La compound where Curie-type behavior is observed in magnetic susceptibility at temperatures well below the Néel temperature where long-range antiferromagnetic order exists. More recently, similar experiment on the two-leg ladder compound $\text{Sr}(\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x)_2\text{O}_3$ also indicates formation of local magnetic moments in the spin-gap phase as Cu ions are replaced by Zn ions.⁶ The compound was found to order antiferromagnetically at low temperature at $x \sim 0.07$.⁷ Surprisingly, Curie behavior in magnetic susceptibility remains even *below* the Néel-ordering temperature,⁷ indicating that formation of magnetic moments around nonmagnetic impurities is a general phenomenon in Néel state of quantum antiferromagnets.

In the presence of long-range antiferromagnetic order, the low-temperature properties of clean antiferromagnets can be understood at least qualitatively using a semiclassical theory ($1/S$ expansion). In this paper we shall generalize this approach to include the effects of nonmagnetic impurities in the presence of a uniform magnetic field. Notice that in the absence of magnetic field, a similar study has been performed by Bulut *et al.*,⁸ where no “free” magnetic moments were found to be induced around nonmagnetic impurities. We shall show that local magnetic moments can be induced by nonmagnetic impurities once magnetic field is applied on the system through a novel mechanism. Notice that strictly speaking, thermal fluctuations destroy long-range magnetic order at two dimensions at any finite temperature. Thus our analysis at two dimensions (2D) can only be applied to layered systems like lanthanum cuprates where the magnetic coupling between different layers is much weaker than intralayer coupling.

To begin with, we first consider the zero temperature problem of classical spins interacting antiferromagnetically under a uniform magnetic field B , with a single nonmagnetic impurity replacing spin at site i_0 . We shall consider the Néel state in the absence of magnetic field to be ordered in the z direction, with the uniform magnetic field B applied in the $+x$ direction. The classical ground state in the absence of magnetic field has spins all pointing in $+z$ direction for spins in the A sublattice and spins all pointing in the $-z$ direction for spins in the B sublattice. In the presence of a magnetic field, the spins tilt to the $+x$ direction to minimize the magnetic energy. Let θ_i^A be the angle tilted away from the z axis for spin on site i on the A sublattice and θ_j^B be the corresponding angle for spin on site j on the B sublattice. It is easy to show that the classical energy E_{cl} is given in the limit when $\theta^{A(B)}$ are small (weak B -field limit) by

$$E_{\text{cl}}/S^2 = - \sum_{\langle i \neq i_0, j \rangle} \left(1 - \frac{(\theta_i^A + \theta_j^B)^2}{2} \right) - B' \sum_{i \neq i_0} \theta_i^A - B' \sum_j \theta_j^B, \quad (1)$$

where $\langle i \neq i_0, j \rangle$ are nearest-neighbor sites in the square (cubic) lattice excluding contributions from the nonmagnetic impurity at site i_0 and $B' = g\mu_B B/S$. Notice that the only effect of nonmagnetic impurity is to remove the spin at site i_0 in our theory. We have also set the spin-coupling $J=1$ in Eq. (1). Notice that the energy expression for E_{cl} is valid only to order $O(B^2)$.

Next we consider the continuum limit of the energy expression (1). Introducing symmetric and antisymmetric angle variables

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_s(\vec{x}) &= S[\theta^A(\vec{x}) + \theta^B(\vec{x})], \\ \theta_a(\vec{x}) &= \frac{S}{2}[\theta^A(\vec{x}) - \theta^B(\vec{x})], \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

we obtain after some straightforward algebra

$$E_{\text{cl}} = \int \frac{d^d x}{a_o^d} \left[(d) \theta_s(\vec{x})^2 - B' S \theta_s(\vec{x}) + [\nabla \theta_a(\vec{x})]^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\theta(x_{<})}{v_a} [\theta_s(\vec{x}) \hat{a}_o \cdot \nabla \theta_a(\vec{x}) - \theta_a(\vec{x}) \hat{a}_o \cdot \nabla \theta_s(\vec{x})] \right] + E_0, \quad (3)$$

where $E_0 = -NS^2 d/2$, N = number of sites in the system, a_o is lattice spacing and $\hat{a}_o$ is a radial vector pointing away from i_0 . v_a is the volume enclosed by the sphere (circle in 2D) with radius a_o . $x_{<} = a_o - |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|$. For an impurity located at the B sublattice, $\hat{a}_o \rightarrow -\hat{a}_o$.

The various terms appearing in Eq. (3) can be understood rather easily. First of all, in the limit $a_o \rightarrow 0$, $x_i \rightarrow x_j$ in Eq. (1) and the only contribution to E_{cl} would be the first two θ_s terms in Eq. (3). It is also clear that in the limit $a_o \rightarrow 0$, terms proportional to θ_a or θ_a^2 do not appear in E_{cl} , and the only contribution from θ_a can appear as $(\nabla \theta_a)^2$ only. The appearance of a nonmagnetic impurity at site i_0 breaks the symmetry between the A and B sublattices and introduces local coupling between the θ_s and θ_a fields. Notice that additional coupling between θ_a and θ_s fields will appear if we take into account higher-order terms in B in our energy expression E_{cl} . However, in the absence of impurities, these terms do not break the symmetry between the A and B sublattices or the symmetry of interchanging θ^A and θ^B fields.

Minimizing E_{cl} with respect to the θ_s field we obtain

$$\theta_s(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2d} \left(B' S - \frac{2\theta(x_{<})}{v_a} \hat{a}_o \cdot \nabla \theta_a(\vec{x}) \right). \quad (4a)$$

Putting θ_s back into E_{cl} and minimizing with respect to θ_a field, we obtain for $|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0| \geq a_o$,

$$\nabla^2 \theta_a(\vec{x}) = \frac{2B' S a_o}{d v_a} \delta^d(|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0| - a_o). \quad (4b)$$

Notice that in the presence of magnetic field, the nonmagnetic impurity acts as a source term for the θ_a field of strength $2B'S$ in the limit $a_o \rightarrow 0$. As a result, $\theta_a(\vec{x}) \sim (2B'S) \ln(|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|)$ in two dimensions, and the corresponding “electric-field” energy cost $\sim \int d^2 x (\nabla \theta_a)^2$ diverges logarithmically as the size of system goes to infinity.

The divergence of magnetic energy to order $O(B^2)$ indicates that the (classical) response of a nonmagnetic impurity to external magnetic field is intrinsically *nonlinear*⁹ and suggests that quantum effect may play an important role in determining the correct response of nonmagnetic impurities to external magnetic field. In the following we shall derive in the continuum limit, the $1/S$ (spin wave) Lagrangian in the presence of background θ_s and θ_a fields, and shall show that the infrared divergence in classical energy can be cured by formation of local magnetic moment around nonmagnetic impurity once quantum effects are considered.

To derive the spin-wave Hamiltonian in the presence of magnetic field, we rotate our coordinate system locally on each site such that the local z axis is always along the “classical” spin direction. In this coordinate system, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$H = \sum_{\langle i \neq i_0, j \rangle} [\cos(\theta_i + \theta_j) (S_i^{(z)} S_j^{(z)} + S_i^{(x)} S_j^{(x)}) + S_i^{(y)} S_j^{(y)} \\ + \sin(\theta_i + \theta_j) (S_i^{(z)} S_j^{(x)} - S_i^{(x)} S_j^{(z)})] \\ - g \mu_B B \sum_{i \neq i_0} (S_i^{(z)} \sin \theta_i + S_i^{(x)} \cos \theta_i) \\ - g \mu_B B \sum_j (\cos \theta_j S_j^{(x)} - \sin \theta_j S_j^{(z)}). \quad (5)$$

The Hamiltonian can be rewritten in the Schwinger-boson representation of spins in the usual way. In the large- S limit, we may write

$$Z_{i\uparrow}^A = \bar{Z}_{i\uparrow}^A = Z_{j\downarrow}^B = \bar{Z}_{j\downarrow}^B = \sqrt{2S},$$

where $\bar{Z}(Z)_{i\sigma}^\alpha$ ’s are spin σ Schwinger-boson creation (annihilation) operators for site i on sublattice α . Expanding the Hamiltonian to order $O(S)$, we obtain to order $O(B^2)$,

$$H = E_{\text{cl}} + H_{1/S},$$

where

$$H_{1/S} = S \sum_{\langle i \neq i_0, j \rangle} \left[\left(1 - \frac{(\theta_i + \theta_j)^2}{2} \right) (\bar{Z}_{i\downarrow}^A Z_{i\downarrow}^A + \bar{Z}_{j\uparrow}^B Z_{j\uparrow}^B) \right. \\ \left. + \left(1 - \frac{(\theta_i + \theta_j)^2}{4} \right) (Z_{i\downarrow}^A Z_{j\uparrow}^B + \bar{Z}_{i\downarrow}^A \bar{Z}_{j\uparrow}^B) \right] \\ + g \mu_B B \sum_{i \neq i_0} (\theta_i) \bar{Z}_{i\downarrow}^A Z_{i\downarrow}^A + g \mu_B B \sum_j (\theta_j) \bar{Z}_{j\uparrow}^B Z_{j\uparrow}^B. \quad (6)$$

To further analyze our system we again go to the continuum limit. Introducing symmetric and antisymmetric boson fields

$$\phi(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [Z_{\downarrow}^A(\vec{x}) - \bar{Z}_{\uparrow}^B(\vec{x})], \\ \pi(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [Z_{\downarrow}^A(\vec{x}) + \bar{Z}_{\uparrow}^B(\vec{x})], \quad (7)$$

and integrating out the $\pi(\vec{x})$ field, we obtain in the continuum limit an effective Lagrangian for the $\phi(\vec{x})$ field.^{10,11} Details of the technique can be found in Ref. 10 and we shall not repeat them here. For $\vec{x}$ away from the impurity site ($a_o < |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|$), we obtain in imaginary time

$$L_{1/S} \sim \int d\tau \int d^d x \frac{1}{4dS} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - e \theta_a \right) \phi^+ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + e \theta_a \right) \phi \right. \\ \left. + m^2 \phi^+ \phi + c^2 |\nabla \phi|^2 \right], \quad (8)$$

where $e = B'$ and $m^2 = S^2 B'^2 / 2$. $c^2 \sim 4d(JS)^2$ is the spin-wave velocity. We have set $\theta_s(\vec{x}) = B'S/2d$ and have neglected $(\nabla \theta_a)^2$ terms in deriving $L_{1/S}$. The latter is of higher order $[O(1/S)]$ compared with the corresponding term in

E_{cl} . The most striking feature of the effective Lagrangian is that the θ_a field now appears as the τ component of a $U(1)$ gauge field coupling to a charge boson field ϕ , with the dynamics of the θ_a field governed by E_{cl} . In particular, in the presence of B field, the nonmagnetic impurity appears as electric charge generating a static electric-field coupling to the charge bosons. Notice that the effective charge of the nonmagnetic impurity changes sign when it is moved from one sublattice to another, indicating that the “sign” of the charge is in fact a sublattice index.^{10,11}

The logarithmic divergence in “electric-field” energy in the presence of nonmagnetic impurity in E_{cl} in two dimensions will be removed if bosons of opposite electric charge are nucleated from vacuum to screen the effective electric field, forming effective local magnetic moments around the impurity. The number of bosons nucleated from vacuum is $\sim 2S$, as can be seen easily by counting the number of charges carried by the nonmagnetic impurity. The magnitude of magnetic moment formed around the impurity is thus $\sim S$. The energy cost for nucleating the bosons can be computed by solving the corresponding Schrödinger equation for charge bosons moving in scalar potential of external charge of magnitude $2Se$.¹² To capture qualitatively the physics, we estimate the energy cost by using a variational wave function $\psi(\vec{r}) \sim Ae^{-|\vec{r}|/\xi_1}$, where ξ_1 is determined variationally. Minimizing the energy, we find that ξ_1 is of the order $\xi_1 \sim [JSc^2/(g\mu_B B)^3]^{1/2}$. Notice that $\xi_1 \rightarrow \infty$ as $B \rightarrow 0$ or $S \rightarrow \infty$, indicating that the formation of a magnetic moment around a nonmagnetic impurity is a nonperturbative quantum effect which cannot be captured by the usual spin-wave theory. The energy cost for nucleating the bosons is of the order $E_1 \sim (2S)[m + 2SB'^2 \ln(\xi_1/a_o)]$.

Next we discuss the situation when there is finite concentration of impurities n randomly distributed in the system, which is the case of experimental interest. In our continuum theory, the presence of finite concentration of random impurities is equivalent to putting finite concentration of charges with magnitude $2SB'$ and random sign in our effective charge boson system. The behavior of the system is very different from the one impurity case, since now electric fields originating from opposite charges will cancel, with remaining electric-field energy of the order $\sim nE_e$, where $E_e \sim (2SB')^2 \ln(l/a_o)$ on average, $l \sim n^{-1/d}$ is the average distance between nonmagnetic impurities. For $E_e > E_1 \sim 2Sm$ or equivalently $l \gg \xi_o \sim a_o e^{JS/(2g\mu_B B)}$, it is energetically favorable to nucleate charge bosons from the vacuum to screen the electric field, and magnetic moments will be formed around nonmagnetic impurities. The magnetic moments couple to each other weakly with $J_{\text{eff}} \sim Je^{-l/\xi_1}$ implying that Curie behavior will be present in magnetic susceptibility down to very low temperature $\sim J_{\text{eff}}$. As the concentration of nonmagnetic impurities increases, l decreases until $l \sim \xi_o$. At this point a transition occurs where it becomes energetically unfavorable to nucleate bosons from the vacuum to screen the electric field, i.e., there will be no magnetic moments forming around nonmagnetic impurities at zero temperature when $l \leq \xi_o$. The boson-non-magnetic impurity bound states become excited states of the spin system.

The boson-non-magnetic impurity bound states can still be observed as effective local magnetic moments at finite

temperature $T \geq m \sim g\mu_B B$ if $E_e + 2Sm > E_1$ (corresponding roughly to $\xi_1 < l$). At this energy range, the boson-impurity bound state appears as a stable excited state of the spin system with excitation energy $\sim (E_1 - E_e)/2S < m$. At finite temperature $T \geq m$, these states will be occupied by thermally excited bosons, forming effective free magnetic moments around nonmagnetic impurities. It is important to emphasize that the formation of an excited boson bound state around a nonmagnetic impurity is only possible when there is a gap m in the boson excitation spectrum. In the absence of nonmagnetic impurity, a direct computation of the spin-wave spectrum indicates that the spin-wave spectrum splits into two branches at small momentum q , with one branch carrying a gap larger than m in our effective Lagrangian, and the other branch gapless as $q \rightarrow 0$. The contribution from the splitting of the two branches to $H_{1/S}$ cancels to order $O(B^2)$, leading to our effective Lagrangian with only massive bosons. The splitting of the boson spectrum reappears if we include terms to order $O(B^4)$ in $H_{1/S}$, and the boson bound state around the nonmagnetic impurity will cease to be a good eigenstate of the effective Lagrangian (the bound state turns into a resonant state). However, the decay rate of the resonant state $\sim B^4$ is small compared with the energy of the resonant state $\sim B^2$ in the limit of the weak magnetic field, indicating that the physical picture of the boson bound state around a nonmagnetic impurity is still a good approximation to the system in the weak-field limit.

As concentration of impurities increases and distances between impurities decreases further, the overlap between boson bound state wave functions at different impurity sites increases and a boson impurity band is formed (recall that the size of the boson bound state wave function is of the order ξ_1). For $l \leq \xi_1$, the bandwidth W of this impurity band is of the order $\sim [\sqrt{m^2 + (c/l)^2} - m]$ and the effective impurity potential strength is of the order $v \sim 2SB'^2/(1/l)^2$. We find that the screening of effective impurity potential by charged bosons is weak and can be neglected. Estimating the scattering lifetime τ we find that bosons with energy $E \leq E_1 \sim cl/\xi_1^2$ are strongly localized in this impurity band using the criteria $E\tau \leq 1$. Notice that the number of localized boson states $\sim n^{-1}$ and decreases as n increases in this regime. The magnetic susceptibility will show Curie behavior at temperature above $T_l \sim E_1/k_B$. For $T \leq T_l$, the number of effective magnetic moments contributing to magnetic susceptibility decreases as temperature lowers. Roughly speaking, the contribution from nonmagnetic impurities to the magnetic susceptibility can be described by a magnetic-field and temperature-dependent density of local moments $n(B, T)$ which has the following properties: (i) $n(B, T) \sim 0$ at the region $g\mu_B B \gg k_B T$, (ii) $n(B, T) \sim n$ for $l \geq \xi_1$, and $\sim (n\xi_1^4)^{-1}$ for $l \leq \xi_1$ at the region $k_B T \gg g\mu_B B + E_1$, and is smoothly interpolating between the two regions. As temperature $T \rightarrow 0$, $n(B, T) \rightarrow 0$ and the contribution to magnetic susceptibility from impurities is of the order $\delta\chi \sim 4S^2 n \ln(l/a_o)$.

Our theory can be extended to three dimensions in a straightforward way. We find that in the weak-field limit, no magnetic moment is formed even in the limit of one single impurity at zero temperature because of the absence of infrared divergence associated with the Coulomb potential in 3D. However, bound states of bosons can be formed at

$T \geq g\mu_B B$ as in the two-dimensional case, and can still result in Curie-type behavior in magnetic susceptibility for $l \gg \xi_1 \sim (c/g\mu_B B)^3$. As concentration of impurities increases further, the boson bound states turn into impurity bands and the effective number of free magnetic moments $n(B, T)$ decreases when temperature is lower than the boson impurity band bandwidth as in two dimensions.

Summarizing, in this paper we have carried out an analysis of the effects of replacing spins by nonmagnetic impurities in Heisenberg antiferromagnets in a magnetic field in two and three dimensions in a semiclassical $1/S$ expansion. We find within a continuum approximation that magnetic moments will form around nonmagnetic impurities once the magnetic field is put on the system, resulting in Curie-type behavior in magnetic susceptibility when concentration of impurities is not too high, and temperature is not too low.

Notice that our theory predicts that formation of local magnetic moments in the presence of external magnetic field and nonmagnetic impurities is a general behavior of ordered quantum antiferromagnets and is not restricted to particular materials. The theory explains the observation of Curie-type behavior in magnetic susceptibility in $\text{La}_2\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x\text{O}_4$ and $\text{Sr}(\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Zn}_x)_2\text{O}_3$ compounds at temperatures well below Néel temperature. In particular, the vanishing of local moments at $T \leq g\mu_B B$ is a theoretical prediction which can be tested experimentally.

I thank G. Aeppli, M. Ma, and M. Azuma for very useful discussions and the hospitality of Lucent Technologies, Bell Labs. where part of this work was done. The work is partially supported by Hong Kong UGC Grant No. UST636/94P.

¹Y. Fukuzumi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 694 (1996).

²G. Xiao *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 8782 (1987).

³H. Alloul *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 3140 (1991); K. Kakurai *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 3485 (1993).

⁴S.-W. Cheong *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 9739 (1991); K. Uchinokura *et al.*, Physica B **205**, 234 (1995).

⁵N. Nagaosa and T.K. Ng, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 15 588 (1995).

⁶M. Azuma *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3463 (1994).

⁷M. Azuma *et al.* (unpublished).

⁸N. Bulut *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 2192 (1989); see also N.

Nagaosa *et al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **58**, 9781 (1989).

⁹It can be shown that the logarithmic divergence in classical linear response is removed once higher-order terms in θ_s and θ_a are included in the energy expression. The resulting classical energy is of order $B^2 \ln(SJ/\mu_B B)$.

¹⁰N. Read and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 4568 (1990).

¹¹T.K. Ng, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 9491 (1995).

¹²The Schrödinger equation for charged boson in the effective scalar potential of a external point charge is $[E - V(r)]^2 \psi(\vec{r}) = (c^2 \nabla^2 + m^2) \psi(\vec{r})$, where $V(r) \sim 2SB'^2 \ln(r/a_0)$.